WANDA PEARCE, COURT CLERK MARSHALL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATUTORY REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

This publication is printed and issued by the State Auditor and Inspector as authorized by 20 O.S. § 1312. Pursuant to 74 O.S. § 3105.B, six (6) copies have been prepared and distributed at a cost of \$13.41. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries.



July 1, 2008

Wanda Pearce, Court Clerk Marshall County Courthouse Madill, Oklahoma 73446

Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the Marshall County, Court Clerk, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. This engagement was conducted in accordance with 20 O.S. § 1312. A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the Court Clerk.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the conduct of our procedures.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the state to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

MICHELLE R. DAY, Esq.

Chichell R. Day

Deputy State Auditor and Inspector

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Information	ii
Statutory Report of State Auditor and Inspector	1
Court Fund Account Analysis	3
Court Clerk Revolving Fund Analysis	5
Schedule of Findings and Responses	6

.

INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

The Court Clerk is elected by the qualified voters of the County for a term of four years.

The primary responsibilities of the Court Clerk are to record, file and maintain the proceedings of the District Court, and perform other duties as directed by the District Court. Other duties and responsibilities of the Court Clerk are as follows: collecting all required Court fees, issuing warrants, orders, writs, subpoenas, passports and certain licenses, maintaining dockets and financial records for the various divisions of the Court, maintaining an appropriation ledger to control and monitor Court Fund expenditures, disbursing District Court funds in accordance with Court instructions and state statutes, and reviewing Court Fund claims for proper supporting documentation before bringing the claims and vouchers to the Court Fund Board for approval.



Wanda Pearce, Court Clerk Marshall County Courthouse Madill, Oklahoma 73446

Dear Ms. Pearce:

We have performed procedures for fiscal year 2006 activity of the Court Fund Account for the purpose of complying with 20 O.S. § 1312. We have also performed procedures for fiscal year 2006 activity of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund as created by 19 O.S. § 220.

- Test Court Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, (2) was properly approved, (3) was properly classified, and (4) did not exceed appropriations.
- Test Court Clerk Revolving Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, and (2) was properly approved.
- Test District Court vouchers to determine whether they were properly accounted for and test supporting documentation for disbursements to determine whether the disbursements were issued in accordance with Court instructions.
- Determine whether Court Fund activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records.
- Determine whether the Court Clerk Revolving activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records.
- Determine whether the District Court case balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's depository ledger.
- Test receipts issued to determine whether: (1) the correct fee was collected, and (2) the receipt was properly accounted for in the financial records.

All information included in the reconciliations, the Court Fund appropriation ledger, the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, the monthly reports, and the cash receipts journal is the representation of the Court Clerk.

Our Court Clerk's engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any general-purpose financial statements of Marshall County.

Based on the above reconciliations, tests, and procedures performed; the Court Clerk was collecting the correct fees and properly accounting for them; Court Fund vouchers were properly supported, approved, classified, and did not exceed appropriations; Court Clerk Revolving Fund expenditures were properly supported and approved; District Court vouchers were properly accounted for and issued in accordance with Court instructions; Court Fund activity, Court Clerk Revolving Fund financial records, and District Court case balances reconciled with the County Treasurer's records. With respect to the matter of segregation of duties, our finding is included in the schedule of findings and responses.

We have prepared detailed analysis of the Court Fund Account and of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, which are presented following this report.

This report is intended for the information and use of the Marshall County Court Fund Board and the Administrative Office of the Courts. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

MICHELLE R. DAY, Esq.

Chichelle R. Day

Deputy State Auditor and Inspector

June 12, 2008

WANDA PEARCE, COURT CLERK MARSHALL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2006

Collections:	
Court fund fines, fees, and forfeitures	\$ 398,462
Cancelled vouchers	795
Interest earned on deposit	50
Total collections	399,307
Deductions:	
Lump sum budget categories:	
Juror expenses	10,858
Trial court attorneys	9,130
Mental health (attorneys)	1,225
Transcripts - preliminary & trial	2,758
General office supplies	6,578
Publications	273
Postage and freight	4,978
Court reporter supplies	1,913
Gas, water, electricity	10,000
General telephone expense	2,940
Long-distance telephone expense	933
Guardian ad litem fees	11,083
Other expenses (robes, etc.)	1,156
Total lump sum categories	63,825
Restricted budget categories:	
Maintenance of court area(s)	5,631
Renovation and remodeling	20,000
Equipment purchases	1,884
Equipment rentals	1,292
Maintenance of equipment	8,353
OCIS services	21,938
Photocopy equipment maintenance	2,000
Part-time bailiffs	5,624
Part-time court clerk employees	97,618
Total restricted categories	164,340

WANDA PEARCE, COURT CLERK MARSHALL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2006

Mandated categories:	
Law library	7,000
State judicial fund	170,947_
Total mandated categories	177,947
Total deductions	406,112
Collections over (under) deductions	(6,805)
Beginning account balance	45,914
Ending account balance	\$ 39,109

WANDA PEARCE, COURT CLERK MARSHALL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT CLERK REVOLVING FUND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2006

Collections:	
Court fund revolving fees	\$ 12,841
Total collections	12,841
Deductions:	
Court clerk revolving fund disbursements	3,543
Total deductions	3,543
Collections over (under) deductions	9,298
Beginning account balance	 24,884
Ending account balance	\$ 34,182

Finding 2006-1—Segregation of Duties (Repeat Finding)

Criteria: Segregation of duties over asset custody, transaction authorization, bookkeeping, and reconciliation are important elements of effective internal control over government assets and resources.

Condition: The limited number of office personnel within the Court Clerk's office prevents a proper segregation of accounting functions, which is necessary to assure adequate internal control structure.

Effect: This condition could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, or misappropriation of assets.

Recommendation: We recommend management be aware of this condition and realize that concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desired from a control point of view. The most effective controls lie in management's knowledge of office operations and a periodic review of operations.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: We concur with the State Auditor's findings. Management does have knowledge of office operations and will perform a periodic review of these operations.



OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR 2300 N. LINCOLN BOULEVARD, ROOM 100 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73105-4896

WWW.SAI.STATE.OK.US