

LINDA GRAHAM, COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATUTORY REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

This publication is printed and issued by the State Auditor and Inspector as authorized by 20 O.S. § 1312. Pursuant to 74 O.S. § 3105.B, six (6) copies have been prepared and distributed at a cost of \$14.70. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries.

STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA State Auditor

MICHELLE R. DAY, ESQ. Chief Deputy



2300 N. Lincoln Boulevard State Capitol, Room 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73105-4801 Phone (405) 521-3495 Fax (405) 521-3426 www.sai.ok.gov

June 7, 2010

Linda Graham, Court Clerk Jefferson County Courthouse Waurika, Oklahoma 73573

Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the Jefferson County Court Clerk for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. This engagement was conducted in accordance with 20 O.S. §1312. A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the Court Clerk.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the conduct of our procedures.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the State to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA

Low Bernage

STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

LINDA GRAHAM, COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATUTORY REPORT JUNE 30, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Information	ii
Statutory Report of State Auditor and Inspector	
Court Fund Account Analysis	
Court Clerk Revolving Fund Analysis	
Schedule of Findings and Responses	6

INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

The Court Clerk is elected by the qualified voters of the County for a term of four years. The primary responsibilities of the Court Clerk are to record, file and maintain the proceedings of the District Court, and perform other duties as directed by the District Court. Other duties and responsibilities of the Court Clerk are as follows: collecting all required Court fees, issuing warrants, orders, writs, subpoenas, passports and certain licenses, maintaining dockets and financial records for the various divisions of the Court, maintaining an appropriation ledger to control and monitor Court Fund expenditures, disbursing District Court funds in accordance with Court instructions and state statutes, and reviewing Court Fund claims for proper supporting documentation before bringing the claims and vouchers to the Court Fund Board for approval.

STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA State Auditor

MICHELLE R. DAY, ESQ. Chief Deputy



2300 N. Lincoln Boulevard State Capitol, Room 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73105-4801 Phone (405) 521-3495 Fax (405) 521-3426 www.sai.ok.gov

Linda Graham, Court Clerk Jefferson County Courthouse Waurika, Oklahoma 73573

Dear Ms. Graham:

We have performed procedures for fiscal year 2007 activity of the Court Fund Account for the purpose of complying with 20 O.S. § 1312. We have also performed procedures for fiscal year 2007 activity of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund as created by 19 O.S. § 220.

- Test receipts issued to determine whether: (1) the correct fee was collected, and (2) the receipt was properly accounted for in the financial records.
- Test Court Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, (2) was properly approved, (3) was properly classified, and (4) did not exceed appropriations.
- Test Court Clerk Revolving Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, and (2) was properly approved.
- Test District Court vouchers to determine whether they were properly accounted for and test supporting documentation for disbursements to determine whether the disbursements were issued in accordance with Court instructions.
- Determine whether Court Fund activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records.
- Determine whether the Court Clerk Revolving activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records.
- Determine whether the District Court case balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's depository ledger.

All information included in the reconciliations, the Court Fund appropriation ledger, the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, the monthly reports, and the cash receipts journal is the representation of the Court Clerk.

Our Court Clerk's engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any general-purpose financial statements of Jefferson County.

Based on the above reconciliations, tests, and procedures performed, the Court Clerk was collecting the correct fees and properly accounting for them; Court Fund vouchers were properly supported, approved, classified, and did not exceed appropriations; Court Clerk Revolving Fund expenditures were properly supported and approved; District Court vouchers were properly accounted for and issued in accordance with Court instructions; Court Fund activity, Court Clerk Revolving Fund financial records, and District Court case balances reconciled with the County Treasurer's records. However, with respect to segregation of duties, our finding is presented in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses.

We have prepared detailed analysis of the Court Fund Account and of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, which are presented following this report.

This report is intended for the information and use of the Jefferson County Court Fund Board and the Administrative Office of the Courts. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA

STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

May 14, 2010

LINDA GRAHAM, COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2007

Collections:	
Court fund fines, fees, and forfeitures	\$ 409,845
Interest earned on deposits	1,005
Canceled vouchers, refunds	131
Total collections	410,981
Deductions:	
Lump sum budget categories:	
Juror expenses	8,038
Trial court attorneys	1,168
Transcripts-preliminary and trial	6,152
Transcripts-appeals	682
General office supplies	1,824
Forms printing	865
Postage and freight	1,811
Court reporter supplies	83
Gas, water, and electricity	6,021
General telephone expenses	1,849
Long-distance telephone expense	951
Other expenses	 20
Total lump sum categories	29,464
Restricted budget categories:	< 40.
Renovation and remodeling	6,497
Maintenance of courtroom	176
Furniture and fixtures	2,878
Equipment purchases	93
Equipment rentals	1,416
Maintenance of equipment	10,420
OCIS services	12,394
Photocopy equipment rental	4,400
Part-time court clerk employees	 85,162
Total restricted categories	 123,436

LINDA GRAHAM, COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2007

Mandated budget categories:	
Law library	5,000
State judicial fund	227,779
Total mandated categories	232,779
Total deductions	385,679
Collections over (under) deductions	25,302
Beginning account balance July 1, 2006	61,183
Ending account balance June 30, 2007	\$ 86,485

LINDA GRAHAM, COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT CLERK REVOLVING FUND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2007

Collections:	
Court fund revolving fees	\$ 25,013
Total collections	25,013
Deductions:	
Court clerk revolving fund disbursements	26,231
Total deductions	26,231
Collections over (under) deductions	(1,218)
Beginning account balance July 1, 2006	10,061
Ending account balance June 30, 2007	\$ 8,843

Finding 2007-1 – Segregation of Duties (Repeat Finding)

Criteria: Accountability and stewardship are overall goals of management in the accounting of funds. To help ensure a proper accounting of funds, key duties and responsibilities should be segregated among different individuals to reduce the risk of error or fraud. No one individual should have the ability to authorize transactions, have physical custody of assets, and record transactions.

Condition: We noted the following concerns in regards to recording, authorization, custody, and execution of revenue transactions:

- All employees receive money, write receipts, prepare customer billings, mail billings or statements and post payments to customer accounts.
- All employees work from the same cash drawer.
- The first deputy, in addition to receiving money and issuing receipts, posts daily receipts to the cash book.
- The second deputy, in addition to receiving money and issuing receipts, also opens the mail and totals remittances.
- The third deputy, in addition to receiving money and issuing receipts, also balances the cash drawer to daily receipts.
- The fourth deputy, in addition to receiving money and issuing receipts, also opens the mail and totals remittances, prepares official depository tickets, and takes the deposits to the Treasurer.
- The Court Clerk, in addition to receiving money and issuing receipts, reconciles account balances to the Treasurer.

We noted the following concerns in regards to recording, authorization, custody, and execution of expenditure transactions:

- All employees calculate amounts vouchered to other funds, review amounts vouchered to other funds, prepare vouchers, sign vouchers, post vouchers to cash book, mail/distribute vouchers, authorize purchases, prepare claims with supporting documentation, certify receipt of goods or services, and approve claims for payment.
- The Court Clerk is the custodian of investments in addition to the duties included above.

Effect: These conditions could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, or misappropriation of funds

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management be aware of these conditions and realize that concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desired from a control point of view. The most effective controls lie in management's overseeing of office operations and a periodic review of operations. In the event that segregation of duties is not possible due to limited personnel, OSAI recommends implementing compensating controls to mitigate the risks involved with a concentration of duties. Compensating controls would include separating key processes and/or critical functions of the office, and having management review and approval of accounting functions.

LINDA GRAHAM, COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES JUNE 30, 2007

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: The current Court Clerk stated "I have taken measures to correct this problem within the limited amount of employees that I have. At present, I have four employees. All five of us do receiving, receipting, and recording. I currently have two employees who do the daily depositing of cash and checks; one to do part of the deposit and a second to do another part of the deposit. A third deputy periodically and if either of the two employees are absent will do one part of the daily deposit. The fourth deputy does the monthly reports and reconciliations so I do not want her involved with the daily depositing of cash and checks. With the limited number of employees, I have involved all of them in some phase of the daily operations. I oversee and make a periodic review of the operations."

OSAI Response: The additional controls described by the Court Clerk, while not in operation at the time of our engagement, do appear to help partially mitigate the risks related to segregation of duties. However, these controls do not mitigate the risks associated with the fact that all of the staff works out of the same cash drawer. We recommend that the Court Clerk's office establish separate cash drawers for each individual receiving payment. In addition, the Court Clerk's office should consider ways to separate key processes possibly by rotating receiving, receipting, and depositing duties.



OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR 2300 N. LINCOLN BOULEVARD, ROOM 100 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73105-4896

WWW.SAI.OK.GOV