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Larry D. Moore, District Attorney  
District 15  
Muskogee County Courthouse  
Muskogee, Oklahoma 74402

Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the District Attorney of District 15, Muskogee County, Oklahoma (the District) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the District.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the conduct of our procedures.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the State to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA  
STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR
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INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

The bogus check program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 1982 as a special type of deferred prosecution program, and every District Attorney is required to operate a bogus check program. The program provides an alternative way to handle bogus check cases without any additional cost to courts, prosecutors, or the state prison system. The primary emphasis of the program is collecting restitution for the victim of the crime, rather than punishing the offender.

Bogus checks are a significant cost to business, a cost that is passed on to the consumer and paid by all citizens and taxpayers in the state. The bogus check program has been an effective way to address the economic problem caused by bogus checks. The program offers a way to address criminal conduct without sending a large number of offenders to state correctional facilities.
Statutory Report

Larry D. Moore, District Attorney
District 15
Muskogee County Courthouse
Muskogee, Oklahoma 74402

For the purpose of complying with 74 O.S. § 212.E and 22 O.S. § 114, we have performed each of the following procedures as it relates to the records of the Bogus Check Restitution Fund for the fiscal year 2007.

- Examine fees to determine that the correct fees were assessed, receipted, and deposited in compliance with 28 O.S. § 153.
- Determine whether expenditures were used to defray lawful expenses of the District Attorney’s office in accordance with 22 O.S. § 114; whether expenditures were supported by invoices and approved claims; and that goods or services paid for were received.
- Determine whether the fund reconciles to the County Treasurer’s records.
- Determine that the District Attorney prepared and submitted an annual report to the District Attorneys Council showing the total deposits and total expenditures and that expenditures were properly classified and presented.

All information included in the financial records of the bogus check restitution program is the representation of the District Attorney for their respective district.

Our engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any general-purpose financial statements of Muskogee County.

Based on our procedures performed, and with respect to items tested, District 15 was properly assessing, receipting, and depositing the correct fees in compliance with 28 O.S. § 153; expenditures were used to defray lawful expenses of the District Attorney’s office in accordance with 22 O.S. § 114; goods or services paid for were received; the fund balance reconciled to the County Treasurer’s records; the District Attorney prepared and submitted an annual report to the District Attorneys Council; and expenditures were properly classified and presented. With respect to expenditures being supported by invoices and approved claims, our finding is presented in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. In performing the procedures, we noted a matter of restitution agreements, and our finding is presented in the schedule of findings and responses.
We have included in this report information from the Bogus Check Restitution Annual Report prepared by District 15, which was submitted to the District Attorneys Council.

This report is intended for the information and use of the District Attorney and Muskogee County officials. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA
STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

May 19, 2010
BOGUS CHECK RESTITUTION FUND

COLLECTION INFORMATION

Number of checks received from merchants  2,161
Dollar amount of checks received  $ 238,185

RESTITUTION INFORMATION

Beginning Restitution Account Balance at July 1, 2006  $ 65,626
Number of Restitution checks collected  2,160
Amount in Restitution collected for merchants  197,688
Cancelled Vouchers  4,137
Amount in Restitution paid to merchants  238,002

Ending Restitution Balance at June 30, 2007  $ 29,449

FEE AND EXPENDITURES INFORMATION

Beginning District Attorney Fee Balance at July 1, 2006  $ 261,415
Amount of District Attorney fees collected during the period  272,398

Expenditures:

Personnel Costs  110,500
Maintenance and Operation Costs  25,285
Other Expenses  28,439
Total Expenditures  164,224

Ending District Attorney Fee Balance at June 30, 2007  $ 369,589

Source: District 15 Bogus Check Restitution Annual Report (for informational purposes only)
Finding 2007-1 – Restitution Agreement (Repeat Finding)

Criteria: Title 22 O.S. § 114.A states:

The district attorney may enter into a written restitution agreement with the defendant to defer prosecution on a false or bogus check for a period to be determined by the district attorney, not to exceed three (3) years, pending restitution being made to the victim of the bogus check as provided in this section.

Guidelines for Operation of the Bogus Check Restitution Program (as adopted by the District Attorneys Council on July 24, 1996) states:

Whenever an offender agrees to make payments through the Bogus Check Restitution Program a restitution agreement should be signed, which means that the program should have a restitution agreement for an offender even if the offender makes the entire payment at one time.

In those cases in which an offender tenders payments without a restitution agreement, however, and if the offender also refuses or neglects to execute a restitution agreement, then the program should accept and process the payment or payments and should consider the compliance with the Notice of Complaint as the restitution agreement.

The agreement should spell out what the fees, restitution and other charges are. It should be signed by the offender and a representative of the district attorney. The method of payment (money order, exact cash only, etc.) should be included in the agreement as well as the time of payment.

Condition: During our test work of offender files, two out of ten tested did not contain a restitution agreement.

Effect: The District Attorney may be in violation of state statutes and guidelines established by the District Attorneys Council on the Bogus Check Restitution Program.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends each offender’s file contain a restitution agreement. OSAI further recommends the restitution agreement be signed by the offender as well as the District Attorney’s Office.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: The FYE June 30, 2005, 2006 and the first 6 months of 2007 were for periods under previous administration. I have reviewed all of the matters and these have been corrected and these matters are no longer being handled this way.
Finding 2007-2 – Supporting Documentation

Criteria: Title 22 O.S. § 114.B states in part:
    …money shall be deposited in a special fund with the county treasurer to be known as the
    "Bogus Check Restitution Program Fund." This fund shall be used by the district attorney
to defray any lawful expense of the district attorney’s office. The district attorney shall
keep records of all monies deposited to and disbursed from this fund.

Accountability and stewardship are overall goals of management in the accounting of funds. To help
ensure a proper accounting of funds, vouchers should be supported by an approved claim and an original
invoice and should also have verification that the goods/services were received.

Condition: During our test work, we found one voucher out of ten tested was not supported by an original
invoice.

Effect: Without adequate supporting documentation, we were unable to determine whether expenditures
were used to defray the expense of the District Attorney’s office.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends District 15 practice proper purchasing procedures. All purchases
should be properly approved, supported by an original invoice, and contain verification that
goods/services were received and with proper supporting documentation attached.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: The FYE June 30, 2005, 2006 and the first
6 months of 2007 were for periods under previous administration. I have reviewed all of the matters and
these have been corrected and these matters are no longer being handled this way.