



DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT 25

Statutory Report

For the period of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2020

Cindy Byrd, CPA

State Auditor & Inspector

CAROL ISKI, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT 25

STATUTORY REPORT
BOGUS CHECK RESTITUTION PROGRAM
DRUG ASSET FORFEITURE PROGRAM
SUPERVISION PROGRAM
SUPERVISION 991 PROGRAM

FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2018 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2020



Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

June 7, 2021

Carol Iski, District Attorney District 25 Okmulgee County Courthouse Okmulgee, Oklahoma 74447

Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the District Attorney of District 25, Okmulgee and McIntosh County, Oklahoma (the District) for the period of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2020.

A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the District.

The goal of the State Auditor and Inspector is to promote accountability and fiscal integrity in state and local government. Maintaining our independence as we provide this service to the taxpayers of Oklahoma is of utmost importance.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during our engagement.

Sincerely,

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Information	i
·	
Statutory Report of State Auditor and Inspector	1
Schedule of Findings and Responses	3

INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

BOGUS CHECK RESTITUTION PROGRAM

The bogus check program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 1982 as a special type of deferred prosecution program and every district attorney is required to operate a bogus check program. The program provides an alternative way to handle bogus check cases without any additional cost to courts, prosecutors, or the state prison system. The primary emphasis of the program is collecting restitution for the victim of the crime, rather than punishing the offender.

Bogus checks are a significant cost to business, a cost that is passed on to the consumer and paid by all citizens and taxpayers in the state. The program offers a way to address criminal conduct without sending a large number of offenders to state correctional facilities.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY DRUG ASSET FORFEITURE PROGRAM

The drug asset forfeiture program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 1971. The fund is not subject to fiscal year limitations and is to be used for enforcement of controlled dangerous substance laws, drug abuse prevention and education, and is maintained by the district attorney to be used at his or her discretion for those purposes. The revenues for said fund come from the proceeds of forfeited assets. Any cash, vehicles, real property, or other assets used in the commission of or acquired as a result of a crime as described in the Uniform Controlled Dangerous Substances Act is presumed to be forfeitable.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUPERVISION PROGRAM

The district attorney supervision program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 2005 as an alternative from supervision by the Department of Corrections. When the court imposes a deferred or a suspended sentence for any offense and does not order supervision by the Department of Corrections, the offender shall be required to pay the district attorney a monthly supervision fee. However, the legislation provides that in hardship cases, the district attorney shall expressly waive all or part of the fee.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUPERVISION 991 PROGRAM

The district attorney supervision 991 program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 2013. If the offender is *not* ordered supervision by the district attorney (as described above) "the offender shall be required to pay a fee to the district attorney's office during the first two (2) years of probation to compensate the district for the costs incurred during the prosecution of the offender and for the additional work of verifying the compliance of the offender with the rules and conditions of his or her probation". However, the legislation provides the district attorney may waive any part of this requirement in the best interests of justice.



Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

Statutory Report

Carol Iski, District Attorney District 25 Okmulgee County Courthouse Okmulgee, Oklahoma 74447

For the purpose of complying with 74 O.S. § 212.E and 22 O.S. §§ 114, 991d, 991a (hh), and 63 O.S. §§ 2-506, we have performed the following procedures as they relate to the records of the District Attorney's programs for the period of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2020.

Bogus Check Restitution, Supervision, and Supervision 991 Programs:

- Determine that internal controls are designed and operating over the collections and disbursement process.
- Examine fees to determine that the correct fees are assessed, receipted, and deposited in compliance with 28 O.S. § 153, 22 O.S. §§ 114, 991d, 991a (hh), and 19 O.S. § 215.11.
- Determine whether disbursements are used to defray the expenses of the District Attorney's office in accordance with 22 O.S. §§ 114, 991d, and 991a (hh), and whether disbursements are supported by approved claims, invoices, and verification that goods or services paid for were received.
- Determine whether the District Attorney reconciles accounts with the County Treasurer's ledgers.
- Determine whether the District Attorney prepares and submits an annual report to the District Attorneys Council that reflects total collections and total disbursements for the Bogus Check Restitution Program, Supervision Program, and Supervision 991 Program,

Drug Asset Forfeiture Program:

- Determine that internal controls are designed and operating over the collections and disbursement process.
- Determine that the District Attorney maintains a true and accurate inventory of all property seized in accordance with 63 O.S. § 2-506.K.
- Review sale documentation for selected cases to determine whether forfeited assets were sold after a public auction to the highest bidder in accordance with 63 O.S. §§ 2-506 and 2-508.
- Review the distribution of proceeds to determine the distribution was in accordance with court orders pursuant to 63 O.S. §§ 2-506.K and 2-508.
- Test disbursements to determine they are supported by approved claims, invoices, and independent verification that goods or services paid for were received.
- Determine whether the District Attorney prepares and submits an annual report to the



District Attorneys Council reflecting the total collections, total disbursements, beginning and ending balances in accordance with 63 O.S. § 2-506.L.3.

• Determine if the District Attorney reconciles account balances with the County Treasurer.

All information included in the financial records of the bogus check restitution program, supervision program, supervision 991 program, and the drug asset forfeiture program are the representation of the District Attorney for their respective district.

Our engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any basic financial statement of Okmulgee or McIntosh County.

Based on our procedures performed, we have presented our findings in the accompanying schedule.

This report is intended for the information and use of the District Attorney and District management. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

May 4, 2021

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

Finding 2020-001 – Segregation of Duties –Supervision Fee Programs (Repeat Finding)

Condition: Upon inquiry of the District Attorney's office staff and observation of records, the following instances of the lack of segregation of duties in personnel were noted:

Okmulgee County

A lack of segregation of duties continues to exist in the procedural process of the Supervision Fee Program (Fund Account 7309). One employee receives and enters payments, prepares and delivers the deposit, maintains subsidiary ledgers, performs monthly reconciliations, and prepares the annual report. No one other than the preparer reviews the reconciliations to ensure accuracy and completeness of the amounts reconciled to the County Treasurer's ledger balances.

McIntosh County

A lack of segregation of duties exists in the procedural process of the Supervision Fee Program. One employee receives payments, prepares and delivers the deposit, prepares disbursements, maintains ledgers, and reconciles monthly to the County Treasurer's accounting records. No one other than the preparer reviews the deposits or reconciliations to ensure accuracy and completeness of the amounts deposited and reconciled to the County Treasurer's ledger balances.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been fully designed and adequately implemented to properly separate key accounting functions.

Effect of Condition: A single person having responsibility for more than one area of recording, authorization, custody of assets, and execution of transactions could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, clerical errors, or misappropriation of funds not being detected in a timely manner.

Recommendation: The Oklahoma State Auditor and Inspector's Office (OSAI) recommends the District Attorney be aware of these conditions and realize that concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desired from a control point of view. The most effective controls lie in the District Attorney's overseeing of office operations and a periodic review of operations. OSAI recommends the District Attorney provide segregation of duties so that no one employee is able to perform all accounting functions. In the event that segregation of duties is not possible due to limited personnel, OSAI recommends implementing compensating controls to mitigate the risks involved with a concentration of duties. Compensating controls would include separating key processes and /or critical functions of the office as follows:

The District Attorney staff should provide evidence of review and approval of accounting functions including

- Someone other than the preparer reviewing documents,
- Initialing and dating the review of deposits, claims, and vouchers,
- Re-performing and reviewing reconciliations, and
- Initialing and dating the review of deposit summaries, monthly reports and annual reports.

Management Response:

District Attorney: Okmulgee County has implemented changes in the procedural process of the Supervision Fee Program. One employee will receive and enter payments and another employee will prepare the deposit. The Finance Coordinator or the ADA will initial and review all deposits as well as monthly and quarterly reports.

We will determine for McIntosh County that internal controls are designed and in place and the corrections will be made accordingly. One employee will receive and enter payments received daily and another employee will review for accuracy and initial the daily tape and deposit. We have already implemented changes as to the end of the month reporting and reconciling with the County Treasurer's office.

Criteria: The United States Government Accountability Office's *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government* (2014 version) aided in guiding our assessments and conclusion. Although this publication (GAO Standards) addresses controls in the federal government, this criterion can be treated as best practices and may be applied as a framework for an internal control system for state, local, and quasi-governmental entities.

The GAO Standards - Principle 10 – Design Control Activities 10.03 states:

Segregation of Duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.

Finding 2020-002 – Internal Control Environment – Written Policies and Procedures for Information Technology and Supervision Fee and 991 State Remittance Ledger Maintenance

Condition: As part of the review of District Attorney's accounts and records, tests of receipts, disbursements, and cash balances were performed. Additionally, the District Attorney's office has established some written policies and procedures for internal controls and the safeguarding and reporting of program funds. However, deficiencies were noted in certain areas, which include the following:

Information Technology (Repeat Finding)

McIntosh County

- Employees can void, delete, and/or adjust payments and defendant financial histories without any oversight.
- IT software void, deletion and/or adjustment reports were not reviewed periodically for unusual activity.

Supervision Fee and State Remittance Programs

Okmulgee County

• In fiscal year 2019, District ledgers were not maintained for the Supervision Fee and 991 Fee State Remittance accounts.

Cause of Condition: The District Attorney's office has not established some formal policies and/or implemented procedures to ensure that the District's computer data is safeguarded against unauthorized access and that accounts are properly documented and reconciled with the County Treasurer's accounting records.

Effect of Condition: These conditions could result in unauthorized access to financial information and unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, or misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends that the District Attorney's staff establish and implement written policies and procedures for the accounting of program funds and the maintenance of client files. Implementing this recommendation would ensure that all employees are aware of their duties and responsibilities and that each program is properly accounted for and is in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Such policies should include the following:

- Guidelines for the maintenance of District ledgers and monthly reconciliations to the County Treasurer's accounting records.
- Guidelines for the administrative approval and documentation of the review of IT software deletions, voids, and write-off activity.
- Guidelines for system security and system inactivity management.

Furthermore, OSAI recommends the District Attorney identify, analyze, and manage risks. The District Attorney should also assess the quality and effectiveness of the organization's internal control process over time and implement appropriate controls and oversight of each program's daily transactions and recordkeeping. This will ensure that the District Attorney and staff have taken the necessary steps in safeguarding the District assets.

Management Response:

District Attorney: Information Technology – We have submitted a request to DAC for a list of users that have the ability to void or delete payments and will make the necessary changes to have only limited users

granted permission on financials at the approval of the District Attorney. Any voided or deleted payment will be initialed by the Finance Coordinator or ADA and a list of those payments will be attached to the appropriate monthly reports.

Supervision Fee and State Remittance Programs – Changes are already in place and District ledgers are now being prepared as to the State Supervision Remittance and 991 Fee State Remittance Accounts.

It is the goal of this District to establish and implement written policies and procedures for the accounting of program funds and to ensure that all employees are aware of their duties and responsibilities and that each program is properly accounted for and is in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Criteria: The GAO Standards – Principle 10 – Design Control Activities – 10.03 states in part:

Segregation of duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce the risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.



