AUDIT REPORT

LEFLORE COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT #3 DECEMBER 31, 2010

KERSHAW CPA & ASSOCIATES, PC

LEFLORE COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT #3 LEFLORE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA DECEMBER 31, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION:		
INDEPENDENT	AUDITOR'S REPORT	3-4
BASIC FINANC	CIAL STATEMENTS:	
EXHIBIT A	STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS - MODIFIED CASH BASIS	5
EXHIBIT B	STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS - MODIFIED CASH BASIS	6
EXHIBIT C	STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS - MODIFIED CASH BASIS	7
NOTES TO THE	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	8-15
COMPLIANCE AND OTHE	CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON ER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL ED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING	
STANDARDS		16-17
SCHEDULE OF FINDING	GS	18

5300 WEST OKMULGEE AVENUE MUSKOGEE, OKLAHOMA 74401 PHONE (918) 684-1040 FAX (918) 684-1041

WEB: KERSHAWCPA.COM
E-MAIL: REK@KERSHAWCPA.COM

607 NORTH 1ST STREET PONCA CITY, OKLAHOMA 74601 PHONE (580) 762-1040 FAX (580) 762-1047

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors Leflore County Rural Water District #3 Leflore County, Oklahoma

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3's management. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and in accordance with Oklahoma Statutes. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

As described in Note 1, these financial statements were prepared on the modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3, Leflore County, Oklahoma, as of December 31, 2010, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, I have also issued my report dated January 6, 2012, on my consideration of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3's internal control over financial reporting and on my tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and

5300 WEST OKMULGEE AVENUE MUSKOGEE, OKLAHOMA 74401 PHONE (918) 684-1040 FAX (918) 684-1041

WEB: KERSHAWCPA.COM
E-MAIL: REK@KERSHAWCPA.COM

607 NORTH 1ST STREET PONCA CITY, OKLAHOMA 74601 PHONE (580) 762-1040 FAX (580) 762-1047

compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in compliance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of my audit.

Leflore County Rural Water District #3 has implemented the general provisions of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34. However the District has not presented the Management's Discussion and Analysis required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) that the GASB has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the basic financial statements.

Robert E. Kershaw

Certified Public Accountant

t E. Krulian

January 6, 2012

LEFLORE COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT #3 LEFLORE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS - MODIFIED CASH BASIS DECEMBER 31, 2010

ASSETS	2010	Memorandum Only 2009
CURRENT ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,998	\$ 30,193
Total Current Assets	4,998	30,193
NON-CURRENT ASSETS:		
Certificate of Deposit	45,647	45,647
ORWA Water Assistance Certificate	1,000	1,000
Capital Assets:		
Land	1,129	500
Other Capital Assets, Net of Depreciation	298,857	298,730
Total Non-Current Assets	346,634	345,877
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 351,632	\$ 376,070
LIABILITIES & NET ASSETS LIABILITIES: CURRENT LIABILITIES: Payroll Taxes Payable Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$ - 19,781	\$ 1,333 15,391
Total Current Liabilities	19,781	16,724
TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	172,342	179,623
TOTAL LIABILITIES	192,122	196,347
NET ASSETS: Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted for debt service Unrestricted	107,865 - 51,645	104,216 - 75,507
TOTAL NET ASSETS	159,510	179,723
TOTAL NET AGGLIG		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	\$ 351,632	\$ 376,070

See Accountant's Audit Report & Notes Which Accompany These Financial Statements

LEFLORE COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT #3 LEFLORE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS - MODIFIED CASH BASIS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

. 61. 1112 1 1112 1113 1113 2 112 2 2 2 2		01, 2010	Mer	norandum
				Only
ODED ATING DEVENUES		2010		2009
OPERATING REVENUES:	•	000 400	•	004.050
Water Sales	\$	290,103	\$	284,350
Meter Fees		870 4.750		3,000
Miscellaneous		4,752		4,866
Refunds		-		(819)
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES		295,725		291,397
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Water Purchases		117,275		102,416
Operations & Maintenance		15,496		11,771
Insurance and Bonds		9,740		8,916
Health Insurance		5,186		5,211
Utilities		17,112		14,150
Salaries & Related Payroll Expenses		93,022		80,241
Dues & Memberships		1,361		462
Professional Expense		-		6,343
Office Expense		2,687		1,532
Transportation Expense/Maintenance		14,504		5,810
Postage		2,812		2,404
Rent		-		371
Advertising		298		114
Return Checks/Fees		2,334		1,955
Contract Labor		-		357
Miscellaneous		6,429		1,667
Depreciation		20,169		17,105
Total Operating Expenses		308,425		260,825
NET OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)		(12,700)		30,572
OTHER REVENUES (EXPENSES):				
Interest Income		931		1,993
Interest Expense		(10,051)		(10,150)
Total Other Revenues (Expenses)		(9,120)		(8,157)
NET INCOME (LOSS)		(21,820)		22,415
TOTAL NET ASSETS, Beginning of Year		179,723		157,308
TOTAL NET ASSETS, Prior Year Adjustment		1,607		
TOTAL NET ASSETS, End of Year	\$	159,510	\$	179,723

See Accountant's Audit Report & Notes Which Accompany These Financial Statements

LEFLORE COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT #3 LEFLORE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS - MODIFIED CASH BASIS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

			Me	morandum
				Only
Not Cook Flows from Operating Activities		2010		2009
Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities: Cash Receipts from Customers	\$	295,725	\$	291,397
Payments to Suppliers & Laborers for Goods & Services	Ψ	(288,256)	Ψ	(243,817)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	-	7,469		47,580
Net Cash Flows from Capital & Related Financing Activities:				_
Additions to Capital Assets		(18,139)		(1,250)
Gain on Conversion/Sale of Fixed Assets		-		-
Loan Proceeds		15,000		-
Principal paid on Long-Term Debt		(17,891)		(14,642)
Interest paid on Debt		(10,051)		(10,150)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Capital & Related Financing Activities		(31,081)		(26,042)
Net Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Interest Income		931		1,993
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities		931		1,993
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(22,682)		23,531
Cash & Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year		75,840		52,309
Cash & Cash Equivalents, Prior Year Adjustment		(2,513)		
Cash & Cash Equivalents, End of Year	\$	50,645	\$	75,840
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided				
operating activities:				
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(12,700)	\$	30,572
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities				
Depreciation		20,169		17,105
Increase(Decrease) in Payroll Taxes Payable				(97)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	\$	7,469	\$	47,580

See Accountant's Audit Report & Notes Which Accompany These Financial Statements

NOTE 1 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Reporting Entity

Leflore County Rural Water District #3 was created under the provisions of the laws of the State of Oklahoma. The District operates as a nonprofit corporation under Oklahoma Statutes, Title 82, Sections 1234.1 through 1234.26, as amended. The purpose of the water district is to provide for the use and benefit of its members a water treatment and distribution system, operations and maintenance.

Basis of Presentation

The Enterprise Fund is used to account for the operations of the District that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent is that costs or providing goods or services be recovered in whole or in part through user fees or charges.

Basis of Accounting

The District utilizes the modified cash basis of accounting. Such basis is not in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Under the modified cash basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when received and expenditures are recorded when paid. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the District would utilize the accrual method, which recognizes revenues when earned, and expenditures when incurred.

Assets, Liabilities, & Equity

Cash & Cash Equivalents

Cash is comprised of deposits in checking accounts. At December 31, 2010, it appears that all deposits were fully insured by FDIC.

Inventory

The District does not maintain inventory records of parts or supplies, but charges these purchases to maintenance or supplies as they are received.

Capital Assets

Asset acquisitions are recorded and depreciated at historical cost. Depreciation on capital assets is calculated using the straight-line method of depreciation with useful lives 40-50 years for major asset purchases. Other asset purchases are depreciated over a period of between 3 to 25 years. The District has set the capitalization amount at \$150.00 for new assets purchased.

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt is reported at face value, net of applicable discounts and deferred loss on refunding. Costs related to the issuance of debt are deferred and amortized over the lives of various debt issues.

Equity Classification

Equity is classified as net assets and displayed in three components:

- Invested in capital assets, net of related debt-Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvements of those assets.
- 2. Restricted net assets-Consists of net assets with constraints placed on the use either by (a) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments; or (b) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- Unrestricted net assets—All other net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt."

Revenues, expenditures & expenses

Operating revenues and expenses for proprietary funds result from providing services and producing and delivering goods and/or services. They also include all revenues and expenses not related to capital and related financing, noncapital financing, or investing activities.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34

In June 1999, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) unanimously approved Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments. Certain of the significant changes in the Statement include the following:

- 1. For the first time the financial statement should include:
- a. A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing analysis of the District's overall financial position and results of operations. However, the District has chosen not to present the required MD&A for the current year.

- b. Financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all of the District's activities, except for including the General capital assets or infrastructure (lines, pump stations, etc.). However, the District utilizes the modified cash basis of accounting.
- 2. A change in the fund financial statements to focus on major funds.

These and other changes are reflected in the accompanying financial statements (including the notes to the financial statements). The District has elected to implement the general provisions of the Statement and report infrastructure acquired after December 31, 2003 as provided by GASB standards.

Income Taxes

The District is organized as a not-for-profit entity under Section 501(c)(6) of the Internal Revenue Code and is exempt from paying Federal and State Income Taxes.

Memorandum Only

The "Memorandum Only" captions above the total columns mean that totals are presented for overview information purposes only.

NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Custodial Credit Risk

At December 31, 2010, the District held deposits of approximately \$50,645 at financial institutions. The District's cash deposits, including interest-bearing certificates of deposit are covered by Federal Depository Insurance (FDIC) or direct obligation of the U.S. Government insured or collateralized with securities held by the District or by its agent in the District's name.

Investment Interest Rate Risk

The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

Investment Credit Risk

The District has no policy that limits its investment choices other than the limitation of state law as follows:

a. Direct obligations of the U.S. Government, its agencies and instrumentalities to which the full faith and credit of the U.S.

Government is pledged, or obligations to the payment of which the full faith and credit of the State is pledged.

- b. Certificates of deposits or savings accounts that are either insured or secured with acceptable collateral with in-state financial institutions, and fully insured certificates of deposit or savings accounts in out-of-state financial institutions.
- c. With certain limitation, negotiable certificates of deposit, prime bankers' acceptances, prime commercial paper and repurchase agreements with certain limitations.
- d. County, municipal or school district tax supported debt obligations, bond or revenue anticipation notes, money judgments, or bond or revenue anticipation notes of public trusts whose beneficiary is a county, municipality or school district.
- e. Notes or bonds secured by mortgage or trust deed insured by the Federal Housing Administrator and debentures issued by the Federal Housing Administrator, and in obligations of the National Mortgage Association.
- f. Money market funds regulated by the SEC and in which investments consist of the investments mentioned in the previous paragraphs (a.d.).

NOTE 3 - RESTRICTED ASSETS

The loan agreement with the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development (USDA) requires the District to set aside into a Reserve Account an accumulated amount equal to the sum of the annual installment (\$24,792), after which deposits may be suspended, except to replace withdrawals. The District cannot withdraw funds from the reserve account without USDA approval. As of December 31, 2010, the District was required to have at least \$24,792.00 set aside in the reserve account; as of that date the account balance for the reserve account was \$45,647.00, which complies with the covenants of the loan agreement.

NOTE 4 - CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, was as follows:

	Ba	lance at					Ва	alance at
	Dec	. 31, 2009	Ac	Additions		Deductions		. 31, 2010
Land	\$	500	\$	629	\$	•	\$	1,129
Building		55,000		-		-		55,000
Vehicles		26,200		15,010		-		41,210
Equipment		55,533		-		-		55,533
Capital Improvement		757,600		-		-		757,600
Water Line Improvement		93,398		2,500		-		95,898
Subtotal		988,231		18,139		-		1,006,370
Less: Accum. Depr.		(689,001)		(20,169)		2,786		(706,384)
Total Capital Assets								
(Net of Depreciation)	\$	299,230	\$	(2,030)	\$	2,786	\$	299,987

The deduction from accumulated depreciation was for a prior year adjustment to match the depreciation schedule.

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM DEBT

The District has a note payable #91-05 with an original balance of \$137,900, to the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development (USDA), payable in monthly installments of \$678 until maturity, including interest at 5.00%. The final payment is scheduled for December 2019. The note is secured by the water system.

The District has a note payable #91-07 with an original balance of \$41,800, to the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development (USDA), payable in monthly installments of \$206 until maturity, including interest at 5.00%. The final payment is scheduled for December 2019. The note is secured by the water system.

The District has a note payable #91-08 with an original balance of \$220,000, to the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development (USDA), payable in monthly installments of \$1,182 until maturity, including interest at 5.00%. The final payment is scheduled for 2021. The note is secured by the water system.

The District has a note payable with an original balance of \$15,000, to Spiro State Bank, payable in monthly installments of \$350 until maturity, including interest at 5.50%. The final payment is scheduled for 2014. The note is secured by the 2007 Chevrolet that was purchased with the loan proceeds.

Changes in Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of changes in long-term debt for the year ended December 31. 2010:

Ba	lance at					В	alance at
December 31, 2009		Additions		Deductions		December 31, 2010	
\$	62,316	\$	•	\$	(5,137)	\$	57,179
	18,534		•		(1,581)		16,953
	114,163		•		(8,673)		105,490
			15,000		(2,500)		12,500
\$	195,013	\$	15,000	\$	(17,891)	\$	192,122
	Decemb	\$ 62,316 18,534 114,163	December 31, 2009 Ad \$ 62,316 \$ 18,534 114,163	December 31, 2009 Additions \$ 62,316 \$ - 18,534 - 114,163 - 15,000	December 31, 2009 Additions December 31, 2009 \$ 62,316 \$ - \$ 18,534 - 114,163 - 15,000 - 15,000	December 31, 2009 Additions Deductions \$ 62,316 \$ - \$ (5,137) 18,534 - (1,581) 114,163 - (8,673) - 15,000 (2,500)	December 31, 2009 Additions Deductions December 31, 2009 \$ 62,316 \$ - \$ (5,137) \$ (5,137) 18,534 - (1,581) 114,163 - (8,673) - 15,000 (2,500) - -

Debt Services Requirements to Maturity

The annual debt service requirements to maturity, including principal and interest, for long-term debt, as of December 31, 2010, are as follows:

RD	#91-0	<u>5</u>
	Year	Ending

December 31,	Pr	Principal Interest			Total		
2011	\$	5,400	\$	2,736	\$	8,136	
2012		5,676		2,460		8,136	
2013		5,966		2,170		8,136	
2014		6,272		1,864		8,136	
2015		6,592		1,544		8,136	
2016-2020		21,273		2,640		23,913	
Total	\$	51,179	\$	13,414	\$	64,593	
RD #91-07 Year Ending							
December 31,	Pr	Principal		<u>Interest</u>		Total	
2011	\$	1.662	\$	810	\$	2.472	

	•	-,	*		•	-,
2012		1,747		725		2,472
2013		1,837		635		2,472
2014		1,930		542		2,472
2015		2,029		443		2,472
2016-2020		7,748		696		8,443
Total	\$	16,953	\$	3,850	\$	20,803

RD #91-08

Year Ending

December 31,	F	Principal	Interest		Total	
2011	\$	9,116	\$	5,068	\$	14,184
2012		9,583		4,601		14,184
2013		10,073		4,111		14,184
2014		10,589		3,595		14,184
2015		11,130		3,054		14,184
2016-2020		54,999		6,263		61,262
Total	\$	105,490	\$	26,692	\$	132,182

Spiro State Bank

Year Ending

December 31,	Pı	rincipal	I1	nterest	Total		
2011	\$	3,602	\$	598	\$	4,200	
2012		3,806		394		4,200	
2013		4,020		180		4,200	
2014		1,072		10		1,082	
2015		-		-		-	
2016-2020		-		-		-	
Total	\$	12,500	\$	1,182	\$	13,682	

NOTE 6 - FUND EQUITY

As described in Note 1 above, equity is classified as net assets and displayed in three components:

- 1. Invested in capital assets, net of related debt
- 2. Restricted net assets

3. Unrestricted net assets

Restricted assets are described in Note 3 above.

NOTE 7 - EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM

All full-time employees are covered by and must participate in the Oklahoma Public employees Retirement Plan (OPERS). The District is not legally required to contribute to the OPERS, which is fully funded by the state and by contributions from covered employees. Under the pension plan, benefits vest after 6 years of full-time employment. An employee with 10 years of service may retire at age 55 and receive reduced retirement benefits.

Based on state statute, employees covered by the pension plan must contribute 5% of their gross earnings to the pension fund. The state is required to contribute $8\ \%$ of gross earnings to the plan.

The Districts total contributions for 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$4,929, \$4,125, \$3,000, respectively.

The plan may be viewed at the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement Plan (OPERS) office in Oklahoma City or at its website.

NOTE 8 - CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

According to management there were no known contingent liabilities at December 31, 2010, which would have a material effect on the financial statements.

Federally Assisted Programs

In the normal course of operations, the District participates in various federal or state/loan programs from year to year. The grant/loan programs are often subject to additional audits by agents of the granting or loaning agency, the purpose of which is to ensure compliance with the specific conditions of the grant or loan. Any liability of reimbursement that may arise as a result of these audits cannot be reasonably determined at this time, although it is believed the amount, if any, would not be material.

NOTE 9 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft or, damage to, and destruction of assets, errors, and omissions; injuries to employees; employees' health and life; and natural disasters. The District carries commercial insurance for the risk of loss. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three years.

NOTE 10 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The District did not have any subsequent events through January 6, 2012, which is the date the financial statements were issued, for events requiring recording or disclosure in the financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2010.

NOTE 11 - PRIOR YEAR ADJUSTMENT

Three prior year adjustments were made to Total Net Assets. One adjustment of \$2,788 was made to accumulated depreciation to match the depreciation schedule. The second adjustment of \$1,332 was made to remove prior year payroll taxes payable for the modified cash basis. The final adjustment was \$2,513 and was to adjust the beginning cash balance to actual. (\$2,788+1.332-2.513=\$1.607)

5300 WEST OKMULGEE AVENUE MUSKOGEE, OKLAHOMA 74401 PHONE (918) 684-1040 FAX (918) 684-1041

WEB: KERSHAWCPA.COM
E-MAIL: REK@KERSHAWCPA.COM

607 NORTH 1ST STREET PONCA CITY, OKLAHOMA 74601 PHONE (580) 762-1040 FAX (580) 762-1047

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Leflore County Rural Water District #3 Leflore County, Oklahoma

I have audited the financial statements of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3, which were prepared on the modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles, as of December 31, 2010, and have issued my report thereon dated January 6, 2012. The Leflore County Rural Water District #3 has implemented the general provisions of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, except that the District did not present the required MD&A. I conducted my audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and in accordance with Oklahoma Statutes.

<u>Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>

In planning and performing my audit, I considered the Leflore County Rural Water District #3 internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, I do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

My consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. I did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that I consider to be material weakness, as defined above.

5300 WEST OKMULGEE AVENUE MUSKOGEE, OKLAHOMA 74401 PHONE (918) 684-1040 FAX (918) 684-1041

WEB: KERSHAWCPA.COM
E-MAIL: REK@KERSHAWCPA.COM

607 NORTH 1ST STREET PONCA CITY, OKLAHOMA 74601 PHONE (580) 762-1040 FAX (580) 762-1047

However, I identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings that I consider to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting. Those deficiencies are listed as Items 10-01 and 10-02. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Leflore County Rural Water District #3's financial statements are free of material misstatement, I performed tests of compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of my audit, and accordingly I do not express such an opinion. The results of my tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The District's response to the findings identified in my audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings. I did not audit the District's response and, accordingly, I express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of the Leflore County Rural Water District #3, Leflore County, Oklahoma and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Robert E. Kershaw. CPA

Foleret E. Embran

January 6, 2012

LEFLORE COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT #3 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2010

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES OF INTERNAL CONTROL

Item 10-01: Segregation of Duties

<u>Criteria:</u> A good system of internal control requires a proper segregation of duties to prevent one person from being in a position to authorize, execute, and record the same transaction.

<u>Condition:</u> Due to the size of the District's major areas of internal control, that would be prevalent in a larger District, such segregation of duties is not available for this size operation. Duties are concentrated in the hands of a few individuals, who are responsible for all phases of the accounting functions. Because of this lack of division of responsibility, internal control is determined to be weak, and in some instances, non-existent.

<u>Cause/Effect:</u> Due to the limited number of personnel, a breach of internal controls could occur and not be detected in the normal course of operations.

<u>Recommendation:</u> The Board should continue to be actively involved in the operations of the District.

<u>Response:</u> The Board continues to be actively involved in the operations of the District.

Item 10-02: Meter Deposits

<u>Criteria:</u> It is the responsibility of the District to properly report and account for the financial information.

<u>Condition:</u> The District does not maintain a ledger regarding the balance of customer meter deposits and does not maintain a separate account for customer deposits.

<u>Cause/Effect:</u> Customers could potentially not receive proper reimbursement on their deposit and the organization's balance sheet could be incorrect with respect to the deposit account.

<u>Recommendation:</u> It is recommended that the organization properly report and account for the balance of customer deposits.

Response: The District is aware of the problem.