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TO THE OKLAHOMA ENERGY RESOURCES BOARD

This is the audit report of the Oklahoma Energy Resources Board for the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. The goal of the State Auditor and Inspector is to promote accountability and fiscal integrity in state and local government. Maintaining our independence as we provide this service to the taxpayers of Oklahoma is of utmost importance.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during our engagement.

This report is a public document pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Records Act (51 O.S. § 24A.1 et seq.), and shall be open to any person for inspection and copying.

Sincerely,

GARY A. JONES, CPA, CFE
OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR
In 1993, leaders representing Oklahoma’s oil producers and royalty owners, working with the Oklahoma State Legislature, formed the Oklahoma Energy Resources Board (OERB). Oklahoma’s natural gas producers joined soon after. The mission of the OERB is to use the strength of Oklahoma’s greatest industry to improve the lives of all Oklahomans through education and restoration of abandoned well sites.

The OERB is a privatized state agency funded through a voluntary one-tenth of one percent assessment on oil and natural gas, also known as the “Oklahoma Oil Check-Off.” The assessment is paid by oil and natural gas companies and royalty owners and is remitted to the Oklahoma Tax Commission. The assessment is refundable annually between January 1 and March 31 for any contributor who does not wish to participate in the program.

Operations are governed by 74 O.S. §85.39, 52 O.S. §288, as well as Oklahoma Administrative Code Title 243. Oversight is provided by at least eighteen board members. The eighteen members shall be independent oil or natural gas producers, or representatives of major oil companies which do business in the state. Six are appointed by the governor, six are appointed by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, and six are appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Board members as of August 21, 2013 are:

Tim Munson ................................................................. Chairman
Danny Morgan...............................................................Vice-Chairman
Julie S. Kruger ...............................................................Secretary
Rusty Johnson ............................................................... Treasurer
Terry J. Adamson ........................................................... Member
Mark Aebi ................................................................. Member
Pete Brown ................................................................. Member
David House ................................................................. Member
Phil Cook ................................................................. Member
Mike Cross ................................................................. Member
Bill Gifford ................................................................. Member
Tom Goresen ................................................................. Member
Rob Johnston ................................................................. Member
Danny Morgan ............................................................... Member
Mike McDonald ............................................................... Member
Garrett Phelan ............................................................... Member
John Pilkington ............................................................... Member
Will Whitley ................................................................. Member
Wade Hutchings ............................................................... Member
Ronnie Irani ................................................................. Member
Table 1 summarizes OERB’s sources and uses of funds for state fiscal years 2011 and 2012 (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2012).

### Table 1 - Sources and Uses of Funds for FY 2011 and FY 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources:</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy Resources Assessments</td>
<td>$13,002,572</td>
<td>$17,101,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Investments</td>
<td>284,909</td>
<td>286,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>122,696</td>
<td>124,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Sources</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,410,177</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,513,219</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uses:</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>$12,720,602</td>
<td>$12,881,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Administrative Expenses</td>
<td>59,904</td>
<td>71,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Operating Expenses</td>
<td>121,841</td>
<td>96,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarship, Tuition, Incentive Payment</td>
<td>277,500</td>
<td>338,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds, Indemnities, Restitution</td>
<td>1,264,653</td>
<td>1,481,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Reimb. Litigation Costs</td>
<td>178,593</td>
<td>236,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments-Local Government, Non-Profits</td>
<td>2,616</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1,543</td>
<td>7,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Uses</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,627,252</strong></td>
<td><strong>$15,111,702</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Oklahoma PeopleSoft accounting system (unaudited, for informational purposes only)*

### Scope and Methodology

Our audit was conducted in response to 74 O.S. § 212, which requires the State Auditor and Inspector’s Office to audit the books and accounts of all state agencies whose duty it is to collect, disburse, or manage funds of the state. In addition, 52 O.S. § 288.5(9) requires an annual independent audit of OERB’s financial transactions.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives.

In planning and conducting our audit, we focused on the major financial-related areas of operations based on assessment of materiality and risk for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. Our audit procedures included inquiries of appropriate personnel, inspections of documents and records, and observations of OERB’s operations. We also tested a sample of transactions to achieve our objectives. To ensure the samples were representative of the population and provided sufficient, appropriate evidence, the random sample methodology was
used. We identified specific attributes for testing each of the samples and when appropriate, we projected our results to the population.

Because of the inherent limitations of an audit, combined with the inherent limitations of internal control, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of internal control to future periods are subject to the risk that conditions may change or compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

**OBJECTIVE**

Determine if OERB’s internal controls provide reasonable assurance that expenditures were accurately reported in the accounting records, and OERB’s financial operations complied with applicable finance-related laws and regulations.

**Conclusion**

OERB’s internal controls provide reasonable assurance that expenditures were accurately reported in the accounting records.

Financial operations complied with the following finance-related laws and regulations:

- 52 O.S. § 288.4(I) – No member of the Board shall receive a salary for duties performed as a member of the Board however, members are eligible to receive reimbursement for expenses and travel reimbursement as provided for in the State Travel Reimbursement Act;
- 52 O.S. § 288.5(5) and (6) – The Oklahoma Energy Resources Board shall establish and administer the Energy Resources Revolving Fund, and approve or disapprove the budget of the Board;
- 52 O.S. § 288.8A(A) – An assessment of one tenth of one percent (1/10 of 1%) of the gross revenues received at the wellhead for oil, natural gas, casinghead gas or condensate produced from each well in the State of Oklahoma shall be collected;
- 52 O.S. § 288.8A(B) - To defray the costs of receiving and depositing assessments, the Oklahoma Tax Commission shall retain Two Thousand Dollars ($2,000.00) per month of the assessments received. The remaining monies received shall be deposited in the Energy Resources Revolving Fund.
- 52 O.S. § 288.9A – Requests for refunds;
- 74 O.S. § 85.39(A) – Approval of internal purchasing procedures by the State Purchasing Director; and
- Oklahoma Administrative Code – Title 243:1-3-2 - Funding, Title 243:10-3-1 – Payment of Assessment, Title 243:10-3-2 – Collection of Assessment, and Title 243:10-3-3 – Request for Refund.

There were no exceptions noted as a result of applying our procedures.