## Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund

Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011 (With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)



## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Ta	ble	of Co.	ntents		

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report.	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	I-1
Financial Statements:	
Statements of Plan Net Assets—Defined Benefit Plans	3
Statements of Plan Net Assets—Defined Contribution Plans	4
Statements of Changes in Plan Net Assets—Defined Benefit Plans	5
Statements of Changes in Plan Net Assets—Defined Contribution Plans	6
Notes to Financial Statements	7
Required Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Funding Progress (Schedule I)	35
Schedule of Contributions from Employers and Employees (Schedule II)	36
Schedule of Funding Progress by Municipality/Municipal Agency (Schedule III)	37
Schedule of Contributions from Employers and Employees by Municipality/Municipal Agency (Schedule IV)	48
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	57
Other Supplementary Information:	
Independent Auditors' Report on Other Supplementary Information	64
Analysis of Fund Ownership for Defined Contribution Plans (Schedule V)	65
Report Required by Government Auditing Standards:	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	71



#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

Board of Trustees Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund

We have audited the accompanying statements of plan net assets (Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans) of the Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund (the "Fund") as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of changes in plan net assets (Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans) for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the plan net assets (Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans) of the Fund as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the changes in plan net assets (Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans) for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 14, 2012, on our consideration of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

(Continued)

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT, CONTINUED

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages I-1 through I-8, the schedule of funding progress on page 35, the schedule of contributions from employers and employees on page 36, the schedule of funding progress by municipality/municipal agency on pages 37 through 47, and the schedule of contributions from employers and employees by municipality/municipal agency on pages 48 through 56 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Finley + Cook, PLLC

Shawnee, Oklahoma December 14, 2012

#### **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

As management of the Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund (the "Fund"), we offer readers of the Fund's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Fund for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Please read it in conjunction with the Fund's financial statements, which begin on page 3.

#### Financial Highlights—Defined Benefit Plans

		2012	2011
•	Net assets of the Fund	\$ 346,839,701	337,066,065
•	Contributions: Municipalities/municipal agencies Employees	18,943,958 5,010,957	17,688,004 4,643,325
•	Additions of municipalities	-	1,824,137
•	Net investment income	6,956,890	60,350,391
•	Benefits, including member refunds	19,418,012	17,850,381
•	Expenses	1,720,157	1,745,683
•	Changes in net assets	9,773,636	64,909,793

#### Financial Highlights—Defined Contribution Plans

		2012	2011
•	Net assets of the Fund	\$ 225,728,457	222,054,256
•	Contributions: Municipalities/municipal agencies Employees	10,387,712 5,823,129	10,230,775 5,344,795
•	Net investment income	3,374,927	33,842,599
•	Benefits, including member refunds	14,946,779	14,035,827
•	Expenses	964,788	995,044
•	Changes in net assets	3,674,201	34,387,298

#### DISCUSSION OF THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual financial report consists of two parts: management's discussion and analysis (this section) and the basic financial statements. The Fund offers both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan in which the participating municipal employers share administrative expenses. The Fund is authorized under State statutes to pool funds for investment purposes. The financial statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. The statements provide financial information about the activities and operations of the Fund.

The statements of plan net assets present information on the assets of the Fund, along with liabilities, and the resulting net assets held in trust for benefits as of the end of the fiscal year. The Fund's investments are presented at fair value.

The statements of changes in plan net assets are presented in order to show the changes in net assets during the year. Activity of the Fund consists primarily of contributions to the Fund, unrealized and realized gains and losses on investments, investment income, benefits paid, investment and administrative expenses paid directly from the Fund, and addition or withdrawal of municipalities or plans.

The notes to financial statements provide additional information that is essential to gain a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements.

The required supplementary information and the related notes present a schedule of funding progress, a schedule of contributions from employers and employees, a schedule of funding progress by municipality/municipal agency, and a schedule of contributions from employers and employees by municipality/municipal agency, along with a discussion of actuarial assumptions and methods.

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARING THE CURRENT YEAR TO THE PRIOR YEAR

## Defined Benefit Net Assets:

The following table summarizes the net assets as of June 30 and the % changes in the balances:

	2012	<u>2011</u>	% Increase (Decrease)
Cash and short-term investments Investments, at fair value Securities lending collateral Receivables	\$ 9,374,864 337,283,126 12,662,907 1,793,413	6,695,387 329,380,998 10,289,298 1,947,630	40.0% 2.4% 23.1% (7.9)%
Total assets	 361,114,310	348,313,313	3.7%
Liabilities	 14,274,609	11,247,248	26.9%
Net assets	\$ 346,839,701	337,066,065	2.9%

Investments are made in accordance with the investment policy approved by the Board of Trustees. A more detailed description of the types of investments held and the investment policy are presented in the footnotes to the financial statements.

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARING THE CURRENT YEAR TO THE PRIOR YEAR, CONTINUED

## Defined Benefit Changes in Net Assets:

The following table summarizes the changes in net assets between fiscal years 2012 and 2011, and the % changes in the balances:

			% Increase
	2012	<u>2011</u>	(Decrease)
Additions			
Contributions	\$ 23,954,915	22,331,329	7.3%
Net investment income	6,956,890	60,350,391	(88.5)%
Additions of municipalities	 <u>-</u>	1,824,137	(100.0)%
Total additions	 30,911,805	84,505,857	(63.4)%
Deductions			
Benefits, including member refunds	19,418,012	17,850,381	8.8%
Expenses	 1,720,157	1,745,683	(1.5)%
Total deductions	 21,138,169	19,596,064	7.9%
Changes in net assets	\$ 9,773,636	64,909,793	(84.9)%

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARING THE CURRENT YEAR TO THE PRIOR YEAR, CONTINUED

## **Defined Contribution Net Assets:**

The following table summarizes the net assets as of June 30 and the % changes in the balances:

	2012	2011	% Increase (Decrease)
Cash and short-term investments	\$ 11,130,564	16,929,831	(34.3)%
Investments, at fair value	207,647,312	198,566,468	4.6%
Securities lending collateral	5,690,840	3,647,843	56.0%
Receivables	463,145	956,315	(51.6)%
Participant loans	9,048,187	8,849,620	2.2%
Total assets	233,980,048	228,950,077	2.2%
Liabilities	8,251,591	6,895,821	19.7%
Net assets	\$ 225,728,457	222,054,256	1.7%

Investments are made in accordance with the investment policy approved by the Board of Trustees. A more detailed description of the types of investments held and the investment policy are presented in the footnotes to the financial statements.

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARING THE CURRENT YEAR TO THE PRIOR YEAR, CONTINUED

## **Defined Contribution Changes in Net Assets:**

The following table summarizes the changes in net assets between fiscal years 2012 and 2011, and the % changes in the balances:

	2012	2011	% Increase (Decrease)
Additions		<u> </u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Contributions	\$ 16,210,841	15,575,570	4.1%
Net investment income	 3,374,927	33,842,599	(90.0)%
Total additions	 19,585,768	49,418,169	(60.4)%
Deductions			
Benefits, including member refunds	14,946,779	14,035,827	6.5%
Expenses	 964,788	995,044	(3.0)%
Total deductions	 15,911,567	15,030,871	5.9%
Changes in net assets	\$ 3,674,201	34,387,298	(89.3)%

## ANALYSIS OF THE OVERALL FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The purpose of the Fund is to provide cities, towns, and municipal agencies of Oklahoma with qualified retirement programs at minimal time, cost, and effort. The Fund offers several retirement plan alternatives for municipal employers, which include a Defined Benefit ("DB") and/or a Defined Contribution ("DC") plan. It is up to the member municipalities to choose which program best fits their needs. Funding for both plans is typically provided by contributions from the municipalities and their employees ("Participants").

Although each municipality's plan is funded separately, all assets for the DB plans are combined for investment purposes. The funds are invested for conservative long-term growth. All assets are held in a trust fund, and the Fund's Board of Trustees retains professional investment managers to invest the funds. The DC plans are also combined for investment purposes; however, the Fund provides several investment alternatives with varying degrees of risk and reward. These alternatives provide Participants the ability to select a combination of investments to best meet their individual objectives, whether they are just beginning their careers or are close to retirement. Therefore, we will not show the Fund's net yield on its average assets for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, for the DC plan because the returns are solely based on each Participant's investment selection.

Investment income for the DB plans has seen market volatility this past year as the investment markets have experienced turbulence. The diversity of the Fund's investment portfolio continues to provide both security and potential growth with its 65/30/5 split between stocks, bonds, and real estate, respectively. The Fund's yield on its average assets for the years ended June 30 and the yield for the S&P 500 and the Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate during the same period were as follows:

	2012	2011
Fund's yield on average assets	2.00%	22.40%
S&P 500 yield	5.44%	30.68%
Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate yield	7.48%	3.94%

Total benefit payments for the DB and DC plans increased this year, but will always vary based on specific activity within the individual plans.

The Fund members share plan operational costs, enabling many municipalities to provide plans which might not be affordable otherwise. The major components of the expenses are for investment management of the assets, actuarial and participant recordkeeping, payroll-related expenses for the employees of the Fund, custodial and legal fees, and miscellaneous office expenses. The Fund uses mutual funds to invest a portion of the assets. These mutual funds have internal expenses and management fees that have not been itemized as Fund expenses since they are not paid directly by the Fund.

## ANALYSIS OF THE OVERALL FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CONTINUED

While the Fund is directly impacted by the overall investment market changes, investments are made based on their expected long-term performance and in the best interest of the members of the Fund. With over \$595 million in assets and a wide range of diversity of investments, the Fund has the financial resources to maintain its current investment strategies while continuing to review other investment options to benefit its members.

During the year, three new plans were established; two of those were new members to the Fund and one was a current member that added an additional plan. One plan was terminated, and it was a city manager only (CMO) plan. The CMO plan termination was due to employee withdrawal.

No other items are known by management to have a significant impact on the operations or financial position of the Fund as of December 14, 2012.

#### REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Fund's finances for all those with an interest. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Executive Director, c/o Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund, 525 Central Park Drive, Suite 320, Oklahoma City, OK 73105.

## STATEMENTS OF PLAN NET ASSETS— DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS

June 30,	2012	2011
Assets		
Cash and short-term investments	\$ 9,374,864	6,695,387
Investments, at fair value:		
Fixed income securities	97,990,531	89,231,577
Equity securities—domestic	125,750,671	144,381,509
Equity securities—international	68,143,552	63,167,465
Equity securities—real estate	235,519	125,999
Alternative investments	30,761,985	21,405,998
Real estate	14,400,868	11,068,450
Total investments, at fair value	337,283,126	329,380,998
Securities lending collateral	12,662,907	10,289,298
Receivables:		
Contributions receivable from municipalities/municipal agencies	941,779	716,270
Contributions receivable from employees	260,468	185,039
Accrued interest and dividends receivable	147,941	174,709
Due from broker	443,225	871,612
Total receivables	1,793,413	1,947,630
Total assets	361,114,310	348,313,313
Liabilities		
Payable to terminated participants	907,544	493,678
Due to broker	704,158	464,272
Securities lending collateral payable	12,662,907	10,289,298
Total liabilities	14,274,609	11,247,248
Net assets held in trust for pension benefits  (A school of funding progress is presented in		
(A schedule of funding progress is presented in Schedule I)	\$ 346,839,701	337,066,065

## STATEMENTS OF PLAN NET ASSETS— DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLANS

<i>June 30,</i>	2012	2011
Assets		
Cash and short-term investments	<u>\$ 11,130,564</u>	16,929,831
Investments, at fair value:		
Fixed income securities	92,239,147	68,619,226
Equity securities—domestic	88,343,924	101,416,549
Equity securities—international	27,064,241	28,530,693
Total investments, at fair value	207,647,312	198,566,468
Securities lending collateral	5,690,840	3,647,843
Participant loans	9,048,187	8,849,620
Receivables:		
Contributions receivable from municipalities/		
municipal agencies	235,120	347,174
Contributions receivable from employees	169,622	155,840
Accrued interest and dividends receivable	34,819	31,719
Due from broker	23,584	421,582
Total receivables	463,145	956,315
Total assets	233,980,048	228,950,077
Liabilities		
Payable to terminated participants	2,375,834	3,030,349
Due to broker	184,917	217,629
Securities lending collateral payable	5,690,840	3,647,843
Total liabilities	8,251,591	6,895,821
Net assets held in trust for plan benefits	\$ 225,728,457	222,054,256

## STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PLAN NET ASSETS— DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS

Years Ended June 30,	2012	2011
ADDITIONS		
Contributions:		
Municipalities/municipal agencies	\$ 18,943,958	17,688,004
Employees	5,010,957	4,643,325
Total contributions	23,954,915	22,331,329
Investment income:		
Interest and dividends	3,225,872	4,216,523
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	3,731,018	56,133,868
Net investment income	6,956,890	60,350,391
Additions of municipalities		1,824,137
Total additions	30,911,805	84,505,857
DEDUCTIONS		
Benefits, including member refunds	19,418,012	17,850,381
Expenses	1,720,157	1,745,683
Total deductions	21,138,169	19,596,064
Changes in net assets	9,773,636	64,909,793
Net assets held in trust for pension benefits, beginning of year	337,066,065	272,156,272
Net assets held in trust for pension benefits, end of year	<u>\$ 346,839,701</u>	337,066,065

## STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PLAN NET ASSETS— DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLANS

Years Ended June 30,	2012	2011
ADDITIONS		
Contributions:		
Municipalities/municipal agencies	\$ 10,387,712	10,230,775
Employees	5,823,129	5,344,795
Total contributions	16,210,841	15,575,570
Investment income:		
Interest and dividends	2,688,790	2,785,142
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	686,137	31,057,457
Net investment income	3,374,927	33,842,599
Total additions	19,585,768	49,418,169
DEDUCTIONS		
Benefits, including member refunds	14,946,779	14,035,827
Expenses	964,788	995,044
Total deductions	15,911,567	15,030,871
Changes in net assets	3,674,201	34,387,298
Net assets held in trust for plan benefits, beginning of year	222,054,256	187,666,958
Net assets held in trust for plan benefits, end of year	<u>\$ 225,728,457</u>	222,054,256

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2012 and 2011

## (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u>

#### **Description of the Fund**

The Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund (the "Fund") was established July 1, 1966, for the purpose of providing a trust instrument for the administration of retirement allowances and other specified benefits for employees of city or town governments and municipally owned agencies in Oklahoma. These municipalities may elect to participate in the Fund in order to provide for the retirement of their employees who are not covered by another retirement plan. There are two programs available to each participating municipality, one being a defined contribution plan and the other a defined benefit plan. Each plan has various available options. The defined benefit plan is an agent multiple-employer type plan.

The overall operations of the Fund are supervised by a nine-member Board of Trustees elected by the participating municipalities. JPMorgan Chase Bank ("JPMorgan") acts as securities custodian. The Fund utilizes mutual funds, collective trust funds of banks and trust companies, or separate accounts specifically tailored for the Fund by investment advisors, greatly expanding the universe of managers to choose from. In each case, rigorous standards for selection and monitoring are applied. The usage of vehicles other than mutual funds may enable the Fund to reduce expenses or utilize the talent of an investment manager that might not be available via a mutual fund. The investment managers utilized by the Fund are as follows:

Manager	Style	Type
Artisan Funds, Inc.	Active	Mutual and Commingled Fund
Aston Asset Management	Active	Mutual Fund
Barings Asset Investment Management, Inc.	Active	Commingled Fund, Private Placement
BNY Mellon	Active	Collective Trust Fund
Common Sense Long-Biased, L.P.	Active	Funds of Funds
EARNEST Partners	Active	Separate Account Manager
Goldman Sachs Trust Financial Square Fund	Active	Mutual Fund
INTECH Investment Management, LLC	Active	Separate Account Manager and
		Collective Trust Fund
Invesco Stable Value Trust	Active	Collective Trust Fund
JPMorgan Asset Management	Active	Commingled Funds and Collective
		Trust Fund
Pioneer Institutional Asset Management, Inc.	Active	Collective Trust Fund
State Street Global Advisors	Passive	Collective Trust Fund
TimesSquare Capital Management, LLC	Active	Separate Account Manager
Vanguard Institutional Asset Management	Active & Passive	Mutual Fund
Western Asset Management	Active	Mutual Fund
Investment Company		

See Independent Auditors' Report.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED

## **Description of the Fund, Continued**

There were 206 and 204 member municipalities in the Fund at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The members use a defined benefit plan, a defined contribution plan, or a combination of plans. As of June 30, 2012, there was a total of 310 plans administered by the Fund, which included 131 defined benefit plans and 179 defined contribution plans. As of June 30, 2011, there were a total of 308 plans administered by the Fund, which included 130 defined benefit plans and 178 defined contribution plans.

Employee membership data related to the defined benefit plans is as follows:

	Plans with Actuarial Information at January 1,			
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>		
Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, and terminated employees				
entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them	1,905	1,828		
Vested	1,736	1,702		
Nonvested	2,128	2,160		
	3,864	3,862		

Employee membership data related to defined benefit plans with retirees only of municipalities which no longer participate in the Fund is as follows:

	Plans with Actuarial Information <u>January 1</u> ,			
	2012	2011		
Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, and terminated employees				
entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them	63	41		

The municipalities involved are still responsible for maintaining the funded status of the plans.

## (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Description of the Fund, Continued**

In general, the Fund provides retirement benefits based on either members' final average compensation, age, term of service, plus annual cost-of-living adjustments, if so elected, or the accumulation of contributions and earnings, depending upon the type of plan elected. Benefit and funding provisions include:

#### **Defined Benefit Plans**

- Members participating in a defined benefit plan become 100% vested in retirement benefits earned to date according to the plan option elected by the municipality. Vesting can occur after 5 years, 7 years, or 10 years, depending on the election made. Participants are eligible for normal retirement at their normal retirement age as defined in each member's joinder agreement and could be on or after age 55, 60, 62, or 65 and upon becoming vested. Early retirement benefits are available at reduced amounts as early as age 55. The normal retirement benefit is equal to an elected percentage of final compensation for each year of credited service. Final compensation is defined as the average salary for the highest 60 consecutive months out of the last 10 years of the participant's employment. (1)
- A member is eligible for disability benefits upon becoming vested.
- On non-hybrid municipalities, upon separation from the Fund, nonvested defined benefit members' contributions are refundable in addition to a 6% return on their contributions. On hybrid municipalities, upon separation from the Fund, nonvested defined benefit members' contributions are refundable in addition to real investment returns/losses on their contributions.
- The monthly employer contribution requirement for each municipality participating in one of the defined benefit plans is based upon a percentage of employees' compensation. The percentage for each municipality is computed every year by the Fund's actuary, pursuant to an actuarial cost method which consists of the actuarially computed normal costs, and the interest on any unfunded past service costs amortized over a rolling 30-year period. (2)

Bartlesville is the only exception. They have a career average plan and allow for normal retirement upon reaching Rule of 80 with no age minimum.

Bartlesville is the only exception. The amortization of unfunded past service cost is a fixed 30-year period beginning January 1, 2010.

#### (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Description of the Fund, Continued**

#### **Defined Benefit Plans, Continued**

#### Funded Status and Funding Progress

June 30, 2012—As of January 1, 2012, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the Plan was 79.4% funded on an actuarial basis. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$439.1 million, and the actuarial value of assets was \$348.5 million, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$90.6 million. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Plan) was approximately \$130.6 million, and the ratio of UAAL to covered payroll was 69.4%.

June 30, 2011—As of January 1, 2011, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the Plan was 78.8% funded on an actuarial basis. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$417.4 million, and the actuarial value of assets was \$329.1 million, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$88.3 million. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Plan) was approximately \$127.9 million, and the ratio of UAAL to covered payroll was 69.1%.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

#### Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

Valuation date January 1, 2012

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal, except Bartlesville which used the

unit credit cost method

Amortization method Level dollar

Asset valuation method Market value with smoothed gains or losses

Disability Old age survivors and disability insurance

incident rates

#### **Actuarial assumptions:**

Investment rate of return 7.5%

Projected salary increases 4.0% to 7.4%

Includes inflation at 3.0% Post-retirement increase 3.0%

#### (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

## **Description of the Fund, Continued**

#### **Defined Benefit Plans, Continued**

#### Health Plans

Included in the defined benefit plans at June 30, 2012 and 2011, were assets totaling \$321,356 and \$278,922, respectively, for medical plans for two cities, Bartlesville and Mannford. Actuarial information for these two plans is not included in the schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements.

#### **Defined Contribution Plans**

• The defined contribution plans are funded through selected rates of contributions as elected by each municipality. The funds are credited to individual participant accounts and pooled for investment purposes through the Fund. All gains and/or losses are credited directly to each participant. Upon retirement, termination of employment, disability, or death, the vested portion of a participant's account is paid to the participant or beneficiary as elected and in accordance with IRS regulations. This amount is based on an accumulation of employee and employer contributions, forfeitures, if applicable, and earnings or losses.

#### **Termination and Withdrawal**

An employer under a defined benefit plan may at any time terminate its involvement with the Fund with respect to its employees, pursuant to resolution by the governing body of the employer, and may direct and require the Board of Trustees to liquidate the portion of the Fund allocable to its employees or their beneficiaries in the following order:

- a. Benefits attributable to employee contributions with interest, taking into account those paid out before termination.
- b. Benefits to former employees or their beneficiaries receiving a retirement income or those employees who have become eligible for normal retirement but have not yet retired.
- c. Pensions deferred to normal retirement date for participants who have qualified for an early deferred pension.
- d. Pensions deferred to normal retirement date for participants who have qualified for a deferred vested pension.
- e. All other vested benefits.
- f. All nonvested benefits.
- g. All remaining assets shall be distributed as designated by the employer's retirement committee

See Independent Auditors' Report.

#### (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Basis of Accounting**

The financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting, under which expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred, revenues are recorded in the accounting period in which they are earned and become measurable, and investment purchases and sales are recorded as of their trade date. The financial statements are in conformity with provisions of Statement No. 25, *Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans*, issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB 25) and Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures—an amendment of GASB Statements No. 25 and No. 27* (GASB 50).

#### **Income Taxes**

The Fund is exempt from federal and state income taxes.

#### **Investments**

The Fund is authorized to invest in eligible investments as approved by the Board of Trustees as set forth in the investment policy.

The net depreciation or appreciation in the fair value of the Fund's investments is recorded as a component of investment income based on the valuation of investments as of June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Investments are stated at fair value based on published market prices. Because the investments are reported at fair values, the financial statements of the Fund are directly impacted by interest rate changes and market conditions. In addition, the Fund has investments in securities of foreign governments which are subject not only to changes in values due to interest rates but also to domestic, international, and world trade policies.

Derivative instruments are financial contracts whose values depend on the values of one or more underlying assets, reference rates, or financial indexes. They include futures contracts, swap contracts, options contracts, and forward foreign currency exchange. The Fund's investment policy addresses the use of derivatives by fund manager. Investments in commingled funds may include derivatives. Commingled funds have been reviewed to ensure they are in compliance with the Fund's investment policy. The Fund did not hold any direct derivative investments as of June 30, 2012 or 2011.

The investment policy limits the concentration of each portfolio manager. No direct investment in any one organization represents 5% or more of the net assets held in trust for pension benefits.

#### (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Investments, Continued**

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Fund's investments included short-term investments of \$13,734,159 and \$22,448,030, respectively. These represent monies invested in a diversified pool consisting of U.S. government obligations, bank obligations, commercial investments, and repurchase agreements secured by U.S. Treasury obligations. Because of the nature and liquidity of these investments, they are classified as cash equivalents. Debt and equity securities are reported at fair value, as determined by the Fund's custodial agent, using pricing services or prices quoted by independent brokers based on the latest reported sales prices at current exchange rates for securities traded on national or international exchanges.

#### **Participant Loans**

The Fund began a participant loan program on July 1, 1996. Municipalities can elect to include the participant loan program as part of their defined contribution plan. Plan participants can borrow up to the lesser of \$50,000 or 50% of the participant's vested balance. The minimum amount of a loan is \$1,000. Special rules apply to the City of Muskogee and the City of Sand Springs.

Earnings attributable to the participant loans are allocated only to the account of the borrowing participant.

#### **Use of Estimates in Preparing Financial Statements**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Risks and Uncertainties

Contributions to the Fund and the actuarial information included in the required supplementary information are reported based on certain assumptions pertaining to interest rates, inflation rates, and employee compensation and demographics. Due to the changing nature of these assumptions, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in these assumptions may occur in the near term and, due to uncertainties inherent in setting assumptions, that the effect of such changes could be material to the financial statements.

## (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Employee Costs**

The Fund hires Nextep, Inc. to provide administrative services, including payroll, human resources, employee health and welfare benefits, and cafeteria plan benefits; therefore, the Fund does not remit federal or state withholding taxes directly to the taxing agencies. Nextep, Inc. charges the Fund 1.58% of its gross wages as administrative costs. The employees participate in Nextep, Inc.'s other employee benefit plans as outlined above. The employees' retirement plan is through the Fund. Employee costs are paid through the Trust Administrative Account, which is detailed in Note 5.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements (GASB 62). The objective of GASB 62 is to incorporate into the GASB's authoritative literature certain accounting and financial reporting guidance that is included in the following pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989, which does not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements:

- 1. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations
- 2. Accounting Principles Board Opinions
- 3. Accounting Research Bulletins of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' (AICPA) Committee on Accounting Procedures

The requirements in GASB 62 will improve financial reporting by contributing to GASB's efforts to codify all sources of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for state and local governments so that they derive from a single source. GASB 62 is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with earlier application encouraged. The provisions of GASB 62 are required to be applied retroactively for all periods presented.

In June 2011, GASB issued Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position (GASB 63). The objective of GASB 63 is to provide guidance for reporting deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and net position in a statement of financial position and related disclosures. The pronouncement will improve financial reporting by standardizing the presentation of deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources and their effects on a government's net position. It alleviates uncertainty about reporting those financial statement elements by providing guidance where none previously existed. The provisions of this statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Earlier application is encouraged.

See Independent Auditors' Report.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (1) <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CONTINUED</u>

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements, Continued

In March 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities* (GASB 65). The objective of GASB 65 is to establish accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify certain items that were previously reported as assets or liabilities as deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources; and recognize certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities as outflows of resources or inflows of resources. The provisions of this statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. Earlier application is encouraged.

In June 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans (GASB 67). GASB 67 addresses reporting by pension plans that administer benefits for governments and outlines basic framework for the separately issued financial reports of defined benefit pension plans, and details note disclosure requirements for defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans. This statement is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2013.

#### **Date of Management's Review of Subsequent Events**

Management has evaluated subsequent events through December 14, 2012, the date which the financial statements were available to be issued, and determined that no subsequent events have occurred which require adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements.

#### **Reclassification of Prior Year Amounts**

Certain amounts for 2011 have been reclassified to make them comparable with the 2012 presentation.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS</u>

At June 30, cash and short-term investments were comprised of the following:

		2012				
		Defined	Defined			
	<u>B</u>	enefit Plans	Contribution Plans			
Cash on deposit with custodial agent:						
U.S. currency deposits	\$	4,655,190	2,116,079			
Short-term investments		4,719,674	9,014,485			
	<u>\$</u>	9,374,864	11,130,564			
		20	011			
		Defined	Defined			
	<u>B</u>	enefit Plans	Contribution Plans			
Cash on deposit with custodial agent:						
U.S. currency deposits	\$	1,055,428	121,760			
Short-term investments		5,639,959	16,808,071			
	\$	6,695,387	16,929,831			

The short-term investments are considered cash equivalents and are invested in U.S. Treasury money market funds.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

During 2012 and 2011, the Fund's investments, including investments bought, sold, as well as held during the year, appreciated in value as follows:

		2012	
	Defined	Defined	
	Benefit	Contribution	
	<u>Plans</u>	<u>Plans</u>	<u>Total</u>
\$	3 578 628	1 160 871	4,739,499
Ψ		, ,	(657,817)
_			4,081,682
			335,473
	(140,137)	405,052	333,473
\$	3 731 018	686 137	4,417,155
Ψ_	3,731,010	000,127	1,117,100
		2011	
	Defined	Defined	
	Benefit	Contribution	
	<u>Plans</u>	<u>Plans</u>	<u>Total</u>
\$	2 001 731	859 900	2,861,631
Ψ		<i>'</i>	68,592,911
_			71,454,542
			15,736,783
	12,200,311	2,120,107	10,730,703
\$	56,133,868	31,057,457	87,191,325
	\$ \$ \$	Benefit Plans  \$ 3,578,628 300,549 3,879,177 (148,159)  \$ 3,731,018  Defined Benefit Plans  \$ 2,001,731 41,845,823 43,847,554 12,286,314	Defined Benefit Plans         Defined Contribution Plans           \$ 3,578,628 300,549 (958,366) 3,879,177 (148,159) 483,632         202,505 (148,159) 483,632           \$ 3,731,018 686,137         2011           Defined Benefit Plans         Defined Contribution Plans           \$ 2,001,731 859,900 41,845,823 43,847,554 12,286,314 3,450,469         27,606,988 3,450,469

Also included in the current appreciation in the fair value of investments are dividends reinvested in mutual funds.

#### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

While the Fund has no direct investment in any one organization over 5%, it does have investments in the following mutual funds at June 30 which are over 5% of the net assets of each plan.

	Fair Value		
<u>Description</u>		2012	2011
Defined Benefit Plans:			
Artisan International Value Institutional Fund	\$	19,930,881	21,357,608
Baring International Equity Fund		18,195,191	20,168,687
Common Sense Long-Biased, L.P.		30,761,985	21,498,088
JPMorgan Core Bond Fund		31,381,218	27,233,753
Mellon EB Daily Opening Aggregate Bond Index Fund		-	25,405,651
Pioneer Core Plus Fixed Income Fund		33,606,003	-
State Street Global Advisors Daily MSCI			
ACWI Ex-USA Index Strategy Fund		27,741,288	18,836,817
State Street S&P 500 Flagship Fund		60,111,406	74,030,598
Western Asset Core Plus Bond Portfolio		33,003,310	36,592,174
Defined Contribution Plans:			
Invesco Stable Value Trust Fund		16,357,209	13,815,413
Mellon EB Daily Opening Aggregate Bond Index Fund		_	18,882,073
State Street Global Advisors US Bond Index Fund		16,319,236	-
State Street S&P 500 Flagship Fund		22,180,750	21,557,767
Vanguard LifeStrategy Conservative Growth Fund		20,142,650	17,491,944
Vanguard LifeStrategy Moderate Growth Fund		20,870,693	21,955,353

#### **Custodial Credit Risk**

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of a counterparty, the Fund will not be able to recover the value of its investments. Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are uninsured and uncollateralized. Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the Fund, or are held by a counterparty or the counterparty's trust department but not in the name of the Fund. The investment policy states that the Fund shall mitigate custodial risk by having a continuing deposit security agreement in place with the bank on each of the operating cash accounts. The agreement requires the bank to pledge assets in an amount equal to or greater than the aggregate deposit account balance over the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insured amount. The collateral is delivered and held by the Federal Reserve Bank in the name of the Fund. The investment policy also states that the Fund shall rely on Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations (12 CFR) Part 9, Section 13 issued by the Comptroller of the Currency, which states that a national bank shall keep the assets of the fiduciary accounts separate from the assets of the bank.

See Independent Auditors' Report.

## (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment or a deposit. The investment policy states that investments should be prudently managed relative to the given benchmark for that investment manager so as to avoid excessive exposure to any single currency. Country exposures are monitored through a quarterly performance report provided by the investment consultant.

Investment in international equity securities as of June 30 is shown by monetary unit to indicate possible foreign currency risk.

		2012			2011			
	Defined		Defined		Defined	Defined		
		Benefit	Contribution		Benefit	Contribution		
<u>Currency</u>		<u>Plans</u>	<u>Plans</u>		<u>Plans</u>	<u>Plans</u>		
U.S. dollar <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,276,192	1,046,712		2,804,353	1,015,474		
Mutual funds <sup>(1)</sup>		-	10,256,551		-	11,658,213		
Commingled funds		65,867,360	15,760,978	,	60,363,112	15,857,006		
	\$	68,143,552	27,064,241	:	63,167,465	28,530,693		

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents investments in international equity securities and mutual funds traded in U.S. dollars.

At June 30, 2012, commingled funds were made up of the following:

• Baring International Equity Fund—The investment objective of the fund is to seek long-term capital appreciation and a return in excess of that achieved by the Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) Europe Australasia Far East Index (the "EAFE Index"). Under normal conditions, the fund will invest in a minimum of 50 issuers which are organized, headquartered, or domiciled in any country included in the EAFE Index or whose principal listing is on a securities exchange in any country included in the EAFE Index. The fund will invest a minimum of 90% of its total assets in equity securities, and a maximum of 10% of its total assets in cash, options, warrants, and convertible securities.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### Foreign Currency Risk, Continued

- Artisan International Value Institutional Fund—The investment objective of the fund is maximum long-term capital growth. The fund employs a fundamental investment process to construct a diversified portfolio of stocks of undervalued non-U.S. companies of all sizes. Under normal market conditions, the fund invests no less than 80% of its total assets (excluding cash and cash equivalents), measured at market value at the time of purchase, in common stocks and other equity and equity-linked securities of non-U.S. companies. The fund invests primarily in developed markets but may also invest in emerging and less developed markets.
- State Street Global Advisors Daily MSCI ACWI Ex-USA Index Strategy Fund—The investment objective of the fund is to seek an investment return that approximates as closely as practicable, before expenses, the performance of its benchmark index, the MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI) with the exception of the United States Index (the "index"), over the long term. The fund is managed using a passive or indexing investment approach by which State Street Global Advisors (SSgA) attempts to match, before expenses, the performance of the index. SSgA typically invests in the securities comprising the index in approximately the same proportions as they are represented in the index.
- State Street Global Advisors Global Equity Ex-U.S. Index Fund—The investment objective of the fund is to seek an investment return that approximates as closely as practicable, before expenses, the performance of its benchmark index, the MSCI ACWI with the exception of the United States Index (the "index"), over the long term. The fund is managed using a passive or indexing investment approach by which SSgA attempts to match, before expenses, the performance of the index. SSgA typically invests in the securities comprising the index in approximately the same proportions as they are represented in the index.

#### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### Foreign Currency Risk, Continued

At June 30, 2011, commingled funds were made up of the following:

- Baring International Equity Fund—The investment objective of the fund is to seek long-term capital appreciation and a return in excess of that achieved by the Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) Europe Australasia Far East Index (the "EAFE Index"). Under normal conditions, the fund will invest in a minimum of 50 issuers which are organized, headquartered, or domiciled in any country included in the EAFE Index or whose principal listing is on a securities exchange in any country included in the EAFE Index. The fund will invest a minimum of 90% of its total assets in equity securities, and a maximum of 10% of its total assets in cash, options, warrants, and convertible securities.
- Artisan International Value Institutional Fund—The investment objective of the fund is maximum long-term capital growth. The fund employs a bottom-up investment process to construct a diversified portfolio of stocks of undervalued non-U.S. companies of all sizes. Under normal market conditions, the fund invests no less than 80% of its total assets (excluding cash and cash equivalents), measured at market value at the time of purchase, in common stocks and other equity and equity-linked securities of non-U.S. companies. The fund invests primarily in developed markets but may also invest in emerging and less developed markets.
- State Street Global Advisors Daily MSCI ACWI Ex-USA Index Strategy Fund—The investment objective of the fund is to seek an investment return that approximates as closely as practicable, before expenses, the performance of its benchmark index, the MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI) with the exception of the United States Index (the "index"), over the long term. The fund is managed using a passive or indexing investment approach by which State Street Global Advisors (SSgA) attempts to match, before expenses, the performance of the index. SSgA typically invests in the securities comprising the index in approximately the same proportions as they are represented in the index.
- State Street Global Advisors Global Equity Ex-U.S. Index Fund—The investment objective of the fund is to seek an investment return that approximates as closely as practicable, before expenses, the performance of its benchmark index, the MSCI ACWI with the exception of the United States Index (the "index"), over the long term. The fund is managed using a passive or indexing investment approach by which SSgA attempts to match, before expenses, the performance of the index. SSgA typically invests in the securities comprising the index in approximately the same proportions as they are represented in the index.

See Independent Auditors' Report.

## (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Credit Risk**

Fixed income securities are subject to credit risk. Credit quality rating is one method of assessing the ability of the issuer to meet its obligation. The investment portfolio for core fixed income managers requires the security to have a minimum quality rating of Standard & Poor's BBB at the time of purchase and the portfolio to maintain an average of AA or higher. For core plus fixed income managers, the investment policy requires the portfolio to invest in securities equal to or better than Moody's B3 or Standard & Poor's B-, with the overall portfolio maintaining an average of AA- or better. Exposure to credit risk as of June 30 was as follows:

	2012						
		Defined Ber	Defined Contr				
			Fair Value		Fair Value		
	Standard		as a		as a		
	&		Percent		Percent		
	Poor's		of Total		of Total		
	Ratings		Fixed		Fixed		
	(Unless		Maturity		Maturity		
<u>Investment Type</u>	Noted)	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value		
Mutual Funds: Invesco Stable Value							
Trust Fund (1)	Not Rated	\$ -	N/A	16,357,209	17.73%		
Vanguard LifeStrategy							
Growth Fund (2)	Not Rated	-	N/A	1,736,069	1.88%		
Vanguard LifeStrategy							
Income Fund (3)	Not Rated	-	N/A	7,440,751	8.07%		
Vanguard LifeStrategy							
Conservative Growth Fund (4)	Not Rated	-	N/A	12,025,162	13.04%		
Vanguard LifeStrategy							
Moderate Growth Fund (5)	Not Rated	-	N/A	8,285,665	8.98%		
Western Asset Core Plus							
Bond Portfolio (6)	Not Rated	33,003,310	33.68%	6,411,185	6.95%		
Collective Trust Funds:							
BNY Mellon Stable Value							
Fund <sup>(7)</sup>	Not Rated	-	N/A	9,075,247	9.84%		
JPMorgan Core Bond							
Fund <sup>(8)</sup>	Not Rated	31,381,218	32.02%	6,921,687	7.51%		
Pioneer Core Plus Fixed							
Income Fund <sup>(9)</sup>	Not Rated	33,606,003	34.30%	7,666,936	8.31%		
State Street Global Advisor US							
Bond Index Fund <sup>(10)</sup>	Not Rated		N/A	16,319,236	<u>17.69</u> %		
Total fixed income securities		\$ 97,990,531	100.00%	92,239,147	100.00%		

See Independent Auditors' Report.

#### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

- At June 30, 2012, the Invesco Stable Value Trust Fund's asset allocation was as follows: 53.9% short duration investments, 26.8% intermediate investments, 10.6% short term investments, and 8.7% core investments. The primary investment objective of the fund is to seek the preservation of principal and to provide interest income reasonably obtained under prevailing market conditions and rates, consistent with seeking to maintain required liquidity.
- The Vanguard LifeStrategy Growth Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 80% of the fund's assets to common stocks and 20% to bonds. The fund's indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. Its indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. The fund seeks to provide capital appreciation and some current income.
- (3) The Vanguard LifeStrategy Income Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 80% of the fund's assets to bonds and 20% to common stocks. The fund's indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Its indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. The fund seeks to provide current income and some capital appreciation.
- (4) The Vanguard LifeStrategy Conservative Growth Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 60% of the fund's assets to bonds and 40% to common stocks. The fund's indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed and asset backed securities. Its indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. The fund seeks to provide current income and low-to-moderate capital appreciation.
- (5) The Vanguard LifeStrategy Moderate Growth Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 60% of the fund's assets to common stocks and 40% to bonds. The fund's indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Its indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. The fund seeks to provide capital appreciation and a low-to-moderate level of current income.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

- The Western Asset Core Plus Bond Portfolio invests in a portfolio of fixed income securities of various maturities and, under normal market conditions, will invest at least 80% of its net assets in debt and fixed income securities. In addition, the portfolio may invest up to 20% in non-U.S. dollar denominated securities and up to 20% of net assets in debt securities that are not rated Baa or BBB or above. The portfolio seeks to maximize total return, consistent with prudent investment management and liquidity needs with dollar weighted average duration to range within 30% of the domestic bond market as a whole.
- As of June 30, 2012, the BNY Mellon Stable Value Fund's asset allocation was as follows: 37.5% constant duration synthetic, 17.3% cash equivalent, 12.3% fixed maturity synthetic, 14.7% guaranteed interest contracts, 12.5% separate account, and 5.7% pooled funds. The fund seeks current income while maintaining stability of invested principal and to maintain daily book value liquidity for all plan sponsors. The performance of the fund attempts to be comparable to intermediate bond returns and to exceed money market returns over time.
- (8) The JPMorgan Core Bond Fund invests primarily in investment-grade bonds. The fund generally maintains an average weighted maturity between 4 and 12 years, although it may shorten its averaged weighted maturity if deemed appropriate for temporary defensive purposes. The fund also selects individual securities following risk/reward evaluation of interest rate risk, credit risk, and the complex legal and technical structure of the transaction. The fund seeks to maximize total return by investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of intermediate- and long-term debt securities.
- (9) At June 30, 2012, the Pioneer Core Plus Fixed Income Fund was weighted as follows: 26.1% U.S. investment grade, 23.5% non-agency mortgage-backed securities/asset-backed securities, 12.0% agency mortgage-backed securities, 7.9% treasuries, 7.0% municipals, and 23.5% all others. The fund's strategy is to invest primarily in intermediate-term bonds, allocating among three primary market sectors: mortgage-backed securities, investment-grade corporates, and government bonds, with the ability to invest up to 20% in below-investment-grade debt.
- (10) As of June 30, 2012, the State Street Global Advisor US Bond Index Fund was weighted as follows: 35.9% treasuries, 30.0% mortgage-backed securities, 20.2% corporates, 5.5% non-corporates, 5.1% agencies, and 3.3% other. The fund seeks an investment return that approximates as closely as practicable, before expenses, the performance of the Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Bond Index over the long term.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

## (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

	2011					
		Defined Ber	nefit Plans	Defined Contribution Plans		
			Fair Value		Fair Value	
	Standard		as a		as a	
	&		Percent		Percent	
	Poor's		of Total		of Total	
	Ratings		Fixed		Fixed	
	(Unless		Maturity		Maturity	
<u>Investment Type</u>	Noted)	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	
Mutual Funds: Invesco Stable Value						
Trust Fund <sup>(1)</sup> Vanguard LifeStrategy	Not Rated	\$ -	N/A	13,815,413	20.13%	
Growth Fund (2)	Not Rated	-	N/A	1,099,060	1.60%	
Vanguard LifeStrategy						
Income Fund (3)	Not Rated	-	N/A	4,536,863	6.61%	
Vanguard LifeStrategy						
Conservative Growth Fund (4)	Not Rated	-	N/A	5,387,519	7.85%	
Vanguard LifeStrategy						
Moderate Growth Fund (5)	Not Rated	-	N/A	6,872,025	10.01%	
Western Asset Core Plus						
Bond Portfolio (6)	Not Rated	36,592,173	41.01%	7,442,810	10.85%	
Collective Trust Funds: BNY Mellon Stable Value						
Fund <sup>(7)</sup>	Not Rated	-	N/A	5,401,487	7.87%	
JPMorgan Core Bond						
Fund <sup>(8)</sup>	Not Rated	27,233,753	30.52%	5,181,976	7.56%	
Mellon EB Daily Opening						
Aggr. Bond Index Fund (9)	Not Rated	25,405,651	<u>28.47</u> %	18,882,073	<u>27.52</u> %	
Total fixed income securities		\$ 89,231,577	100.00%	68,619,226	100.00%	

#### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

- As of June 30, 2011, the Invesco Stable Value Trust Fund's asset allocation was as follows: 15.4% short-term investments, 26.1% intermediate investments, 14.6% core investments, 0.4% guaranteed interest contracts, and 43.5% short duration investments. The fund seeks the preservation of principal and interest income reasonably obtained under prevailing market conditions and rates, consistent with seeking to maintain the required liquidity.
- The Vanguard LifeStrategy Growth Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 80% of the fund's assets to common stocks and 20% to bonds. The fund's indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. Its indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed securities. The fund seeks to provide capital appreciation and some current income.
- (3) The Vanguard LifeStrategy Income Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 80% of the fund's assets to bonds and 20% to common stocks. The fund's indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Its indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. The fund seeks to provide current income and some capital appreciation.
- (4) The Vanguard LifeStrategy Conservative Growth Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 60% of the fund's assets to bonds and 40% to common stocks. The fund's indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed and asset backed securities. Its indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. The fund seeks to provide current income and low-to-moderate capital appreciation.
- The Vanguard LifeStrategy Moderate Growth Fund invests in other Vanguard mutual funds according to a fixed formula that over time should reflect an allocation of approximately 60% of the fund's assets to common stocks and 40% to bonds. The fund's indirect bond holdings are a diversified mix of short-, intermediate-, and long-term U.S. government, U.S. agency, and investment-grade corporate bonds, as well as mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Its indirect stock holdings consist substantially of large-capitalization U.S. stocks and, to a lesser extent, mid- and small-cap U.S. stocks and foreign stocks. The fund seeks to provide capital appreciation and a low-to-moderate level of current income.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

#### **Credit Risk, Continued**

- (6) The Western Asset Core Plus Bond Portfolio invests in a portfolio of fixed income securities of various maturities and, under normal market conditions, will invest at least 80% of its net assets in debt and fixed income securities. In addition, the portfolio may invest up to 20% in non-U.S. dollar denominated securities and up to 20% of net assets in debt securities that are not rated Baa or BBB or above. The portfolio seeks to maximize total return, consistent with prudent investment management and liquidity needs with dollar weighted average duration to range within 30% of the domestic bond market as a whole.
- As of June 30, 2011, the BNY Mellon Stable Value Fund's asset allocation was as follows: 48.2% constant duration synthetic, 16.4% cash equivalent, 15.1% fixed maturity synthetic, 11.3% guaranteed interest contracts, and 9.0% separate account. The fund seeks current income while maintaining stability of invested principal and to maintain daily book value liquidity for all plan sponsors. The performance of the fund attempts to be comparable to intermediate bond returns and to exceed money market returns over time.
- (8) The JPMorgan Core Bond Fund Ultra invests mainly in investment-grade bonds. The fund generally maintains an average weighted maturity between 4 and 12 years, although it may shorten its average weighted maturity if deemed appropriate for temporary defensive purposes. The fund also selects individual securities following risk/reward evaluation of interest rate risk, credit risk, and the complex legal and technical structure of the transaction. The fund seeks to maximize total return by investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of intermediate- and long-term debt securities.
- (9) At June 30, 2011, the Mellon EB Daily Opening Aggregate Bond Index Fund was weighted as follows: 33% mortgages, 33% treasuries, 20% corporates, 6% agencies, and 8% other. The fund seeks to replicate the performance of the Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Index with minimal tracking errors.

#### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. While all investments are subject to market changes, securities invested in index funds are more sensitive to market risk. The investment policy does not establish an overall duration period; however, it does establish benchmarks for each investment manager. Core fixed income managers shall be managed to  $\pm$  25% of their benchmark and core plus fixed income managers' maximum deviation shall remain within  $\pm$  30% of their benchmark. As of June 30, the Fund had the following investments with maturities:

2012

			2012	2		
		Investme	nt Maturities at	Fair Value	(in Years)	
	Less than 1	1 or More Less than 5	5 or More Less than 10	10 or <u>More</u>	Commingled Funds with No Duration	Total <u>Fair Value</u>
Defined benefit plans: Fixed income securities—						
collective trust funds Fixed income securities—	\$ -	-	-	-	64,987,221	64,987,221
mutual funds					33,003,310	33,003,310
Total defined benefit plans	\$ -				97,990,531	97,990,531
Defined contribution plan Fixed income securities—	<u>1S</u> :					
collective trust funds Fixed income securities—	\$ -	-	-	-	39,983,106	39,983,106
mutual funds					52,256,041	52,256,041
Total defined contribution plans	\$ -			<u> </u>	92,239,147	92,239,147

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

### **Interest Rate Risk, Continued**

			2011			
		Investmen	nt Maturities at l	Fair Value	(in Years)	
	Less than 1	1 or More Less than 5	5 or More Less than 10	10 or More	Commingled Funds with No Duration	Total <u>Fair Value</u>
Defined benefit plans: Fixed income securities—						
collective trust funds Fixed income securities—	\$ -	-	-	-	52,639,404	52,639,404
mutual funds					36,592,173	36,592,173
Total defined benefit plans	\$ -			<u>-</u>	89,231,577	89,231,577
Defined contribution plan Fixed income	<u>1s</u> :					
securities— collective trust funds Fixed income	\$ -	-	-	-	29,465,536	29,465,536
securities— mutual funds					39,153,690	39,153,690
Total defined contribution plans	\$ -		<u>-</u>		68,619,226	68,619,226

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED

### **Securities Lending**

The collateral held, the fair value of the securities on loan, and % of collateral to loan for the Fund at June 30 were as follows:

		2012	
	Collateral	Fair Value of	Percent of
	<u>Held</u>	Securities on Loan	Collateral to Loan
Defined benefit plans: Equity securities—domestic	\$ 12,662,907	12,696,911	100%
Defined contribution plans: Equity securities—domestic	\$ 5,690,840	5,705,752	100%
		2011	
	Collateral	Fair Value of	Percent of
	<u>Held</u>	Securities on Loan	Collateral to Loan
Defined benefit plans: Equity securities—domestic	\$ 10,289,298	10,109,069	102%
Defined contribution plans: Equity securities—domestic	\$ 3,647,843	3,586,543	102%

As of June 30, 2012, the Fund had a risk of loss of approximately \$49,000, as the collateral held was less than the fair value of the securities loaned. The risk of loss was due to an increase in the value of securities on loan, as the market increased on June 29, 2012. On July 2, 2012, additional collateral was obtained, restoring the percentage of collateral held to securities on loan to 102%.

During the year ended June 30, 2009, the securities lending program was adversely affected by the credit liquidity crisis. The Fund has been informed of a deficiency in the fair value of the collateral held (see Note 5).

#### (2) <u>CASH AND INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

### **Securities Lending, Continued**

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Fund had equity securities with a fair value of approximately \$71 million and \$94 million, respectively, which are subject to a securities lending agreement (the "Agreement") between the Fund and JPMorgan. Under the Agreement, the securities loaned are collateralized at a minimum of 102% of the fair values of the loaned securities. Collateral consists primarily of cash and U.S. government securities or its agencies. The Fund does not have the ability to pledge or sell collateral securities without borrower default. The collateral is marked to market daily such that at the close of trading on any business day, the value of the collateral shall not be less than 102% plus accrued interest on the securities loaned. The Fund had a lending limit of \$25 million regarding the amount of loans made, and the custodial agent indemnified the Fund by agreeing to purchase replacement securities or return cash collateral in the event of borrower default. There were no such failures during the year. The loan premium paid by the borrower on the securities is apportioned between the Fund and its custodial agent in accordance with the Agreement.

During 2012 and 2011, the Fund had net earnings of approximately \$54,000 and \$44,000, respectively, from the securities lending, which is detailed in Note 5.

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Fund had no credit risk to borrowers under the securities lending.

#### (3) ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS

The Fund invests in a limited partnership in the defined benefit plan. The limited partnership at June 30 is summarized in the following table:

		Fair Va	ılue
Investment	<u>Purpose</u>	2012	2011
Common Sense Long-Biased, L.P.	Invests in a portfolio of investment partnerships, managed accounts, and other investment vehicles (collectively, the "Hedge Funds") that employ diversified styles and strategies.	\$ 30,761,985	21,405,998

#### (3) <u>ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, CONTINUED</u>

As of June 30, 2012, the Fund was fully funded in the limited partnership.

The limited partnership accounts for its investments at fair value. Fair values of the investments in the Hedge Funds are based on the capital accounts in the Hedge Funds determined from financial information provided by the investment managers of the Hedge Funds and other factors deemed relevant by the general partner of the limited partnership.

#### (4) **REAL ESTATE**

The Fund invests in real estate investments in the defined benefit plan which consist of two commingled pension trust funds. The real estate investment funds at June 30 are summarized in the following table:

		<u>Fair V</u>	<u>/alue</u>
<u>Investment</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	2012	2011
JPMorgan Chase Bank Strategic Property Fund	The fund owns and seeks improved real estate projects with stabilized occupancies in an effort to produce a relatively high level of current income combined with moderate appreciation potential.	\$ 10,871,594	8,037,714
JPMorgan Chase Bank Special Situation Property Fund	The fund targets real estate investments that provide a moderate level of current income and high residual appreciation.	3,529,274	3,030,736
		\$ 14,400,868	11,068,450

Both of the entities account for their investments at fair value. Fair values of real estate investments are determined by JPMorgan at each valuation date. As part of JPMorgan's valuation process, independent appraisers value properties on an annual basis (at a minimum).

### (5) TRUST ADMINISTRATIVE ACCOUNT

Costs and expenses incurred in the administration and management of the Fund are paid from the Fund's assets, including investment advisor fees. These costs are paid from the Fund through transfers to the Trust Administrative Account. Any unusual administrative costs are paid by the participating municipality.

The balance in the Trust Administrative Account is not available for plan benefits, but may be used only to pay administrative expenses of the Fund. Therefore, the Trust Administrative Account balances are not included in the Fund's financial statements.

Transactions in the Trust Administrative Account for the years ended June 30 were as follows:

	2012	2011	
Beginning balance	\$ 528	,645 442,9	916
Income (expense):			
Interest and fee income	14	,719 15,2	258
Securities lending interest income	82	,921 73,2	283
Securities lending expenses	(28	,598) (29,2	298)
Fees earned and transfers from the Fund	2,684	,945 2,740,7	727
Total income	2,753	,987 2,799,9	970
Administrative expenses:			
Actuary and recordkeeping	334	,806 327,2	233
Administration	819	,238 798,4	481
Legal and audit	117	,312 106,2	209
Training and travel	52	,485 57,8	333
Insurance	99	,516 94,6	663
Investment advisors and consultants	978	,269 983,2	262
Custodial	289	,792 346,5	560
Total administrative expenses	2,691	,418 2,714,2	241
Ending balance	\$ 591	,214 528,6	<u> 545</u>

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

#### (5) TRUST ADMINISTRATIVE ACCOUNT, CONTINUED

Prior to April 2009, income from the securities lending program was considered a reduction of administrative fees paid by fund participants. The security lending income is deposited in the Trust Administrative Account and was used for administration expenses per approval of the Board of Trustees.

As discussed in Note 2, the securities lending program was adversely affected by the credit liquidity crisis. As a result, certain assets held in the custodial agent's short-term investment cash collateral pool were deemed to be impaired. The Fund has been informed of the deficiency in the market value of the collateral pool. The amount of the deficiency at June 30, 2012 and 2011, was approximately \$422,000 and \$425,000, respectively. Effective April 2009, the Board of Trustees discontinued allowing income from the securities lending program to be utilized as a reduction of administrative fees paid by fund participants in order to accumulate dollars for payment of the potential deficiency. Approximately \$258,000 of cash had been accumulated for the potential deficiency as of June 30, 2012.

As noted previously, administration costs are paid through the Trust Administrative Account. In addition, during the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, furniture, fixtures, and equipment were purchased and are part of the administration cost. Generally, such items would be capitalized and depreciated. However, as the amounts are considered immaterial in comparison to the total operations, they have been expensed.

Administration costs also include lease expense for the current facilities.

#### (6) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Leases

The Fund leases office space under an operating lease. The lease commenced on October 1, 2005. Future minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2012, were as follows:

2013 \$ 29,580

Total rent expense for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, was approximately \$64,000 and \$61,000, respectively, for each year. Rent expense is paid through the Trust Administrative Account.

# OKLAHOMA MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT FUND REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

June 30, 2012

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
(See Note 4)						
<b>January 1, 2003</b>	\$ 209,254,526	219,680,986	10,426,460	95%	90,159,128	12%
January 1, 2004	230,911,477	242,958,791	12,047,314	95%	97,741,791	12%
January 1, 2005	245,928,668	264,546,758	18,618,090	93%	101,553,371	18%
<b>January 1, 2006</b>	261,782,165	282,308,311	20,526,146	93%	106,594,428	19%
<b>January 1, 2007</b>	282,236,328	308,330,538	26,094,210	92%	111,823,222	23%
<b>January 1, 2008</b>	301,493,007	333,288,927	31,795,920	90%	115,928,927	27%
<b>January 1, 2009</b>	290,029,818	360,665,421	70,635,603	80%	124,280,305	57%
<b>January 1, 2010</b>	305,367,275	389,899,772	84,532,497	78%	129,019,229	66%
January 1, 2011	329,059,044	417,384,988	88,325,944	79%	127,900,728	69%
<b>January 1, 2012</b>	348,478,912	439,080,057	90,601,145	79%	130,562,550	69%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES

June 30, 2012

Year Ended June 30,	Annual Required Contribution*	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
(See Note 5)						
2003	\$ 8,973,235	6,790,907	76%	2,335,246	9,126,153	102%
2004	10,684,695	8,742,076	82%	2,421,917	11,163,993	104%
2005	12,557,633	9,813,006	78%	3,346,761	13,159,767	105%
2006	13,881,294	10,851,840	78%	3,046,774	13,898,614	100%
2007	14,951,648	11,678,986	78%	3,391,882	15,070,868	101%
2008	16,118,367	12,509,836	78%	3,608,814	16,118,650	100%
2009	18,006,666	14,203,040	79%	3,989,020	18,192,060	101%
2010	22,197,128	17,087,858	77%	4,364,577	21,452,435	97%
2011	22,931,465	17,688,004	77%	4,643,325	22,331,329	97%
2012	23,653,703	18,943,958	80%	5,010,957	23,954,915	101%

<sup>\*</sup> The annual required contribution is the combined contribution that is required from employers and employees. As such, this schedule has been modified to include employee contributions.

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
(See Note 4)							
ENTRY AGE NORMA	AL COST METHOD	•					
Adair	January 1, 2012	\$ 175,593	208,952	33,359	84.0%	124,128	26.9%
Altus	January 1, 2012	15,811,201	20,496,632	4,685,431	77.1%	5,544,553	84.5%
Alva	January 1, 2012	2,577,341	2,619,262	41,921	98.4%	1,674,102	2.5%
Antlers	January 1, 2012	717,453	913,676	196,223	78.5%	638,630	30.7%
Ardmore	January 1, 2012	14,624,030	17,781,946	3,157,916	82.2%	7,116,155	44.4%
Bethany	January 1, 2012	16,051,432	19,170,390	3,118,958	83.7%	3,185,592	97.9%
Bethany/ Warr Acres PWA	January 1, 2012	1,844,032	2,544,871	700,839	72.5%	283,697	247.0%
Billings	January 1, 2012	136,743	154,925	18,182	88.3%	125,114	14.5%
Binger	January 1, 2012	87,736	119,610	31,874	73.4%	115,664	27.6%
Blackwell	January 1, 2012	4,464,949	5,357,830	892,881	83.3%	1,246,411	71.6%
Blair	January 1, 2012	217,560	263,320	45,760	82.6%	126,701	36.1%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
<b>Boise City</b>	January 1, 2012	1,188,150	1,467,911	279,761	80.9%	336,449	83.2%
Bokchito	January 1, 2012	26,332	88,397	62,065	29.8%	167,267	37.1%
Braman	January 1, 2012	168,674	251,791	83,117	67.0%	80,478	103.3%
Bristow	January 1, 2012	2,243,781	2,791,097	547,316	80.4%	866,918	63.1%
<b>Broken Bow</b>	January 1, 2012	2,679,628	3,432,409	752,781	78.1%	1,626,437	46.3%
Buffalo	January 1, 2012	922,038	860,355	(61,683)	107.2%	170,488	(36.2)%
Burns Flat	January 1, 2012	278,903	475,526	196,623	58.7%	277,395	70.9%
Calera	January 1, 2012	415,193	706,702	291,509	58.8%	549,902	53.0%
Central Oklahoma MCD	January 1, 2012	869,913	1,456,288	586,375	59.7%	211,956	276.6%
Chandler	January 1, 2012	1,232,079	1,565,239	333,160	78.7%	972,424	34.3%
Checotah	January 1, 2012	1,232,822	1,748,539	515,717	70.5%	1,299,980	39.7%
Cherokee and CDA	January 1, 2012	90,036	316,995	226,959	28.4%	362,882	62.5%
Chickasha	January 1, 2012	12,847,566	13,697,526	849,960	93.8%	1,875,988	45.3%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Chouteau	January 1, 2012	14,745	16,228	1,483	90.9%	-	N/A
Claremore	January 1, 2012	12,555,447	13,804,673	1,249,226	91.0%	4,288,972	29.1%
Cleo Springs	January 1, 2012	103,944	177,170	73,226	58.7%	34,024	215.2%
Cleveland	January 1, 2012	1,798,821	2,569,820	770,999	70.0%	520,423	148.1%
Clinton	January 1, 2012	9,081,752	9,682,705	600,953	93.8%	2,173,927	27.6%
Collinsville	January 1, 2012	2,265,057	2,800,297	535,240	80.9%	1,036,156	51.7%
Comanche	January 1, 2012	52,046	89,304	37,258	58.3%	-	N/A
Cordell	January 1, 2012	3,558,384	4,197,087	638,703	84.8%	777,455	82.2%
Cushing	January 1, 2012	11,914,036	16,745,542	4,831,506	71.1%	3,354,620	144.0%
Davis	January 1, 2012	1,642,716	2,248,002	605,286	73.1%	848,919	71.3%
Del City	January 1, 2012	12,831,378	17,954,215	5,122,837	71.5%	3,072,828	166.7%
Dewey	January 1, 2012	1,126,865	1,368,767	241,902	82.3%	474,866	50.9%
Drumright	January 1, 2012	1,948,525	2,826,987	878,462	68.9%	724,096	121.3%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Durant	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	14,530,493	16,016,658	1,486,165	90.7%	5,027,404	29.6%
El Reno	January 1, 2012	1,769,030	3,181,512	1,412,482	55.6%	2,257,802	62.6%
Eufaula	January 1, 2012	922,281	1,024,660	102,379	90.0%	596,627	17.2%
Fairfax	January 1, 2012	173,471	31,196	(142,275)	556.1%	-	N/A
Fairview	January 1, 2012	457,685	28,859	(428,826)	1585.9%	-	N/A
Fort Cobb	January 1, 2012	122,067	220,151	98,084	55.4%	118,925	82.5%
Foss Reservoir PWA	January 1, 2012	600,940	952,617	351,677	63.1%	265,396	132.5%
Frederick	January 1, 2012	2,669,256	3,472,344	803,088	76.9%	827,041	97.1%
Garber	January 1, 2012	37,296	26,512	(10,784)	140.7%	62,306	(17.3)%
Geary	January 1, 2012	748,677	800,410	51,733	93.5%	511,972	10.1%
Goodwell	January 1, 2012	119,922	148,113	28,191	81.0%	224,270	12.6%
Gore and Gore PWA	January 1, 2012	273,574	370,188	96,614	73.9%	435,849	22.2%
Granite	January 1, 2012	970,880	1,134,199	163,319	85.6%	234,649	69.6%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Guthrie	January 1, 2012	4,440,745	5,091,668	650,923	87.2%	1,719,767	37.8%
Guymon	January 1, 2012	4,972,523	5,760,547	788,024	86.3%	3,153,137	25.0%
Harrah	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	1,240,450	1,761,848	521,398	70.4%	604,302	86.3%
Healdton	January 1, 2012	977,921	1,213,979	236,058	80.6%	412,380	57.2%
Henryetta	January 1, 2012	2,475,265	2,941,441	466,176	84.2%	1,146,000	40.7%
Hooker	January 1, 2012	611,298	934,921	323,623	65.4%	328,957	98.4%
Hulbert	January 1, 2012	313,469	516,698	203,229	60.7%	422,973	48.0%
Hydro	January 1, 2012	270,778	340,847	70,069	79.4%	109,745	63.8%
Kansas	January 1, 2012	59,676	84,347	24,671	70.8%	168,065	14.7%
Kingston	January 1, 2012	420,727	755,379	334,652	55.7%	399,126	83.8%
Krebs and Krebs Utility Authority	January 1, 2012	234,025	335,986	101,961	69.7%	375,960	27.1%
Laverne	January 1, 2012	751,464	1,027,125	275,661	73.2%	484,274	56.9%
Lindsay	January 1, 2012	3,129,996	3,094,811	(35,185)	101.1%	1,288,696	(2.7)%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Madill	January 1, 2012	1,804,799	2,433,882	629,083	74.2%	864,750	72.7%
Mannford	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	2,037,130	3,053,811	1,016,681	66.7%	698,464	145.6%
Marietta	January 1, 2012	720,852	731,644	10,792	98.5%	487,791	2.2%
Marietta PWA	January 1, 2012	364,359	640,794	276,435	56.9%	166,963	165.6%
McLoud	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	352,691	404,641	51,950	87.2%	505,060	10.3%
Medford	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	1,450,797	2,082,124	631,327	69.7%	353,975	178.4%
Meeker	January 1, 2012	411,825	485,850	74,025	84.8%	262,932	28.2%
Mooreland	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	1,048,156	1,309,522	261,366	80.0%	266,265	98.2%
Mountain Park MCD	January 1, 2012	754,341	1,188,604	434,263	63.5%	102,286	424.6%
Muldrow	January 1, 2012	1,223,013	1,669,317	446,304	73.3%	680,958	65.5%
Muskogee	January 1, 2012	40,618	34,634	(5,984)	117.3%	-	N/A
Mustang	January 1, 2012	3,914,519	4,973,611	1,059,092	78.7%	1,733,784	61.1%
Newkirk	January 1, 2012	1,320,013	1,992,252	672,239	66.3%	561,850	119.6%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Nichols Hills	January 1, 2012	5,019,814	6,927,984	1,908,170	72.5%	1,456,742	131.0%
Noble	January 1, 2012	1,020,839	1,346,351	325,512	75.8%	667,450	48.8%
Norman	January 1, 2012	498,562	829,462	330,900	60.1%	-	N/A
Nowata	January 1, 2012	1,465,570	1,338,636	(126,934)	109.5%	1,001,643	(12.7)%
Oilton	January 1, 2012	251,827	318,473	66,646	79.1%	219,024	30.4%
Okeene	January 1, 2012	610,664	653,788	43,124	93.4%	282,757	15.3%
Okemah	January 1, 2012	560,652	833,033	272,381	67.3%	615,914	44.2%
Oklahoma Municipal League	January 1, 2012	5,149,794	5,326,745	176,951	96.7%	764,966	23.1%
Okmulgee	January 1, 2012	11,366,448	11,696,452	330,004	97.2%	2,790,472	11.8%
Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund	January 1, 2012	350,642	415,130	64,488	84.5%	452,447	14.3%
Owasso	January 1, 2012	9,166,922	10,403,820	1,236,898	88.1%	5,325,723	23.2%
Pawnee	January 1, 2012	1,619,822	1,895,171	275,349	85.5%	766,597	35.9%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Perkins	January 1, 2012	694,553	971,710	277,157	71.5%	405,755	68.3%
Perry	January 1, 2012	2,895,021	3,324,303	429,282	87.1%	1,510,065	28.4%
Pond Creek	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	473,131	774,184	301,053	61.1%	221,336	136.0%
Porum	January 1, 2012	90,604	212,811	122,207	42.6%	367,345	33.3%
Poteau	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	4,496,058	5,473,773	977,715	82.1%	1,606,348	60.9%
Ratliff City	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	43,812	72,132	28,320	60.7%	87,766	32.3%
Ringling	January 1, 2012	124,450	203,194	78,744	61.2%	122,862	64.1%
Roland	January 1, 2012	489,427	669,954	180,527	73.1%	992,642	18.2%
Sallisaw	January 1, 2012	10,152,071	15,130,607	4,978,536	67.1%	2,910,637	171.0%
Seiling	January 1, 2012	639,623	609,504	(30,119)	104.9%	223,463	(13.5)%
Shawnee	January 1, 2012	27,767,179	34,023,402	6,256,223	81.6%	6,218,306	100.6%
Skiatook	January 1, 2012	138,720	152,311	13,591	91.1%	-	N/A
Spencer	January 1, 2012	714,689	801,637	86,948	89.2%	277,526	31.3%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Spiro	January 1, 2012	806,242	1,008,329	202,087	80.0%	452,964	44.6%
Stillwater	January 1, 2012	5,505,421	72,736	(5,432,685)	7569.0%	-	N/A
Stillwater Medical Center	January 1, 2012	543,797	58,132	(485,665)	935.5%	-	N/A
Stilwell	January 1, 2012	4,380,578	7,223,890	2,843,312	60.6%	2,255,650	126.1%
Stratford	January 1, 2012	145,287	150,952	5,665	96.2%	358,164	1.6%
Stroud	January 1, 2012	2,311,328	2,978,982	667,654	77.6%	1,104,738	60.4%
Sulphur	January 1, 2012	3,080,653	4,024,914	944,261	76.5%	937,713	100.7%
Talihina and TPWA	January 1, 2012	387,386	576,849	189,463	67.2%	481,727	39.3%
Tecumseh	January 1, 2012	136,881	166,459	29,578	82.2%	-	N/A
Thomas	January 1, 2012	774,158	1,061,687	287,529	72.9%	169,091	170.0%
Tipton	January 1, 2012	92,818	107,417	14,599	86.4%	139,652	10.5%
Tishomingo	January 1, 2012	213,556	439,150	225,594	48.6%	330,413	68.3%
Tonkawa	January 1, 2012	2,146,584	2,523,243	376,659	85.1%	776,665	48.5%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Velma	January 1, 2012	199,739	228,338	28,599	87.5%	190,858	15.0%
Vian	January 1, 2012	105,246	120,737	15,491	87.2%	299,562	5.2%
Vinita	January 1, 2012	6,259,597	8,201,964	1,942,367	76.3%	1,611,610	120.5%
Wakita	January 1, 2012	158,752	187,193	28,441	84.8%	79,199	35.9%
Warr Acres	January 1, 2012	4,698,618	6,689,825	1,991,207	70.2%	1,246,347	159.8%
Watonga	January 1, 2012	3,275,114	4,722,972	1,447,858	69.3%	862,199	167.9%
Waukomis	January 1, 2012	280,064	248,196	(31,868)	112.8%	114,329	(27.9)%
Waurika	January 1, 2012	745,243	798,147	52,904	93.4%	381,627	13.9%
Weatherford	January 1, 2012	1,884,886	3,728,616	1,843,730	50.6%	2,424,956	76.0%
Webbers Falls	January 1, 2012	66,559	98,889	32,330	67.3%	111,130	29.1%
Wellston	January 1, 2012	340,087	387,249	47,162	87.8%	169,532	27.8%
Westville Utility Authority	January 1, 2012	262,987	310,583	47,596	84.7%	293,622	16.2%
Wetumka	January 1, 2012	1,025,124	1,664,215	639,091	61.6%	299,925	213.1%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (Surplus)	Actuarial Value of Assets as a % of Actuarial Liability (Surplus) "Funded Ratio"	Annual Covered Payroll	Ratio of Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Annual Covered Payroll
Yale	January 1, 2012	1,050,986	1,176,868	125,882	89.3%	457,926	27.5%
Yukon	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	14,259,106	22,120,329	7,861,223	<u>64.5</u> %	5,653,615	<u>139.0</u> %
Entry Age Normal Cos	st Method Totals	339,471,614	420,720,116	81,248,502	<u>80.7</u> %	124,637,298	<u>65.2</u> %
UNIT CREDIT COST	METHOD:						
Bartlesville	January 1, 2012	9,007,298	18,359,941	9,352,643	49.1%	5,925,252	<u>157.8</u> %
<b>Unit Credit Cost Meth</b>	od Totals	9,007,298	18,359,941	9,352,643	<u>49.1</u> %	5,925,252	<u>157.8</u> %
TOTALS		\$ 348,478,912	439,080,057	90,601,145	<u>79.4</u> %	130,562,550	<u>69.4</u> %

See Independent Auditors' Report. See accompanying notes to required supplementary information.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed				
(See Note 5)											
ENTRY AGE NORMAI	ENTRY AGE NORMAL COST METHOD:										
Adair	January 1, 2012	\$ 17,669	11,337	64%	6,329	17,666	100%				
Altus	January 1, 2012	960,830	734,543	76%	226,442	960,985	100%				
Alva	January 1, 2012	73,174	73,144	100%	-	73,144	100%				
Antlers (2)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	59,981	36,676	61%	19,405	56,081	93%				
Ardmore (3)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	1,737,608	1,524,620	88%	449,381	1,974,001	114%				
Bethany	January 1, 2012	894,852	748,043	84%	146,865	894,908	100%				
Bethany/ Warr Acres PWA	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	96,262	77,704	81%	18,552	96,256	100%				
Billings	January 1, 2012	13,112	8,403	64%	4,711	13,114	100%				
Binger	January 1, 2012	9,615	6,914	72%	2,726	9,640	100%				
Blackwell	January 1, 2012	246,631	190,376	77%	56,250	246,626	100%				
Blair	January 1, 2012	16,023	11,492	72%	4,525	16,017	100%				
<b>Boise City</b>	January 1, 2012	55,904	55,826	100%	-	55,826	100%				
Bokchito	January 1, 2012	14,209	9,473	67%	4,747	14,220	100%				
Braman (3)	January 1, 2012	13,308	12,534	94%	4,871	17,405	131%				

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
Bristow (11)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	166,401	117,900	71%	46,863	164,763	99%
Broken Bow (3)	January 1, 2012	275,111	189,225	69%	90,312	279,537	102%
Buffalo (3)	January 1, 2012	13,066	9,302	71%	6,512	15,814	121%
Burns Flat (3)	January 1, 2012	35,209	26,970	77%	11,138	38,108	108%
Calera (3)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	55,598	38,716	70%	17,388	56,104	101%
Central Oklahoma MCD	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	91,482	78,666	86%	12,809	91,475	100%
Chandler (2)	January 1, 2012	136,607	81,960	60%	45,366	127,326	93%
Checotah (3)	January 1, 2012	128,241	97,474	76%	40,727	138,201	108%
Cherokee & CDA (12)	January 1, 2012	38,823	29,636	76%	8,974	38,610	99%
Chickasha	January 1, 2012	382,293	300,784	79%	81,513	382,297	100%
Choteau (4) (5)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	-	280	N/A	-	280	N/A
Claremore	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	551,851	309,997	56%	241,848	551,845	100%
Cleo Springs	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	8,452	7,158	85%	1,293	8,451	100%
Cleveland (10)	January 1, 2012	143,065	103,376	72%	15,945	119,321	83%
Clinton	January 1, 2012	417,263	295,240	71%	122,307	417,547	100%
Collinsville	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	156,072	112,079	72%	43,952	156,031	100%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
Comanche (4)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	15,542	15,542	100%	-	15,542	100%
Copan	January 1, 2012	4,457	3,495	78%	962	4,457	100%
Cordell	January 1, 2012	142,759	142,798	100%	-	142,798	100%
Cushing	January 1, 2012	682,864	682,694	100%	-	682,694	100%
Davis	January 1, 2012	140,541	100,319	71%	40,236	140,555	100%
Del City (2)	January 1, 2012	928,829	409,236	44%	108,595	517,831	56%
Dewey	January 1, 2012	66,943	48,135	72%	18,803	66,938	100%
Drumright	January 1, 2012	132,136	90,805	69%	41,129	131,934	100%
Durant	January 1, 2012	666,209	492,668	74%	175,561	668,229	100%
El Reno	January 1, 2012	323,973	219,485	68%	105,195	324,680	100%
Eufaula	January 1, 2012	55,361	32,549	59%	22,847	55,396	100%
Fort Cobb (3)	January 1, 2012	13,986	10,000	72%	4,365	14,365	103%
Foss Reservoir PWA	January 1, 2012	51,395	41,315	80%	10,087	51,402	100%
Frederick (3)	January 1, 2012	141,691	123,061	87%	40,989	164,050	116%
Garber	January 1, 2012	3,044	1,617	53%	1,426	3,043	100%
Geary	January 1, 2012	61,036	42,713	70%	18,321	61,034	100%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
Goodwell (3)	January 1, 2012	12,240	12,232	100%	5,050	17,282	141%
Gore and Gore PWA (3)	January 1, 2012	44,569	29,577	66%	16,463	46,040	103%
Granite (2)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	49,841	37,134	75%	9,371	46,505	93%
Guthrie	January 1, 2012	224,299	155,782	69%	68,516	224,298	100%
Guymon	January 1, 2012	294,495	294,609	100%	-	294,609	100%
Harrah	January 1, 2012	114,689	81,957	71%	32,720	114,677	100%
Healdton	January 1, 2012	66,341	39,948	60%	26,393	66,341	100%
Henryetta	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	106,551	99,310	93%	7,229	106,539	100%
Hooker	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	64,499	51,412	80%	13,388	64,800	100%
Hulbert (3)	January 1, 2012	44,063	35,925	82%	12,892	48,817	111%
Hydro	January 1, 2012	13,291	9,634	72%	3,658	13,292	100%
Kansas (6)	January 1, 2012	8,350	5,833	70%	2,585	8,418	101%
Kingston	January 1, 2012	57,661	42,651	74%	15,030	57,681	100%
Krebs (6)	January 1, 2012	29,181	18,849	65%	10,812	29,661	102%
Laverne (3)	January 1, 2012	65,082	53,849	83%	18,111	71,960	111%
Lindsay (3)	January 1, 2012	150,068	129,690	86%	48,634	178,324	119%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
Madill	January 1, 2012	121,985	81,997	67%	40,020	122,017	100%
Mannford	January 1, 2012	184,649	138,817	75%	45,815	184,632	100%
Marietta	January 1, 2012	50,993	25,280	50%	25,902	51,182	100%
Marietta PWA	January 1, 2012	41,837	33,207	79%	8,630	41,837	100%
McLoud	January 1, 2012	31,374	19,432	62%	11,946	31,378	100%
Medford	January 1, 2012	107,784	93,607	87%	14,183	107,790	100%
Meeker	January 1, 2012	32,910	24,210	74%	8,688	32,898	100%
Mooreland (3)	January 1, 2012	47,700	42,336	89%	10,421	52,757	111%
Mountain Park MCD	January 1, 2012	42,605	33,898	80%	8,707	42,605	100%
Muldrow	January 1, 2012	112,699	80,635	72%	32,312	112,947	100%
Muskogee (4) (9)	January 1, 2012	633	12,167	1922%	-	12,167	1922%
Mustang	January 1, 2012	347,902	252,878	73%	95,602	348,480	100%
Newkirk (2)	January 1, 2012	127,869	60,356	47%	30,914	91,270	71%
Nichols Hills (2)	January 1, 2012	503,770	299,953	60%	164,974	464,927	92%
Noble (3)	January 1, 2012	91,347	89,633	98%	23,098	112,731	123%
Norman (4)	January 1, 2012	35,718	35,718	100%	-	35,718	100%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
Nowata (6)	January 1, 2012	126,341	77,704	62%	49,484	127,188	101%
Oilton	January 1, 2012	25,917	17,496	68%	8,422	25,918	100%
Okeene	January 1, 2012	41,433	31,329	76%	10,115	41,444	100%
Okemah (7)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	63,947	44,953	70%	19,831	64,784	101%
Oklahoma Municipal League (3)	January 1, 2012	90,240	118,000	131%	-	118,000	131%
Okmulgee	January 1, 2012	350,329	350,121	100%	-	350,121	100%
Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund	January 1, 2012	59,285	39,004	66%	20,291	59,295	100%
Owasso	January 1, 2012	698,493	466,403	67%	232,131	698,534	100%
Pawnee	January 1, 2012	124,171	78,876	64%	45,287	124,163	100%
Perkins	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	71,655	53,308	74%	18,340	71,648	100%
Perry	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	189,078	127,245	67%	61,847	189,092	100%
Pond Creek	January 1, 2012	41,062	32,743	80%	8,319	41,062	100%
Porum	January 1, 2012	27,509	19,124	70%	8,388	27,512	100%
Poteau (3)	January 1, 2012	223,605	162,859	73%	69,266	232,125	104%
Ratliff City (8)	January 1, 2012	5,270	3,897	74%	1,435	5,332	101%
Ringling	January 1, 2012	13,384	10,439	78%	2,983	13,422	100%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
Roland	January 1, 2012	93,608	62,007	66%	31,566	93,573	100%
Sallisaw	January 1, 2012	791,625	576,810	73%	214,769	791,579	100%
Seiling	January 1, 2012	28,926	16,290	56%	12,592	28,882	100%
Shawnee	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	1,502,952	1,503,165	100%	-	1,503,165	100%
<b>Spencer</b> (5) (8)	<b>January 1, 2012</b>	67,363	49,124	73%	18,650	67,774	101%
Spiro	January 1, 2012	43,673	30,540	70%	13,125	43,665	100%
Stilwell	January 1, 2012	409,517	302,942	74%	106,503	409,445	100%
Stratford	January 1, 2012	16,231	11,624	72%	4,654	16,278	100%
Stroud (3)	January 1, 2012	130,213	97,123	75%	43,275	140,398	108%
Sulphur	January 1, 2012	182,459	135,509	74%	46,954	182,463	100%
Talihina and TPWA (3)	January 1, 2012	38,537	38,115	99%	10,842	48,957	127%
Tecumseh (4) (5)	January 1, 2012	-	4,309	N/A	-	4,309	N/A
Thomas	January 1, 2012	52,283	42,672	82%	9,619	52,291	100%
Tipton (8)	January 1, 2012	6,533	4,135	63%	2,596	6,731	103%
Tishomingo	January 1, 2012	41,728	32,047	77%	9,672	41,719	100%
Tonkawa	January 1, 2012	115,142	86,680	75%	28,486	115,166	100%
Velma (3)	January 1, 2012	19,442	13,823	71%	6,066	19,889	102%

See Independent Auditors' Report.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed
Vian (3)	January 1, 2012	14,638	8,640	59%	6,680	15,320	105%
Vinita	January 1, 2012	364,390	280,978	77%	83,288	364,266	100%
Wakita (3)	January 1, 2012	7,008	6,344	91%	1,749	8,093	115%
Warr Acres (3)	January 1, 2012	296,299	354,052	119%	49,437	403,489	136%
Watonga	January 1, 2012	186,998	152,908	82%	34,055	186,963	100%
Waukomis (8)	January 1, 2012	10,803	3,260	30%	7,622	10,882	101%
Waurika (6)	January 1, 2012	68,777	51,541	75%	16,236	67,777	99%
Weatherford (3) (8)	January 1, 2012	411,243	297,434	72%	134,315	431,749	105%
Webbers Falls	January 1, 2012	8,716	7,004	80%	1,717	8,721	100%
Wellston (3)	January 1, 2012	19,093	13,529	71%	6,606	20,135	105%
Westville Utility Authority	January 1, 2012	39,603	21,378	54%	18,245	39,623	100%
Wetumka (3)	January 1, 2012	89,708	80,835	90%	16,975	97,810	109%
Yale	January 1, 2012	70,892	42,045	59%	28,831	70,876	100%
Yukon (3)	January 1, 2012	1,331,952	1,458,106	<u>109</u> %		1,458,106	<u>109</u> %
<b>Entry Age Normal Cost</b>	<b>Method Totals</b>	22,078,544	17,597,266	<u>80</u> %	4,653,595	22,250,861	<u>101</u> %

See Independent Auditors' Report.

### REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION—SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES BY MUNICIPALITY/MUNICIPAL AGENCY

June 30, 2012

Municipality/ Municipal Agency	Valuation Date(1)	Annual Required Contribution	Employer Amount Contributed	Employer Percentage Contributed	Employee Amount Contributed	Total Amount Contributed	Total Percentage Contributed	
UNIT CREDIT COST N	UNIT CREDIT COST METHOD:							
Bartlesville (3)	January 1, 2012	1,461,367	1,232,913	<u>84</u> %	357,362	1,590,275	<u>109%</u>	
Unit Credit Cost Method Totals		1,461,367	1,232,913	<u>84</u> %	357,362	1,590,275	<u>109</u> %	
AGGREGATE COST METHOD:								
Bartlesville HP	January 1, 2012	109,592	109,579	100%	-	109,579	100%	
Mannford HP	January 1, 2012	4,200	4,200	100%		4,200	100%	
Aggregate Cost Method Totals		113,792	113,779	<u>100</u> %		113,779	<u>100</u> %	
TOTALS	•	\$ 23,653,703	18,943,958	<u>80</u> %	5,010,957	23,954,915	<u>101</u> %	

- (1) Although the valuation date reflects the most recent actuarial valuation, the annual required contribution is based upon the prior year 's actuarial valuation.
- (2) The municipality received the actuarial valuation and determined that they would send in less than recommended.
- (3) Funding for future plan enhancements and/or attempting to lower unfunded actuarial accrued liability.
- (4) Funding requirements for retiree only plans.
- (5) City used new contribution rate on last deposits of this fiscal year.
- (6) City used old contribution rate on the first deposit of this fiscal year.
- (7) City has participants who bought back for missed contributions.
- (8) City sent too much in on a few payrolls and agreed to overfund the plan.
- (9) City received cash settlement from a credit union on a deceased retiree.
- (10) City granted service credit for employees who were not properly set up. Employer contributions were made up on missed payrolls but employee contributions were not.
- (11) City amended plan and granted service credit for a specific position, yet no contributions were made.
- (12) City sent in too little on a few payrolls and agreed to short the plan.

#### See Independent Auditors' Report.

#### NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

June 30, 2012

### (1) <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE FUND</u>

The Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund (the "Fund") was established July 1, 1966, for the purpose of providing a trust instrument for the administration of retirement allowances and other specified benefits for employees of city or town governments and municipally owned agencies in Oklahoma. These municipalities may elect to participate in the Fund in order to provide for the retirement of their employees who are not covered by another retirement plan. There are two programs available to each participating municipality, one being a defined contribution plan and the other a defined benefit plan. Each plan has various available options. The defined benefit plan is an agent multiple-employer type plan.

The overall operations of the Fund are supervised by a nine-member Board of Trustees elected by the participating municipalities. JPMorgan Chase Bank ("JPMorgan") acts as securities custodian. The Fund utilizes mutual funds, collective trust funds of banks and trust companies, or separate accounts specifically tailored for the Fund by investment advisors, greatly expanding the universe of managers to choose from. In each case, rigorous standards for selection and monitoring are applied. The usage of vehicles other than mutual funds may enable the Fund to reduce expenses or utilize the talent of an investment manager that might not be available via a mutual fund. The investment managers utilized by the Fund are as follows:

Manager	Style	Туре
Artisan Funds, Inc.	Active	Mutual and Commingled Fund
Aston Asset Management	Active	Mutual Fund
Barings Asset Investment Management, Inc.	Active	Commingled Fund, Private Placement
BNY Mellon	Active	Collective Trust Fund
Common Sense Long-Biased, L.P.	Active	Funds of Funds
EARNEST Partners	Active	Separate Account Manager
Goldman Sachs Trust Financial Square Fund	Active	Mutual Fund
INTECH Investment Management, LLC	Active	Separate Account Manager and
		Collective Trust Fund
Invesco Stable Value Trust	Active	Collective Trust Fund
JPMorgan Asset Management	Active	Commingled Funds and Collective
		Trust Fund
Pioneer Institutional Asset Management, Inc.	Active	Collective Trust Fund
State Street Global Advisors	Passive	Collective Trust Fund
TimesSquare Capital Management, LLC	Active	Separate Account Manager
Vanguard Institutional Asset Management	Active &Passive	Mutual Fund
Western Asset Management	Active	Mutual Fund
Investment Company		

#### NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, CONTINUED

### (1) <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE FUND, CONTINUED</u>

There were 206 and 204 member municipalities in the Fund at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The members use a defined benefit plan, a defined contribution plan, or a combination of plans. As of June 30, 2012, there was a total of 310 plans administered by the Fund, which included 131 benefit plans and 179 defined contribution plans. As of June 30, 2011, there was a total of 308 plans administered by the Fund, which included 130 benefit plans and 178 defined contribution plans.

Employee membership data related to the defined benefit plans is as follows:

	Plans with Actuarial Information at		
	<u>January 1,</u>		
Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, and terminated employees	2012	<u>2011</u>	
entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them	1,905	1,828	
Vested	1,736	1,702	
Nonvested	2,128	2,160	
	3,864	3,862	

Employee membership data related to defined benefit plans with retirees only of municipalities which no longer participate in the Fund is as follows:

	Plans with Actuarial Information at		
	<u>January 1,</u>		
	2012	2011	
Retirees and beneficiaries currently			
receiving benefits, and terminated employees			
entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them	63	41	

The municipalities involved are still responsible for maintaining the funded status of these plans.

#### NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, CONTINUED

#### (1) <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE FUND, CONTINUED</u>

In general, the Fund provides retirement benefits based on either the members' final average compensation, age, term of service, plus annual cost-of-living adjustments, if so elected, or the accumulation of contributions and earnings, depending upon the type of plan elected. Benefit and funding provisions include:

#### **Defined Benefit Plans**

- Members participating in a defined benefit plan become 100% vested in retirement benefits earned to date according to the plan option elected by the municipality. Vesting can occur after 5 years, 7 years, or 10 years, depending on the election made. Participants are eligible for normal retirement at their normal retirement age as defined in each member's joinder agreement and could be on or after age 55, 60, 62, or 65 and upon becoming vested. Early retirement benefits are available at reduced amounts as early as age 55. The normal retirement benefit is equal to an elected percentage of final compensation for each year of credited service. Final compensation is defined as the average salary for the highest 60 consecutive months out of the last 10 years of the participant's employment. (1)
- A member is eligible for disability benefits upon becoming vested.
- On non-hybrid municipalities, upon separation from the Fund, nonvested defined benefit members' contributions are refundable in addition to a 6% return on their contributions. On hybrid municipalities, upon separation from the Fund, nonvested defined benefit members' contributions are refundable in addition to real investment returns/losses on their contributions.
- The monthly employer contribution requirement for each municipality participating in one of the defined benefit plans is based upon a percentage of employees' compensation. The percentage for each municipality is computed every year by the Fund's actuary, pursuant to an actuarial cost method which consists of the actuarially computed normal costs, and the interest on any unfunded past service costs amortized over a rolling 30-year period. (2)

Bartlesville is the only exception. They have a career average plan and allow for normal retirement upon reaching Rule of 80 with no age minimum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> Bartlesville is the only exception. The amortization of unfunded past service cost is a fixed 30-year period beginning January 1, 2010.

#### NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, CONTINUED

### (1) <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE FUND, CONTINUED</u>

#### **Defined Contribution Plans**

• The defined contribution plans are funded through selected rates of contributions as elected by each municipality. The funds are credited to individual participant accounts and pooled for investment purposes through the Fund. All gains and/or losses are credited directly to each participant. Upon retirement, termination of employment, disability, or death, the vested portion of a participant's account is paid to the participant or beneficiary as elected and in accordance with IRS regulations. This amount is based on an accumulation of employee and employer contributions, forfeitures, if applicable, and earnings or losses.

#### **Termination and Withdrawal**

An employer under a defined benefit plan may at any time terminate its involvement with the Fund with respect to its employees, pursuant to resolution by the governing body of the employer, and may direct and require the Board of Trustees to liquidate the portion of the fund allocable to its employees or their beneficiaries in the following order:

- a. Benefits attributable to employee contributions with interest, taking into account those paid out before termination.
- b. Benefits to former employees or their beneficiaries receiving a retirement income or those employees who have become eligible for normal retirement but have not yet retired.
- c. Pensions deferred to normal retirement date for participants who have qualified for an early deferred pension.
- d. Pensions deferred to normal retirement date for participants who have qualified for a deferred vested pension.
- e. All other vested benefits.
- f. All nonvested benefits.
- g. All remaining assets shall be distributed as designated by the employer's retirement committee.

#### NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, CONTINUED

### (2) <u>ACTUARIAL VALUATIONS</u>

The actuary performs an independent actuarial valuation annually for each municipality participating in a defined benefit plan. The actuarial valuations are based upon the entry age normal cost method and the unit credit cost method. The more significant assumptions underlying the actuarial computations in the most recent valuations are as follows:

Rate of return on investments 7.5%

Inflation 3.0%

Salary rate increases A high scale at younger age levels, becoming

progressively lower as age advances (4.0% to 7.4%).

Mortality basis:

Nondisabled 1994 Uninsured Pensioner Mortality Table; projected

for cohort mortality improvement (UP 94).

Disabled Mortality table used for those receiving Social

Security Disability benefits.

Amortization method Level dollar.

Asset valuation Market value with smoothed gains and losses:

A preliminary actuarial value is calculated as a 7.5% yield on the actuarial value at the beginning of the period. This value is then increased or decreased 25% of the difference between the preliminary actuarial value and by the current market value of the fund. The resulting value must be within 30% of the market value, or the actuarial

value is set to the appropriate corridor limit.

Cost of living Inflation increases of 3% for municipalities electing

post-retirement cost-of-living adjustments.

Turnover A high scale at younger age levels, becoming

progressively lower as age and service advances.

Disability Based on old age survivors and disability insurance

incidence rates.

Retirement Rates graded by age. One set of rates apply when

employees are eligible for normal retirement and another (smaller) set of rates apply at eligible early (reduced) retirement ages. All rates reach 100% at

age 70 and higher.

See Independent Auditors' Report.

#### OKLAHOMA MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT FUND

#### NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, CONTINUED

#### (2) <u>ACTUARIAL VALUATIONS, CONTINUED</u>

Actuarial methods:

Entry age normal cost method

Liabilities and costs are determined using the entry age normal cost method (with level annual normal costs as a percentage of covered payroll), considering the actuarial liability to be the excess of the present value of all benefits over the present value of future normal costs. Normal costs are determined on the basis of experience factors for each age of entrance. The costs are based on employee census data as provided by the municipality. For certain grandfathered municipalities, if the actuarial value of the assets exceeds the actuarial liability determined under the entry age normal cost method, the excess is amortized as a level percent of pay over the future working lifetime of active Such procedures produce a total participants. contribution rate that is similar to the aggregate cost method. The aggregate cost method, however, does not separate the contribution requirement into separate normal cost and amortization components.

Unit credit cost method (Bartlesville only)

Under this funding method, the normal cost is computed as the present value of the increase in accrued retirement income for each employee's service during the following year. The actuarial liability of the plan is the present value of the accrued retirement income earned to date. Present values are discounted for interest, mortality, turnover, and retirement rates by age. The actuarial liability for non-active participants is the present value of future benefits anticipated to be paid to current retirees, beneficiaries, deferred vested participants, and nonvested participants with employee contribution balances discounted for interest and mortality.

#### OKLAHOMA MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT FUND

#### NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, CONTINUED

### (3) <u>CONTRIBUTIONS</u>

Contributions include contributions from the municipalities, municipal agencies, and employees, if applicable. These contributions are accounted for on the accrual basis.

#### (4) <u>SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS</u>

A schedule of funding progress has been compiled by grouping the municipalities and municipal agencies based upon the actuarial valuation method used by the actuary. Schedule I is in total and Schedule III is by municipality/municipal agency.

#### (5) SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES

A schedule of contributions from employers and employees has been compiled by grouping the municipalities and municipal agencies based upon the actuarial valuation method used by the actuary. Schedule II is in total and Schedule IV is by municipality/municipal agency. The annual required contribution is based upon the most recent actuarial valuation prior to January 1, 2012.

## OKLAHOMA MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT FUND OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Board of Trustees Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund

Our report on our audit of the financial statements of the Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund (the "Fund") appears on page 1. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the statements of plan net assets (Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans) of the Fund as of June 30, 2012, and the related statements of changes in plan net assets (Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans) for the years then ended. The accompanying analysis of fund ownership for the defined contribution plans is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Shawnee, Oklahoma December 14, 2012 Finley + Cook, PLLC

June 30, 2012	30, 2012		
	Unit		
	Market Value	Market	
	Ownership	Value	
City	Percentage	Ownership	
Ada	8.187805%	\$ 18,482,206	
Afton	0.168679%	380,756	
Altus	0.600122%	1,354,646	
Altus—CMO Plan	0.001674%	3,779	
Alva	0.450522%	1,016,956	
Ardmore—CMO Plan	0.073501%	165,913	
Arkoma	0.062120%	140,222	
Bartlesville	0.136730%	308,638	
Bethany—CMO Plan	0.013153%	29,690	
Bethany/Warr Acres	0.027752%	62,644	
Bixby Special Incentive—CMO	0.006191%	13,975	
Bixby CMO Plan	0.086690%	195,684	
Blackwell	0.108840%	245,683	
Broken Arrow	10.793089%	24,363,073	
Burns Flat—CMO Plan	0.033226%	75,001	
Cache/Cache PWA	0.027896%	62,969	
Caddo & Caddo PWA DC	0.017098%	38,595	
Caney	0.023076%	52,089	
Carmen and CPWA	0.021154%	47,751	
Cashion	0.071642%	161,716	
Central Oklahoma MCD—CMO Plan	0.071483%	161,357	
Chandler—CMO Plan	0.028182%	63,615	
Chelsea	0.071282%	160,904	
Chelsea 7/06 New Hires	0.008654%	19,535	
Choctaw	0.595837%	1,344,974	
Choctaw—CMO Plan	0.019644%	44,342	
Chouteau	0.165231%	372,973	
Cleveland—CMO Plan	0.010905%	24,616	
Cleveland Special Incentive—CMO Plan	0.004957%	11,189	
Clinton	0.907910%	2,049,411	
Clinton—CMO Plan	0.000583%	1,316	
Coalgate	0.184528%	416,532	

Citit         Market Value Overship         Market Value Value           City         Percentage         Ownership           Collinsville—CMO Plan         0.070190%         158,439           Collinsville Special Incentive         0.041536%         93,759           Cownanch—CMO Plan         0.041536%         93,759           Coweta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.126038%         284,504           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.01994%         24,817           Druant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           Elgin         0.066075%         155,922	<i>June 30, 2012</i>			
City         Ownership Percentage         Value Ownership           Collinsville—CMO Plan         0.070190%         158,439           Collinsville Special Incentive         0.004552%         10,275           Comanche—CMO Plan         0.041536%         93,759           Covington         0.024869%         56,136           Coweta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.1260388         284,504           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.0189517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.01994%         24,817           Drumari         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.045818%         103,424 <tr< th=""><th></th><th>Unit Market Value</th><th rowspan="3">Value</th></tr<>		Unit Market Value	Value	
City         Percentage         Ownership           Collinsville—CMO Plan         0.070190%         158,439           Collinsville Special Incentive         0.004552%         10,275           Comanche—CMO Plan         0.041536%         93,759           Covington         0.024869%         56,136           Cowcta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Cowcta—CMO Plan         0.126038%         284,504           Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.018397%         148,707           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.018325%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.01894%         24,817           Drumnt—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.0445818%         103,424           Elgin         0.053697%         121,209 </th <th></th> <th></th>				
Collinsville—CMO Plan         0.070190%         158,439           Collinsville Special Incentive         0.004552%         10,275           Comanche—CMO Plan         0.041536%         93,759           Covington         0.024869%         56,136           Coweta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.012399%         227,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.018325%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.018325%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview         0.451568%         1,019,317		<del>-</del>		
Collinsville Special Incentive         0.004552%         10,275           Comanche—CMO Plan         0.041536%         93,759           Covington         0.024869%         56,136           Coweta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.126038%         284,504           Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.018325%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         36,2536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           Elgin         0.053697%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.053697%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316	City	Percentage	Ownership	
Comanche—CMO Plan         0.041536%         93,759           Covington         0.024869%         56,136           Coweta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.126038%         284,504           Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.018325%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumight         0.160607%         362,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.045818%         103,424           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316	Collinsville—CMO Plan	0.070190%	158,439	
Covington         0.024869%         56,136           Coweta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.126038%         284,504           Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.024553%         461,734           <	Collinsville Special Incentive	0.004552%	10,275	
Coweta         0.703236%         1,587,404           Coweta—CMO Plan         0.126038%         284,504           Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Durant         1.630072%         3,679,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview         0.451568%         1,019,317           Feitcher         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage<	Comanche—CMO Plan	0.041536%	93,759	
Coweta—CMO Plan         0.126038%         284,504           Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant         1.630072%         3,679,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage         0.003441%         7,767           Gle	Covington	0.024869%	56,136	
Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.012399%         27,988           Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant         1.630072%         3,679,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Fairview         0.451568%         1,019,317           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage         0.003441%         7,767           Glencoe and GPWA         0.012111%         27,338 <t< td=""><td>Coweta</td><td>0.703236%</td><td>1,587,404</td></t<>	Coweta	0.703236%	1,587,404	
Crescent         0.061706%         139,288           Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage         0.003441%         7,767           Glencoe and GPWA         0.012111%         27,338           Glenpool CMO Plan #1         0.066617%         150,374           Glenpool CMO Plan #2         0.034409%         77,671           Gold	Coweta—CMO Plan	0.126038%	284,504	
Crescent—CMO Plan         0.015398%         34,758           Cushing—CMO Plan         0.018397%         41,527           Custer City         0.070309%         158,707           Davis—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant         1.630072%         3,679,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage         0.003441%         7,767           Glencoe and GPWA         0.012111%         27,338           Glenpool CMO Plan #1         0.066617%         150,374           Glenpool CMO Plan #2         0.034409%         77,671           Gold	Coweta Special Incentive—CMO Plan	0.012399%	27,988	
Cushing—CMO Plan       0.018397%       41,527         Custer City       0.070309%       158,707         Davis—CMO Plan       0.013825%       31,207         Dewey—CMO Plan       0.008517%       19,225         Dover PWA       0.010994%       24,817         Drumright       0.160607%       362,536         Durant       1.630072%       3,679,536         Durant—CMO Plan       0.045818%       103,424         Eakly       0.021732%       49,055         East Duke and DMA       0.014430%       32,573         El Reno—CMO Plan       0.053697%       121,209         Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview       0.451568%       1,019,317         Fairview—CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Crescent	0.061706%	139,288	
Custer City       0.070309%       158,707         Davis—CMO Plan       0.013825%       31,207         Dewey—CMO Plan       0.008517%       19,225         Dover PWA       0.010994%       24,817         Drumright       0.160607%       362,536         Durant       1.630072%       3,679,536         Durant—CMO Plan       0.045818%       103,424         Eakly       0.021732%       49,055         East Duke and DMA       0.014430%       32,573         El Reno—CMO Plan       0.053697%       121,209         Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview—CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Crescent—CMO Plan	0.015398%	34,758	
Davis—CMO Plan         0.013825%         31,207           Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant         1.630072%         3,679,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage         0.003441%         7,767           Glencoe and GPWA         0.012111%         27,338           Glenpool         0.143241%         323,336           Glenpool CMO Plan #1         0.066617%         150,374           Glenpool CMO Plan #2         0.034409%         77,671           Goldsby         0.139237%         314,298	Cushing—CMO Plan	0.018397%	41,527	
Dewey—CMO Plan         0.008517%         19,225           Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant         1.630072%         3,679,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage         0.003441%         7,767           Glencoe and GPWA         0.012111%         27,338           Glenpool         0.143241%         323,336           Glenpool CMO Plan #1         0.066617%         150,374           Glenpool CMO Plan #2         0.034409%         77,671           Goldsby         0.139237%         314,298	Custer City	0.070309%	158,707	
Dover PWA         0.010994%         24,817           Drumright         0.160607%         362,536           Durant         1.630072%         3,679,536           Durant—CMO Plan         0.045818%         103,424           Eakly         0.021732%         49,055           East Duke and DMA         0.014430%         32,573           El Reno—CMO Plan         0.053697%         121,209           Elgin         0.069075%         155,922           Fairview         0.451568%         1,019,317           Fairview—CMO Plan         0.038239%         86,316           Fletcher         0.015643%         35,311           Fort Gibson         0.204553%         461,734           Gage         0.003441%         7,767           Glencoe and GPWA         0.012111%         27,338           Glenpool         0.143241%         323,336           Glenpool CMO Plan #1         0.066617%         150,374           Goldsby         0.139237%         314,298	Davis—CMO Plan	0.013825%	31,207	
Drumright       0.160607%       362,536         Durant       1.630072%       3,679,536         Durant—CMO Plan       0.045818%       103,424         Eakly       0.021732%       49,055         East Duke and DMA       0.014430%       32,573         El Reno—CMO Plan       0.053697%       121,209         Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview       0.451568%       1,019,317         Fairview—CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Dewey—CMO Plan	0.008517%	19,225	
Durant       1.630072%       3,679,536         Durant—CMO Plan       0.045818%       103,424         Eakly       0.021732%       49,055         East Duke and DMA       0.014430%       32,573         El Reno—CMO Plan       0.053697%       121,209         Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview       0.451568%       1,019,317         Fairview—CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Dover PWA	0.010994%	24,817	
Durant—CMO Plan       0.045818%       103,424         Eakly       0.021732%       49,055         East Duke and DMA       0.014430%       32,573         El Reno—CMO Plan       0.053697%       121,209         Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview       CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Drumright	0.160607%	362,536	
Eakly       0.021732%       49,055         East Duke and DMA       0.014430%       32,573         El Reno—CMO Plan       0.053697%       121,209         Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview       0.451568%       1,019,317         Fairview—CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Durant	1.630072%	3,679,536	
East Duke and DMA  East Duke and DMA  0.014430% 32,573 El Reno—CMO Plan 0.053697% 121,209 Elgin 0.069075% 155,922 Fairview CMO Plan 0.038239% 86,316 Fletcher 0.015643% 35,311 Fort Gibson 0.204553% 461,734 Gage 0.003441% 7,767 Glencoe and GPWA 0.012111% 27,338 Glenpool CMO Plan #1 0.066617% 150,374 Glenpool CMO Plan #2 0.034409% 77,671 Goldsby 0.139237% 314,298	Durant—CMO Plan	0.045818%	103,424	
El Reno—CMO Plan       0.053697%       121,209         Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview       0.451568%       1,019,317         Fairview—CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Eakly	0.021732%	49,055	
Elgin       0.069075%       155,922         Fairview       0.451568%       1,019,317         Fairview—CMO Plan       0.038239%       86,316         Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	East Duke and DMA	0.014430%	32,573	
Fairview	El Reno—CMO Plan	0.053697%	121,209	
Fairview—CMO Plan  Fletcher  0.015643%  35,311  Fort Gibson  0.204553%  461,734  Gage  0.003441%  7,767  Glencoe and GPWA  0.012111%  27,338  Glenpool  0.143241%  323,336  Glenpool CMO Plan #1  0.066617%  150,374  Glenpool CMO Plan #2  0.034409%  77,671  Goldsby  0.139237%  314,298	Elgin	0.069075%	155,922	
Fletcher       0.015643%       35,311         Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Fairview	0.451568%	1,019,317	
Fort Gibson       0.204553%       461,734         Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Fairview—CMO Plan	0.038239%	86,316	
Gage       0.003441%       7,767         Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Fletcher	0.015643%	35,311	
Glencoe and GPWA       0.012111%       27,338         Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Fort Gibson	0.204553%	461,734	
Glenpool       0.143241%       323,336         Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Gage	0.003441%	7,767	
Glenpool CMO Plan #1       0.066617%       150,374         Glenpool CMO Plan #2       0.034409%       77,671         Goldsby       0.139237%       314,298	Glencoe and GPWA	0.012111%	27,338	
Glenpool CMO Plan #2 0.034409% 77,671 Goldsby 0.139237% 314,298	Glenpool	0.143241%	323,336	
Goldsby 0.139237% 314,298	Glenpool CMO Plan #1	0.066617%	150,374	
	Glenpool CMO Plan #2	0.034409%	77,671	
Goltry and GPWA 0.023150% 52,256	Goldsby	0.139237%	314,298	
	Goltry and GPWA	0.023150%	52,256	

June 30, 2012	Unit		
	Market Value	Market Value Ownership	
	Ownership		
City	Percentage		
•	0.005909%	13,338	
Goodwell	0.003909%	63,012	
Guthrie CMO Plan	1.306337%	2,948,774	
Guymon	0.366918%		
Guymon CMO DH		828,238	
Guymon—CMO Plan	0.024599%	55,527	
Harrah	0.052137%	117,688	
Harrah—CMO Plan	0.022141%	49,979	
Hartshorne	0.148272%	334,692	
Haskell	0.230456%	520,205	
Healdton—CMO Plan	0.005305%	11,975	
Helena	0.040375%	91,138	
Hennessey	0.054864%	123,844	
Henryetta—CMO Plan	0.000546%	1,232	
Hobart	0.304196%	686,657	
Hollis	0.194557%	439,171	
Hominy	0.385416%	869,994	
Hominy—CMO Plan	0.022181%	50,069	
Jay	0.336306%	759,138	
Jones City & Jones PWA	0.022266%	50,261	
Lahoma	0.014369%	32,435	
Lone Grove	0.195870%	442,134	
Lone Grove—CMO Plan	0.002638%	5,955	
Mangum Utilities—CMO Plan	0.031800%	71,782	
Mannford CMO—CM	0.058183%	131,336	
Mannford CMO—Dept Heads	0.130203%	293,905	
Mannford Special Incentive—CMO Plan	0.006778%	15,300	
Mannsville	0.039917%	90,104	
Marlow	0.673019%	1,519,195	
Marlow—CMO Plan	0.063661%	143,701	
Maysville	0.029214%	65,944	
McLoud—CMO Plan	0.007009%	15,821	
Meeker—CMO Plan	0.000162%	366	

June 30, 2012			
	Unit		
	Market Value	Market	
City	Ownership	Value Ownership	
	Percentage		
Midwest City	12.531027%	28,286,094	
Morris & Morris PWA	0.006561%	14,810	
Mounds	0.019462%	43,931	
Muskogee	7.492050%	16,911,689	
Muskogee—CMO Plan	0.038236%	86,310	
Newcastle—CMO Plan	0.014826%	33,466	
Nicoma Park	0.085222%	192,370	
Noble—CMO Plan	0.039610%	89,411	
OK Mun Assurance Group	1.878393%	4,240,068	
OK Mun Utility Service Authority	0.152705%	344,699	
Okeene—CMO Plan	0.019309%	43,586	
Okemah—CMO Plan	0.015826%	35,724	
Okmulgee	0.935978%	2,112,769	
Okmulgee—CMO Plan	0.065074%	146,891	
OMAG—CMO Plan	0.160727%	362,807	
OMRF CEO	0.178287%	402,444	
OMUSA—CMO Plan	0.051719%	116,745	
Owasso	1.224732%	2,764,569	
Pauls Valley	0.275439%	621,744	
Pauls Valley—CMO Plan	0.041592%	93,885	
Pawhuska	0.547697%	1,236,308	
Perkins—CMO Plan	0.030993%	69,960	
Piedmont	0.153510%	346,516	
Piedmont—CMO Plan	0.012763%	28,810	
Pocola	0.036201%	81,716	
Porum	0.143126%	323,076	
Prague	0.270741%	611,139	
Prague—CMO Plan	0.009313%	21,022	
Sand Springs	3.886849%	8,773,724	
Sand Springs—CMO Plan	0.040955%	92,447	
Sand Springs Special Incentive	0.011431%	25,803	
Sapulpa	0.968952%	2,187,200	

City         Market Value Ownership Ownership         Market Value Value Ownership Ownership           Sapulpa Basic—CMO Plan         0.080881%         182,571           Sapulpa Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.030695%         692,87           Sayre         0.278280%         628,157           Sayre—CMO Plan         0.040025%         90,348           Sciling         0.040057%         90,420           Sciling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41,543           Seminole         0.088035%         198,720           Scininole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Share Medical Center         0.4472624%         1.066,847           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3.073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.012828%         28,956           Stillwater         13,972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater Medical Center         12,343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.103454%	June 30, 2012	Unit		
City         Ownership Percentage         Value Ownership           Sapulpa Basic—CMO Plan         0.080881%         182.571           Sapulpa Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.030695%         69.287           Sayre         0.278280%         628.157           Sayre—CMO Plan         0.040057%         90.420           Seiling         0.040057%         90.420           Seiling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41.543           Seminole         0.889543%         2.007.952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198.720           Shavnee         1.06420%         2.271.776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602.356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3.073.995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91.309           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.010732%         38.446           Spence—CMO Plan         0.0102421%         50.611           Stillwater         13.3972058         31.538,911           Stillwater         13.972058         31.538,911           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27.861,950           Stroud         0.108438         24.475           Stroud         0.108438			Markat	
City         Percentage         Ownership           Sapulpa Basic—CMO Plan         0.080881%         182,571           Sayre         0.278280%         62,815           Sayre—CMO Plan         0.040025%         90,488           Seiling         0.040057%         90,420           Seiling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41,543           Seiminole—CMO Plan         0.889543%         2,007,952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Sharwee         0.472664%         1,066,847           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.0622421%         50,611           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.023269%         503,982           Stroud—CMO Plan </th <th></th> <th></th> <th rowspan="2">Value</th>			Value	
Sapulpa Basic—CMO Plan         0.080881%         182,571           Sapulpa Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.030695%         69,287           Sayre         0.278280%         628,157           Sayre—CMO Plan         0.040025%         90,348           Seiling         0.040057%         90,420           Sciling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41,543           Seminole         0.889543%         2,007,952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater Medical Center         12.34127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center         12.341327%         27,861,950           Stiringt		•		
Sapulpa Special Incentive—CMO Plan         0.030695%         69,287           Sayre         0.278280%         628,157           Sayre—CMO Plan         0.040025%         90,348           Seiling         0.0400057%         90,420           Seiling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41,543           Seminole         0.889543%         2,007,952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater Medical Center         12.34127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center         12.34127%         27,861,950           Stiringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.108354%         368,962           Stroud—CMO	•			
Sayre         0.278280%         628,157           Sayre—CMO Plan         0.040025%         90,348           Seiling         0.040057%         90,420           Seiling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41,543           Seminole         0.889543%         2,007,952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,722           Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13,972058%         31,538,91           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12,343127%         27,861,950           Stiringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.163454%         368,962           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018211%			•	
Sayre—CMO Plan         0.040025%         90,348           Sciling         0.040057%         90,420           Sciling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41,543           Seminole         0.889543%         2,007,952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13,972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater Medical Center         12,343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stiringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.009561%         21,582           Sulphur—CMO Plan	Sapulpa Special Incentive—CMO Plan			
Seiling       0.040057%       90,420         Seiling—CMO Plan       0.018404%       41,543         Seminole       0.889543%       2,007,952         Seminole—CMO Plan       0.088035%       198,720         Share Medical Center       0.472624%       1,066,847         Shawnee       1.006420%       2,271,776         Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH       0.266850%       602,356         Skiatook       1.361811%       3,073,995         Skiatook—CMO Plan       0.040451%       91,309         Slaughterville       0.017032%       38,446         Spencer—CMO Plan       0.012828%       28,956         Stephens County Public Safety       0.022421%       50,611         Stillwater       13,972058%       31,538,911         Stillwater Medical Center       12,343127%       27,861,950         Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.064248%       145,026         Stiroud       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.07211%       41,107         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%	Sayre			
Seiling—CMO Plan         0.018404%         41,543           Seminole         0.889543%         2,007,952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13,972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater Medical Center         12,343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stiringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.0194561%         368,962           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.278187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan	Sayre—CMO Plan		•	
Seminole         0.889543%         2,007,952           Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stiringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018438%         244,775           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.278187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan         0.018352%         41,426           Terral         0.015277%	Seiling		•	
Seminole—CMO Plan         0.088035%         198,720           Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.223269%         503,982           Stringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.018438%         244,775           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.278187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan         0.018352%         41,426           Terral         0.015277%         34,485           Texhoma and PWA	Seiling—CMO Plan			
Share Medical Center         0.472624%         1,066,847           Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.223269%         503,982           Stringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.0163454%         368,962           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.278187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan         0.018352%         41,426           Terral         0.015277%         34,485           Texhoma and PWA         0.240907%         543,796           Tishomingo         0	Seminole		2,007,952	
Shawnee         1.006420%         2,271,776           Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13,972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.223269%         503,982           Stringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.163454%         368,962           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.278187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan         0.018352%         41,426           Terral         0.015277%         34,485           Texhoma and PWA         0.240907%         543,796           Tishomingo         0.072219%         163,019           Tishomingo—CMO Plan         0.041	Seminole—CMO Plan	0.088035%	198,720	
Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH         0.266850%         602,356           Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.223269%         503,982           Stringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.163454%         368,962           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.078187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan         0.018352%         41,426           Terral         0.015277%         34,485           Texhoma and PWA         0.240907%         543,796           Tishomingo         0.072219%         163,019           Tishomingo—CMO Plan         0.041716%         94,165	Share Medical Center	0.472624%	1,066,847	
Skiatook         1.361811%         3,073,995           Skiatook—CMO Plan         0.040451%         91,309           Slaughterville         0.017032%         38,446           Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.223269%         503,982           Stringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.163454%         368,962           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.009561%         21,582           Sulphur—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.278187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan         0.018352%         41,426           Terral         0.015277%         34,485           Texhoma and PWA         0.240907%         543,796           Thackerville         0.011158%         25,187           Tishomingo         0.072219%         163,019           Tishomingo—CMO Plan         0.04171	Shawnee	1.006420%	2,271,776	
Skiatook—CMO Plan       0.040451%       91,309         Slaughterville       0.017032%       38,446         Spencer—CMO Plan       0.012828%       28,956         Stephens County Public Safety       0.022421%       50,611         Stillwater       13.972058%       31,538,911         Stillwater—CMO Plan       0.064248%       145,026         Stillwater Medical Center       12.343127%       27,861,950         Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.223269%       503,982         Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.0163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Shawnee—CMO Plan—DH	0.266850%	602,356	
Slaughterville       0.017032%       38,446         Spencer—CMO Plan       0.012828%       28,956         Stephens County Public Safety       0.022421%       50,611         Stillwater       13.972058%       31,538,911         Stillwater—CMO Plan       0.064248%       145,026         Stillwater Medical Center       12.343127%       27,861,950         Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.223269%       503,982         Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Skiatook	1.361811%	3,073,995	
Spencer—CMO Plan         0.012828%         28,956           Stephens County Public Safety         0.022421%         50,611           Stillwater         13.972058%         31,538,911           Stillwater—CMO Plan         0.064248%         145,026           Stillwater Medical Center         12.343127%         27,861,950           Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan         0.223269%         503,982           Stringtown         0.108438%         244,775           Stroud         0.0163454%         368,962           Stroud—CMO Plan         0.009561%         21,582           Sulphur—CMO Plan         0.018211%         41,107           Tecumseh         0.278187%         627,947           Tecumseh—CMO Plan         0.018352%         41,426           Terral         0.015277%         34,485           Texhoma and PWA         0.240907%         543,796           Tishomingo         0.072219%         163,019           Tishomingo—CMO Plan         0.041716%         94,165	Skiatook—CMO Plan	0.040451%	91,309	
Stephens County Public Safety       0.022421%       50,611         Stillwater       13.972058%       31,538,911         Stillwater—CMO Plan       0.064248%       145,026         Stillwater Medical Center       12.343127%       27,861,950         Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.223269%       503,982         Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Slaughterville	0.017032%	38,446	
Stillwater       13.972058%       31,538,911         Stillwater—CMO Plan       0.064248%       145,026         Stillwater Medical Center       12.343127%       27,861,950         Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.223269%       503,982         Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Spencer—CMO Plan	0.012828%	28,956	
Stillwater—CMO Plan       0.064248%       145,026         Stillwater Medical Center       12.343127%       27,861,950         Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.223269%       503,982         Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Stephens County Public Safety	0.022421%	50,611	
Stillwater Medical Center       12.343127%       27,861,950         Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.223269%       503,982         Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Stillwater	13.972058%	31,538,911	
Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan       0.223269%       503,982         Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Stillwater—CMO Plan	0.064248%	145,026	
Stringtown       0.108438%       244,775         Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Tishomingo       0.071158%       25,187         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Stillwater Medical Center	12.343127%	27,861,950	
Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Stillwater Medical Center—CMO Plan	0.223269%	503,982	
Stroud       0.163454%       368,962         Stroud—CMO Plan       0.009561%       21,582         Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Stringtown	0.108438%	244,775	
Sulphur—CMO Plan       0.018211%       41,107         Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	_	0.163454%	368,962	
Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Stroud—CMO Plan	0.009561%	21,582	
Tecumseh       0.278187%       627,947         Tecumseh—CMO Plan       0.018352%       41,426         Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	Sulphur—CMO Plan	0.018211%	41,107	
Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165	•	0.278187%	627,947	
Terral       0.015277%       34,485         Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165		0.018352%	41,426	
Texhoma and PWA       0.240907%       543,796         Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165		0.015277%	34,485	
Thackerville       0.011158%       25,187         Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165		0.240907%	543,796	
Tishomingo       0.072219%       163,019         Tishomingo—CMO Plan       0.041716%       94,165		0.011158%		
Tishomingo—CMO Plan 0.041716% 94,165		0.072219%		
2	_			

June 30, 2012	Unit	
	Market Value	Market
	Ownership	Value
City	Percentage	Ownership
Tyrone & TPWA	0.000134%	302
Valley Brook	0.109656%	247,525
Verdigris	0.013548%	30,582
Warner	0.049374%	111,451
Warr Acres	0.402629%	908,848
Waurika—CMO Plan	0.001386%	3,129
Waynoka	0.150747%	340,279
Waynoka—CMO Plan	0.012895%	29,108
Weatherford	2.709256%	6,115,562
Weleetka	0.024415%	55,112
West Siloam Springs and WSSMTA	0.052650%	118,846
Westville	0.004732%	10,681
Woodward	0.635441%	1,434,371
Woodward—CMO Plan	0.089507%	202,043
Yukon—CMO Plan	0.050274%	113,483
	100.000000%	\$ 225,728,457

This schedule presents the ownership allocation by municipality/hospital of the net assets held in trust for plan benefits as of June 30, 2012.

### OKLAHOMA MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT FUND

### REPORT REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



# REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund

We have audited the financial statements of the Oklahoma Municipal Retirement Fund (the "Fund") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated December 14, 2012, which includes an explanatory paragraph disclaiming an opinion on required supplementary information. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Management of the Fund is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Fund's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

(Continued)

# REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*, CONTINUED

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, management, and the State of Oklahoma and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Finley + Cook, PLLC

Shawnee, Oklahoma December 14, 2012