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Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the District Attorney of District 7, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma (the District) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the District.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the conduct of our procedures.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the State to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA
STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Information ........................................................................................................................................ ii
Statutory Report of State Auditor and Inspector ............................................................................................. 1
Property Forfeiture Fund .................................................................................................................................... 3
INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

Most district attorneys in the state have a Property Forfeiture Fund. The fund is not subject to fiscal year limitations and is to be used for enforcement of controlled dangerous substance laws, drug abuse prevention and education, and is maintained by the District Attorney to be used at his or her discretion for those purposes. The revenues for said fund come from the proceeds of forfeited assets.

Any cash, vehicles, real property, or other assets used in the commission of or acquired as a result of a crime as described in the Uniform Controlled Dangerous Substances Act is presumed to be forfeitable.

Asset forfeiture is an effective law enforcement tool used by local district attorneys to deprive criminals of their ill-gotten gains by seizing the proceeds of criminal activity and property used to facilitate crime. The proceeds of seized, forfeited assets make a substantial contribution to the investigation and prosecution of drug related offenses.
Statutory Report

David Prater, District Attorney
District 7
Oklahoma County Courthouse
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

For the purpose of complying with 74 O.S. §212.E and 63 O.S. §2-506, we have performed the following procedures as they relate to the records of the Property Forfeiture Fund for the fiscal year 2009:

- Examine a group of receipts and deposit slips for propriety.

- Determine that the District Attorney maintains a true and accurate inventory of all property seized in accordance with 63 O.S. § 2-506.K.

- Review sale documentation for selected cases to determine whether forfeited assets were sold after due notice at public auction to the highest bidder in accordance with 63 O.S. §§ 2-506 and 2-508.

- Review the distribution of proceeds of the sale for selected cases to determine the distribution was in accordance with Court order pursuant to 63 O.S. §§ 2-506.K and 2-508.

- Determine whether expenditures tested were supported by approved claims, invoices, and independent verification that goods or services paid for were received.

- Determine whether the District Attorney prepared and submitted an annual report to the Board of County Commissioners showing the total deposits, total expenditures, beginning and ending balances in accordance with 63 O.S. §2-506.L.3.

- Determine whether the District Attorney reconciles the balance with the County Treasurer monthly.

Our engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with accounting standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any financial statements of Oklahoma County.
Based on our procedures performed, and with respect to items tested, District 7 was properly receipting and depositing the proceeds of forfeitures; maintains a true and accurate inventory of all property seized; forfeited assets were sold after proper notice at public auction to the highest bidder; the proceeds of forfeitures were distributed as directed by Court orders; expenditures were supported by approved claims, invoices, and independent verification that goods or services paid for were received; the District Attorney prepared and submitted an annual report to the Board of County Commissioners; and the District Attorney reconciled the balance of the Property Forfeiture Fund with the County Treasurer’s records monthly.

We have included in this report information from the Property Forfeiture Fund Annual Report prepared by District 7, which was submitted to the District Attorneys Council.

This report is intended for the information and use of the District Attorney and Oklahoma County officials. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Sincerely,

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA
STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

May 27, 2010
### PROPERTY FORFEITURE FUND

**BEGINNING CASH BALANCE ON JULY 1, 2008**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash forfeited</td>
<td>1,187,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value non-cash assets forfeited and sold</td>
<td>57,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursements</td>
<td>257,605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL INCOME (before distributions)**

1,502,900

**RETURNED TO OTHER AGENCIES**

674,978

**TOTAL DISTRIBUTIONS**

674,978

**EXPENDITURES BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel and benefits</td>
<td>534,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of prosecution/investigation</td>
<td>9,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>2,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expense</td>
<td>8,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>40,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage and towing</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent judgments</td>
<td>180,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing charges</td>
<td>7,171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

784,317

**ENDING CASH BALANCE ON JUNE 30, 2009**

$ 322,239

*Source: District 7 Property Forfeiture Fund Annual Report (for informational purposes only)*