TO THE CITIZENS OF
TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Transmitted herewith are the Single Audit Reports of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004. Our report on the audited financial statements was issued under separate cover. The audit was conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, Government Auditing Standards, and the provisions of the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.

Reports of this type are critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that our audit failed to disclose commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the County.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the course of our audit.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the state to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

JEFF A. McMAHAN
State Auditor and Inspector
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Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title</th>
<th>Federal CFDA Number</th>
<th>Pass-Through Grantor's Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Service Act</td>
<td>93.243</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 286,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>286,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Investment Partnership Program</td>
<td>14.239</td>
<td></td>
<td>560,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>560,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Grant:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Law Enforcement Block Grant</td>
<td>16.592</td>
<td></td>
<td>84,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Services (COPS)</td>
<td>16.710</td>
<td></td>
<td>135,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement</td>
<td>16.590</td>
<td></td>
<td>224,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through District Attorney's Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence Against Women Formula Grant</td>
<td>16.588</td>
<td></td>
<td>95,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Safe Neighborhood Grant</td>
<td>16.579</td>
<td></td>
<td>35,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total U.S. Department of Justice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>576,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed Through Oklahoma State Department of Civil Emergency Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Operations Planning Grant</td>
<td>83.552</td>
<td></td>
<td>52,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through Oklahoma Highway Safety Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Enforcement Grant</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td></td>
<td>74,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total National Highway Traffic Safety Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,550,527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Basis of Presentation

The schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of Tulsa County, and is presented on the cash basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations.*
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

TO THE OFFICERS OF
TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2004, which collectively comprise Tulsa County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 27, 2004. We did not audit the financial statements of the Tulsa County Industrial Authority, a governmental activity, the Tulsa County Public Facilities Authority, a business-type activity, the Tulsa County Criminal Justice Authority, the Tulsa City/County Health Board and the Tulsa County Home Finance Authority, discretely presented component units, or the Tulsa County Employees' Retirement System, a fiduciary fund. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors, whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions on the financial statements, insofar as they relate to the amounts included for the above-mentioned entities are based on the reports of the other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Tulsa County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Tulsa County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Statement on Auditing Standards No. 87 requires the inclusion of the following paragraph in this report:

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the County, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

However, the Oklahoma Open Records Act states that all records of public bodies and public officials shall be open to any person, except as specifically exempted. The purpose of this Act is to ensure and facilitate the public's right of access to and review of government records so they may efficiently and intelligently exercise their inherent political power. Therefore, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is in no way limited or restricted.

Sincerely,

JEFF A. McMAHAN
State Auditor and Inspector

December 27, 2004
Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program
and on Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With
OMB Circular A-133
STATE OF OKLAHOMA
OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR

JEFF A. McMAHAN
State Auditor and Inspector

Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program
and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With
OMB Circular A-133

TO THE OFFICERS OF
TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Tulsa County with the types of compliance requirements described in
the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are
applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2004. Tulsa County’s major
federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule
of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and
grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Tulsa County’s
management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Tulsa County’s compliance based on our
audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the
United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing
Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of
States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133
require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance
with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect
on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Tulsa
County’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered
necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.
Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Tulsa County’s compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Tulsa County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above
that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2004.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Tulsa County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal
control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal
programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Tulsa County’s internal control over
compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in
order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and
to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the
internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the
design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregated discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2004, and have issued our report thereon dated December 27, 2004. We did not audit the financial statements of the Tulsa County Industrial Authority, a governmental activity, the Tulsa County Public Facilities Authority, a business-type activity, the Tulsa County Criminal Justice Authority, the Tulsa City/County Health Board and the Tulsa County Home Finance Authority, discretely presented component units, or the Tulsa County Employees' Retirement System, a fiduciary fund. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors, whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions on the financial statements, insofar as they relate to the amounts included for the above-mentioned entities are based on the reports of the other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the basic financial statements that collectively comprise Tulsa County's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants’ Statement on Auditing Standards No. 87 requires the inclusion of the following paragraph in this report:

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the County, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

However, the Oklahoma Open Records Act states that all records of public bodies and public officials shall be open to any person, except as specifically exempted. The purpose of this Act is to ensure and facilitate the public's right of access to and review of government records so they may efficiently and intelligently exercise their inherent political power. Therefore, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is in no way limited or restricted.

Sincerely,

JEFF A. McMahan
State Auditor and Inspector

December 27, 2004
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
SECTION 1 - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting:

• Material weakness(es) identified? No
• Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

• Material weakness(es) identified? No
• Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133? No

Identification of Major Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number(s)</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.239</td>
<td>Home Investment Partnership Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: $300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes
SECTION 2 - Financial Statement Findings

No matters were reported.

SECTION 3 – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

No matters were reported.