SANDY MOSS, COURT CLERK HUGHES COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATUTORY REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

This publication is printed and issued by the State Auditor and Inspector as authorized by 20 O.S. § 1312. Pursuant to 74 O.S. § 3105.B, six (6) copies have been prepared and distributed at a cost of \$13.41. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries.



Steve Burrage, CPA State Auditor and Inspector

August 27, 2008

Sandy Moss, Court Clerk Hughes County Courthouse Holdenville, Oklahoma 74848

Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the Hughes County, Court Clerk, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. This engagement was conducted in accordance with 20 O.S. § 1312. A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the Court Clerk.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the conduct of our procedures.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the state to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

MICHELLE R. DAY, Esq.

Chichell R. Day

Deputy State Auditor and Inspector

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Information	ii
Statutory Report of State Auditor and Inspector	1
Court Fund Account Analysis	3
Court Clerk Revolving Fund Analysis	5
Schedule of Findings and Responses	6

.

INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

The Court Clerk is elected by the qualified voters of the County for a term of four years.

The primary responsibilities of the Court Clerk are to record, file and maintain the proceedings of the District Court, and perform other duties as directed by the District Court. Other duties and responsibilities of the Court Clerk are as follows: collecting all required Court fees, issuing warrants, orders, writs, subpoenas, passports and certain licenses, maintaining dockets and financial records for the various divisions of the Court, maintaining an appropriation ledger to control and monitor Court Fund expenditures, disbursing District Court funds in accordance with Court instructions and state statutes, and reviewing Court Fund claims for proper supporting documentation before bringing the claims and vouchers to the Court Fund Board for approval.



Steve Burrage, CPA State Auditor and Inspector

> Sandy Moss, Court Clerk Hughes County Courthouse Holdenville, Oklahoma 74848

Dear Ms. Moss:

We have performed procedures for fiscal year 2007 activity of the Court Fund Account for the purpose of complying with 20 O.S. § 1312. We have also performed procedures for fiscal year 2007 activity of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund as created by 19 O.S. § 220.

- Test Court Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, (2) was properly approved, (3) was properly classified, and (4) did not exceed appropriations.
- Test Court Clerk Revolving Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, and (2) was properly approved.
- Test District Court vouchers to determine whether they were properly accounted for and test supporting documentation for disbursements to determine whether the disbursements were issued in accordance with Court instructions.
- Determine whether Court Fund activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records
- Determine whether the Court Clerk Revolving activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records.
- Determine whether the District Court case balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's depository ledger.
- Test receipts issued to determine whether: (1) the correct fee was collected, and (2) the receipt was properly accounted for in the financial records.

All information included in the reconciliations, the Court Fund appropriation ledger, the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, the monthly reports, and the cash receipts journal is the representation of the Court Clerk.

Our Court Clerk's engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any general-purpose financial statements of Hughes County.

Based on the above reconciliations, tests, and procedures performed, the Court Clerk was collecting the correct fees and properly accounting for them; Court Fund vouchers were properly supported, approved, classified, and did not exceed appropriations; Court Clerk Revolving Fund expenditures were properly supported and approved; District Court vouchers were properly accounted for and issued in accordance with Court instructions; Court Fund activity, Court Clerk Revolving Fund financial records, and District Court case balances reconciled with the County Treasurer's records. With respect to the matter of segregation of duties, our finding is included in the attached schedule of findings and responses.

We have prepared detailed analysis of the Court Fund Account and of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, which are presented following this report.

This report is intended for the information and use of the Hughes County Court Fund Board and the Administrative Office of the Courts. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

Chichell R. Day

MICHELLE R. DAY, Esq. Deputy State Auditor and Inspector

August 11, 2008

SANDY MOSS, COURT CLERK HUGHES COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2007

Collections:	
Court fund fines, fees, and forfeitures	\$ 245,039
Cancelled vouchers	6,716
Interest earned on deposit	 191
Total collections	251,946
Deductions:	
Lump sum budget categories:	
Juror expenses	11,724
Trial court attorneys	14,761
Attorney fees (mental health)	345
Transcripts - preliminary & trial	4,073
General office supplies	4,728
Forms printing	1,005
Books for records and indexes	403
Court reporter supplies	805
Gas, water, electricity	6,050
General telephone expense	2,590
Long-distance telephone expense	 310
Total lump sum categories	46,794
Restricted budget categories:	
Security for court area	962
Furniture and fixtures	155
Equipment rentals	1,050
Maintenance of equipment	11,291
OCIS services	15,862
Photocopy equipment rental	2,500
Photocopy equipment maintenance	928
Part-time bailiffs	33
Part-time court clerk employees	 88,464
Total restricted categories	121,245

SANDY MOSS, COURT CLERK HUGHES COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2007

Mandated categories:	
Law library	7,000
State judicial fund	107,895
Total mandated categories	 114,895
Total deductions	 282,934
Collections over (under) deductions	(30,988)
Beginning account balance	 64,996
Ending account balance	\$ 34,008

SANDY MOSS, COURT CLERK HUGHES COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT CLERK REVOLVING FUND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2007

Collections:	
Court fund revolving fees	\$ 9,349
Total collections	9,349
Deductions:	
Court clerk revolving fund disbursements	5,902
Total deductions	5,902
Collections over (under) deductions	3,447
Beginning account balance	 42,592
Ending account balance	\$ 46,039

Finding 2007-1—Segregation of Duties (Repeat Finding)

Criteria: Segregation of duties over asset custody, transaction authorization, bookkeeping and reconciliation are important elements of effective internal control over government assets and resources.

Condition: The limited number of office personnel within the Court Clerk's office prevents a proper segregation of accounting functions, which is necessary to assure adequate internal control structure.

Effect: This condition could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, or misappropriation of assets.

Recommendation: We recommend management be aware of this condition and realize that concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desired from a control point of view. The most effective controls lie in management's knowledge of office operations and a periodic review of operations.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: We concur with the State Auditor's findings. Management does have knowledge of office operations and will perform a periodic review of these operations.



OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR 2300 N. LINCOLN BOULEVARD, ROOM 100 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73105-4896

WWW.SAI.STATE.OK.US