# ROGERS COUNTY SHERIFF TURNOVER

DECEMBER 31, 2008

ar.



Oklahoma State Auditor & Inspector

# COUNTY OFFICER TURNOVER STATUTORY REPORT JERRY PRATHER ROGERS COUNTY SHERIFF DECEMBER 31, 2008

This publication is printed and issued by the State Auditor and Inspector as authorized by 19 O.S. § 171. Pursuant to 74 O.S. § 3105.B, seven (7) copies have been prepared and distributed at a cost of \$15.32. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries.

STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR MICHELLE R. DAY, ESO.



Chief Deputy

2300 N. Lincoln Boulevard State Capitol, Room 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73105-4801 Phone (405) 521-3495 Fax (405) 521-3426 www.sai.ok.gov

March 20, 2009

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ROGERS COUNTY COURTHOUSE CLAREMORE, OKLAHOMA 74017

Transmitted herewith is the Rogers County Sheriff, Officer Turnover Statutory Report for December 31, 2008. The engagement was conducted in accordance with 19 O.S. § 171.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the course of our engagement.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the state to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR



MICHELLE R. DAY, ESO. Chief Deputy

State Auditor

2300 N. Lincoln Boulevard State Capitol, Room 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73105-4801 Phone (405) 521-3495 Fax (405) 521-3426 www.sai.ok.gov

Jerry Prather **Rogers County Sheriff** Rogers County Courthouse Claremore, Oklahoma 74017

For the purpose of complying with 19 O.S. § 171, we have performed the following procedures for December 31, 2008:

- Verify that equipment items on hand agree with inventory records as per 19 O.S. § 178.1.
- Verify that the amount of total claims approved for the operation of said Office is not in excess of limitations imposed by 19 O.S. § 347.
- Verify that the Office is not exceeding the maximum amount of cash authorized for their change • needs per 19 O.S. § 682.
- Verify that a monthly report of the Office is on file with the County Clerk per 19 O.S. § 684.
- Verify that the Officers' depository account balances reconcile with the County Treasurer's records and that undeposited cash reconciles to receipts.

Information addressed in this report is the representation of the respective county officers.

Our county officer turnover engagement was limited to the statutory procedures described above and was less in scope than an examination or audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

Based on our procedures performed, the Office was not exceeding the maximum amount of cash authorized for their change needs; a monthly report of the Office was on file with the County Clerk; the Officers' depository account balances reconciled with the County Treasurer's records and undeposited cash reconciled to receipts. With respect to equipment on hand agreeing with inventory records, and claims in excess of limitations, our findings are included in the accompanying schedule of findings and recommendations.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the County and should not be used for any other purpose. This report is also a public document pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Records Act (51 O.S., section 24A.1 et seq.), and shall be open to any person for inspection and copying.

note

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

December 31, 2008

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **Finding 2009-1 – Lack of Inventory**

Criteria: Title 19 O.S. § 178.1 states, "The board of county commissioners in each county of this state shall take, or cause to be taken, an inventory of all working tools, apparatus, machinery and equipment belonging to the county or leased or otherwise let to it or to any department thereof, other than that which is affixed to and made a part of lands and buildings, the cost of which as to each complete working unit thereof is more than Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00), and therefore maintain or cause to be maintained a continuous inventory record thereof and of like tools, apparatus, machinery and equipment purchased, leased, or otherwise coming into custody of the county or of any office, board, department, commission or any or either thereof, and the disposition thereof whether sold, exchanged, leased, or let where authorized by statute, junked, strayed or stolen, and biennially thereafter..."

Condition: The following items were not on the inventory listing:

Amount	Item
2	2008 Dodge Durango Trucks
1	1997 Crown Vic
1	1999 Crown Vic
1	18ft. Boston Whaler Boat

Also, items that had been junked, donated, or transferred did not contain an inventory resolution. Many of the inventory items did not contain a dollar amount or serial number and none of the items on the list contained a county tag to identify the item.

Effect: Inventory items were not accurately accounted for and the County's assets may not be safeguarded.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends equipment inventory information be periodically reviewed, reconciled, and updated to detect errors and to maintain an accurate inventory record. We also recommend that these inventories be documented and filed with the County Clerk.

Views of responsible officials: New management is working to correct this issue.

#### Finding 2009-2 – Excess Appropriations

Criteria: Title 19 O.S. § 347.C. states, "Whenever a county officer holding an elective office will not immediately serve a succeeding term in the same office, it shall be unlawful for the board of county commissioners, during the first six (6) months of the fiscal year in which said term of office expires, to approve claims for the operation of said office totaling in excess of one-half (1/2) the amount allocated

for the operation of said office during said fiscal year, unless approval in writing is obtained from the county excise board, and any claim in excess thereof and any warrant issued pursuant thereto shall be null and void.

Condition: From test work performed it was determined the Sheriff purchased five (5) vehicles during the first six months of the fiscal year in which said term of office expired. Those vehicles totaled \$110,445.00. The vehicles were purchased November 19, 2008, and November 24, 2008, which caused the Sheriff to spend in excess of one-half of the amount allocated for the office for said fiscal year.

Effect: Claims and warrants issued may be found to be null and void.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends the BOCC not approve claims for the operation of a county office totaling in excess of the amount set forth in 19 O.S. § 347.C, unless approval in writing is obtained from the County Excise Board.

Views of responsible officials: New management is aware of this and was made aware of this issue prior to purchase of vehicles.

## **Finding 2009-3 – Segregation of Duties**

Criteria: Accountability and stewardship are overall goals in evaluating management's accounting of funds. To help ensure a proper accounting of funds, the duties of receiving, receipting, recording, depositing cash and checks, reconciliations, and transaction authorization should be segregated.

Condition: Based on inquiries of County personnel and test work performed, it was noted that receiving, receipting, recording, depositing cash and checks, reconciliations, and transaction authorization within the Sheriff's office were not properly segregated to assure adequate internal control structure.

Effect: This condition could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, or misappropriation of assets.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management be aware of these conditions and realize the concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desired from a control point of view. The most effective controls lie in management's knowledge of office operations and a periodic review of operations.

Views of responsible officials: New management does have knowledge of office operations and will work to segregate accounting functions and perform periodic reviews.



# OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR 2300 N. LINCOLN BOULEVARD, ROOM 100 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73105-4896

WWW.SAI.OK.GOV