## WASHINGTON COUNTY COURT CLERK

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006



Oklahoma State Auditor & Inspector

#### MARTHA MERSCH, COURT CLERK WASHINGTON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATUTORY REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

This publication is printed and issued by the State Auditor and Inspector as authorized by 20 O.S. § 1312. Pursuant to 74 O.S. § 3105.B, six (6) copies have been prepared and distributed at a cost of \$14.70. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries.

# STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR

MICHELLE R. DAY, ESQ. Chief Deputy

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA State Auditor



2300 N. Lincoln Boulevard State Capitol, Room 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73105-4801 Phone (405) 521-3495 Fax (405) 521-3426 www.sai.ok.gov

July 22, 2009

Martha Mersch, Court Clerk Washington County Courthouse Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74003

Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the Washington County Court Clerk for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. This engagement was conducted in accordance with 20 O.S. § 1312. A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the Court Clerk.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during the conduct of our procedures.

The Office of the State Auditor and Inspector is committed to serve the public interest by providing independent oversight and to issue reports that serve as a management tool to the state to ensure a government which is accountable to the people of the State of Oklahoma.

Sincerely,

Bemare

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Information	.ii
Statutory Report of State Auditor and Inspector	.1
Court Fund Account Analysis	.3
Court Clerk Revolving Fund Analysis	. 5
Schedule of Findings and Responses	.6

•

#### **INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION**

The Court Clerk is elected by the qualified voters of the County for a term of four years. The primary responsibilities of the Court Clerk are to record, file and maintain the proceedings of the District Court, and perform other duties as directed by the District Court. Other duties and responsibilities of the Court Clerk are as follows: collecting all required Court fees, issuing warrants, orders, writs, subpoenas, passports and certain licenses, maintaining dockets and financial records for the various divisions of the Court, maintaining an appropriation ledger to control and monitor Court Fund expenditures, disbursing District Court funds in accordance with Court instructions and state statutes, and reviewing Court Fund claims for proper supporting documentation before bringing the claims and vouchers to the Court Fund Board for approval.

# STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR

MICHELLE R. DAY, ESQ. Chief Deputy

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA State Auditor



2300 N. Lincoln Boulevard State Capitol, Room 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73105-4801 Phone (405) 521-3495 Fax (405) 521-3426 www.sai.ok.gov

Martha Mersch, Court Clerk Washington County Courthouse Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74003

Dear Ms. Mersch:

We have performed procedures for fiscal year 2006 activity of the Court Fund Account for the purpose of complying with 20 O.S. § 1312. We have also performed procedures for fiscal year 2006 activity of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund as created by 19 O.S. § 220.

- Test receipts issued to determine whether: (1) the correct fee was collected, and (2) the receipt was properly accounted for in the financial records.
- Test Court Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, (2) was properly approved, (3) was properly classified, and (4) did not exceed appropriations.
- Test Court Clerk Revolving Fund vouchers to determine whether the expenditure: (1) was properly supported by a claim, invoice, and receiving documentation, and (2) was properly approved.
- Test District Court vouchers to determine whether they were properly accounted for and test supporting documentation for disbursements to determine whether the disbursements were issued in accordance with Court instructions.
- Determine whether Court Fund activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records.
- Determine whether the Court Clerk Revolving activity and/or balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's records.
- Determine whether the District Court case balances reconcile to the County Treasurer's depository ledger.

All information included in the reconciliations, the Court Fund appropriation ledger, the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, the monthly reports, and the cash receipts journal is the representation of the Court Clerk.

Our Court Clerk's engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any general-purpose financial statements of Washington County.

Based on the above reconciliations, tests, and procedures performed, the Court Clerk was collecting the correct fees and properly accounting for them; Court Fund vouchers were properly supported, approved, classified, and did not exceed appropriations; Court Clerk Revolving Fund expenditures were properly supported and approved; and District Court vouchers were properly accounted for and issued in accordance with Court instructions. With respect to Court Fund activity, Court Clerk Revolving Fund financial records, and District Court Case balances reconciling with County Treasurer's records, vouchers being cancelled in accordance with state statute, and segregation of duties, our findings are included in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses.

We have prepared detailed analysis of the Court Fund Account and of the Court Clerk Revolving Fund, which are presented following this report.

This report is intended for the information and use of the Washington County Court Fund Board and the Administrative Office of the Courts. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

Bernage

STEVE BURRAGE, CPA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

July 7, 2008

#### MARTHA MERSCH, COURT CLERK WASHINGTON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2006

Collections:	
Court fund fines, fees, and forfeitures	\$ 800,423
Interest earned on deposit	5,556
Cancelled vouchers	11,947
Total collections	 817,926
Deductions:	
Lump sum budget categories:	
Juror expenses	45,088
Trial court attorneys	78,570
Mental health hearings	55
Transcripts-preliminary & trial	8,988
Transcripts-appeals	1,970
General office supplies	13,666
Forms printing	3,435
Publications	2,523
Books for records, indexes	981
Postage & freight	10,313
Court reporter supplies	2,253
Cos vyster & sleetrisity	38,380
Gas, water & electricity	58,580
Other expenses	7,888
Other expenses Total lump sum categories	 7,888
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories:	 7,888 214,110
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling	 7,888 214,110 8,646
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s)	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase Equipment rentals	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270 4,476
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270 4,476 4,640 19,791
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase Equipment rentals Maintenance of equipment OCIS services	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270 4,476 4,640 19,791 38,341
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase Equipment rentals Maintenance of equipment OCIS services Photocopy equipment rental	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270 4,476 4,640 19,791
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase Equipment rentals Maintenance of equipment OCIS services	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270 4,476 4,640 19,791 38,341 6,010
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase Equipment purchase Equipment rentals Maintenance of equipment OCIS services Photocopy equipment rental Photocopy equipment maintenance	 7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270 4,476 4,640 19,791 38,341 6,010 4,693
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase Equipment purchase Equipment rentals Maintenance of equipment OCIS services Photocopy equipment rental Photocopy equipment maintenance Part-time bailiffs	7,888 214,110 8,646 21,490 20,358 4,270 4,476 4,640 19,791 38,341 6,010 4,693 6,582
Other expenses Total lump sum categories Restricted budget categories: Renovation and remodeling Maintenance of court area(s) Security of court area Furniture & fixtures Equipment purchase Equipment purchase Equipment rentals Maintenance of equipment OCIS services Photocopy equipment rental Photocopy equipment maintenance Part-time bailiffs Per diem court reporters	$\begin{array}{r} 7,888\\ \hline 214,110\\ \hline 8,646\\ 21,490\\ 20,358\\ 4,270\\ 4,476\\ 4,640\\ 19,791\\ 38,341\\ 6,010\\ 4,693\\ 6,582\\ 687\\ \end{array}$

#### MARTHA MERSCH, COURT CLERK WASHINGTON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT FUND ACCOUNT ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2006

9,000
336,675
345,675
825,329
(7,403)
270,585
\$ 263,182

#### MARTHA MERSCH, COURT CLERK WASHINGTON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COURT CLERK REVOLVING FUND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2006

Beginning balance as restated	\$ 101,844
Collections	32,313
Disbursements	 32,931
Ending account balance June 30, 2006	\$ 101,226

#### Finding 2006-1 – Segregation of Duties (Repeat Finding)

Criteria: Accountability and stewardship are overall goals in evaluating management's accounting of funds. To help ensure a proper accounting of funds, the duties of receiving, receipting, recording, depositing cash and checks, reconciliations, and transaction authorization should be segregated.

Condition: Based on inquiries of personnel and test work performed, it was noted that receiving, receipting, recording, depositing cash and checks, reconciliation, and transaction authorization within the Court Clerk's office were not properly segregated to assure adequate internal control structure.

Effect: These conditions could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, or misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management be aware of these conditions and realize the concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desired from a control point of view. The most effective controls lie in management's knowledge of office operations and a periodic review of operations.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: We are a small office, with one full time bookkeeper, we try to keep her segregated from the everyday work load, if possible, but from time to time she helps with the customers as well as I sometimes help in this area. We understood this to be the segregation required. We often work together in reconciling the month's end filings.

#### Finding 2006-2 – Reconciliation (Repeat Finding)

Criteria: The overall goal of effective internal controls as they relate to governmental entities in evaluating management's accounting for funds is to demonstrate accountability and stewardship. To help ensure a proper accounting of funds, a reconciliation should be performed monthly between the County Treasurer and the County Court Clerk.

Condition: Based on test work performed, it appears reconciliations are not being performed and maintained between the County Treasurer and the County Court Clerk.

We also noted that due to an error in coding vouchers, several disbursement amounts were doubled on the court clerk disbursements. The vouchers, however, were written for the correct amounts and recorded correctly by the Treasurer's office.

Effect: By failing to reconcile accounts in a timely manner the risk of misstatement or misappropriation increases. As a result, misstatements or misappropriation of funds would not be detected in a timely manner.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management take steps to ensure reconciliations are performed on a monthly basis and the reconciliation should be reviewed and approved by someone other than the preparer. A monthly reconciliation will enable the Court Clerk to maintain more accurate records by timely catching errors and decrease the risk of misappropriated funds.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: We have worked extensively with Brenda Warren and Julia Patrick (Kellpro) over the years to be able to reconcile our records. There were entry errors when we converted from our book records to the computer, so this was an inherited error we believe we have finally solved.

#### Finding 2006-4 – Cancelled Vouchers (Repeat Finding)

Criteria: Title 62 O.S. § 488 states in part, "No check issued or voucher registered by the treasurer of any county in this state shall be honored by the bank on which it is drawn or by his registration made payable if not presented within a reasonable time under the circumstances, or in any event unless presented within one (1) year of the date of issue. ...it shall be the duty of the county treasurer to make entry of cancellation opposite the record of the check or registration of the voucher, and shall forthwith credit the amount thereof to the fund on which it was drawn..."

Condition: We observed vouchers in the Official Depository Cancelled Voucher File that are not being cancelled within the one year limit.

Effect: This condition could result in undetected errors, inaccurate records, or incomplete information.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management take steps to ensure all vouchers are cancelled within the time limits allowed by state statutes.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: We cancel the vouchers from the listing we receive from the County Treasurer. As you may be aware, we have had some difficulties in our County with our past Treasurer, and these were not received in a timely manner. We have a new Treasurer since last June and believe this problem is now resolved.

Although, it sounds like a very weak excuse, we have had several new changes in personnel, new Judges, new DAs, and of course the Treasurer. We are taking steps to address and solve all these issues.



### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR 2300 N. LINCOLN BOULEVARD, ROOM 100 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73105-4896

WWW.SAI.OK.GOV