Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma

Financial Statements and Auditor's Reports

Year Ended June 30, 2013

Audited by

SANDERS, BLEDSOE & HEWETT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, LLP

BROKEN ARROW, OK SHAWNEE, OK

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma Board of Directors June 30, 2013

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Chairman

Jim Wilson

Secretary/Treasurer

Janet Frederick

Members

Raymond Foster

Kevin Morris

Wayne Waldrup

MANAGER

Vivian Moody

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Board of Directors	1
Table of Contents	2
Independent Auditor's Report	3
Management's Discussion and Analysis	5
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	7
Disposition of Prior Year's Reportable Conditions	9
Schedule of Audit Results	10
COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Statement of Net Assets	11
Statement of Activities	12
Statement of Cash Flows	13
Notes to Financial Statements	14
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:	
Balance Sheet	19
Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings	20

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of the Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 (the District), Stuart, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the District as of June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with the basis of accounting generally accepted in the United Sates of America.

The Management Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated March 4, 2015 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grants and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The combining and individual fund financial statements have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole on the basis of accounting generally accepted in the United States of America.

Sanders, Bledsoe & Hewett

Certified Public Accountants, LLP

Sanders, Blodson & Newett

March 4, 2015

RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2, HUGHES COUNTY MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

Our discussion and analysis of the Rural Water District No. 2, Hughes County's financial performance provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Please read it in conjunction with the District's financial statements that begin on page 11.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS:

- The District's total operating expenses exceeded total operating revenues by \$76,465. Overall, the District's total net assets increased by \$225,683 in the current fiscal year.
- The District had four outstanding notes in 2013, and paid \$38,402 in interest.
- The District sold some property in 2013, and received \$257,906 for this sale.
- The District received grants of \$40,219 from Rural Development and \$42,392 from OWRB in 2013. These funds were used, along with previous loan proceeds, for wellfield and waterline improvements and extensions in the amount of \$348,659 in 2012-13.

Using This Report

This report is presented in a format consistent with the presentation requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, as applicable to the District's basis of accounting.

Basis of Accounting

The District has elected to present its financial statements in the accrual basis of accounting. According to the accrual basis, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred. This policy is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Financial Statements

One of the most important questions asked about the District's finances is, "Is the District as a whole better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Assets, the Statement of Activities, and the Statement of Cash Flows report information about the District and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question.

These three statements report the District's net assets and the changes in them. You can think of the District's net assets – the difference between assets and liabilities – as one way to measure the District's financial health or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other nonfinancial factors, however, such as changes in water rates paid or charged and the condition of the District's water system, to assess the overall health of the District.

The District has only one type of fund or activity, which is defined as *Business-type activities*. This is considered a proprietary fund. This means the District charges a fee to customers to help it cover all or most of the cost of certain services it provides.

Fixed Assets

At June 30, 2013 the District had \$4,155,323 invested in fixed assets, net of depreciation, including land, the water system, pump station and lines. The District made \$348,659 in continued improvements to the water system in 2012-13.

Long-Term Debt

The total outstanding loan balances for the District at June 30, 2013 was \$1,193,824, a decrease of \$26,135 from the prior year. An additional \$840,000 loan was obtained from Rural Development in 2011-12, and some of these proceeds were used to pay off the existing OWRB loan.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget and Rates

For the upcoming fiscal year ending June 30, 2014, the District's projected budget is fairly consistent with the 2013 fiscal year.

Contacting the District

This report is designed to provide our customers and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, please contact the District Office.



REPORT ON COMPLAINCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma

We have audited the financial statements of the Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 (the District), Stuart, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated March 4, 2015. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. We noted no certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the district's ability to record, process summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information of management and the Board of Directors, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sanders, Bledsoe & Hewett

Certified Public Accountants, LLP

Sanders, Blodsoe & Newett

March 4, 2015

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL DISTRICT NO. 2 Disposition of Prior Year's Reportable Conditions June 30, 2013

There were no prior year instances of noncompliance.

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL DISTRICT NO. 2 Schedule of Audit Results, Findings and Questioned Costs June 30, 2013

Section 1 – Summary of Auditor's Results:

- 1. An unqualified opinion was issued on the financial statements.
- 2. The audit disclosed no reportable conditions in the internal controls.
- 3. The audit disclosed no instances of noncompliance.

<u>Section 2</u> – Findings relating to the financial statements required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS:

NONE

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2013

<u>ASSETS</u>	
Current assets: Cash Current portion of receivables Prepaid expenses Total current assets	\$ 107,341 27,638 2,715 137,694
Noncurrent assets: Restricted cash Refundable deposits Fixed assets- Water system and improvements, net of depreciation	6,701 14,850 4,155,323
Total noncurrent assets	4,176,874
Other assets: Utility deposits Total Assets	4,314,738
<u>LIABILITIES</u>	
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Refundable deposits Current maturities of long-term debt Total current liabilities	5,394 30,104 27,382 62,880
Noncurrent liabilities:	11// 440
Notes payable Total Liabilities	1,166,442
NET ASSETS Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Temporarily restricted assets Unrestricted assets	2,961,499 6,701 117,216
Total Net Assets	\$ 3,085,416

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Activities For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

Operating Revenues: Water sales	\$ 332,103
Trailor surios	 002/100
Operating Expenses:	
Operating supplies and materials	134,738
Salaries and taxes	65,497
Contract labor	18,425
Licences & dues	7,906
Insurance	12,240
Professional fees	1,350
Vehicle expenses	4,369
Office expense	3,927
Postage	2,871
Telephone	4,254
Utilities	25,258
Water testing	4,195
Miscellaneous	1,393
Depreciation	122,145
Total expenses from operations	408,568
Operating Income (Loss)	(76,465)
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses):	
Interest income	33
Grant proceeds	82,611
Sale of property	257,906
Interest expense on debt	(38,402)
Total non-operating revenues (expenses)	302,148
Change in Net Assets	225,683
Total Net Assets, beginning of period	 2,859,733
Total Net Assets, end of period	\$ 3,085,416

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Cash Flows For Year Ended June 30, 2013

Cash Flows from Operating Activities: Receipts from customers Payments to employees Payments to vendors Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 340,859 (65,716) (220,803) 54,340
Cash Flows from Financial Activities: Fixed assets added Principal paid on debt Interest paid on debt Net cash provided by (used in) financial activities	 (348,659) (26,135) (38,095) (412,889)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Sale of property Grant proceeds Interest revenue Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	 257,906 82,611 33 340,550
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Equivalents	(17,999)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	146,891
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 128,892
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: Operating Income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:	\$ (76,465)
Depreciation Expense (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses Increase (decrease) in accounts payable Increase (decrease) in payroll taxes payable	 122,145 8,756 (1,361) 1,484 (219)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 54,340

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2013

Note A – Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2, Stuart, Oklahoma (the District) is recognized as a rural water district under Oklahoma Statutes, Title 82. The purpose of the organization is to provide potable water to residential and commercial customers who are members of the District.

Reporting Entity

The District is an independent, self-contained reporting entity with no associated component units. It is operated in a manner similar to a private business enterprise where the cost of providing water services is financed through user charges. The District produces its own water supply.

Basis of Accounting

The accrual basis of accounting is followed for all accounts. Revenues are recorded when earned and accrued liabilities are recognized when incurred. This policy is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Cash

The District's accounts are with The Bank NA, McAlester, Oklahoma, and are detailed as follows:

	 June 30,			
	 2013	2012		
Operation and Maint. Account REAP Account	\$ 3,392	9,399		
OWRB REAP Account	4,815	2,126		
Atty Fee & DEQ Deposit Account	213 14,850	4,392 14,522		
RD Project Account	1	109,751		
RD Supervised Account	 98,919	-		
Total	\$ 122,191	140,191		

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2013

Note A - Significant Accounting Policies - cont'd

Investments

The District had no outstanding investments.

Accounts Receivable

Billings for accounts receivable at June 30, 2013 were \$27,638. Allowance for doubtful accounts was not computed on this balance because uncollectibles do not have a material effect on the balance sheet.

Collateral Pledged

All District funds were adequately insured by the \$250,000 FDIC or other secured collateral as of June 30, 2013.

Fixed Assets

The fixed asset information for the District is shown below:

	6/30/2012 Amount		Additions	Deletions	6/30/2013 Amount
Land	\$	148,357	-	-	148,357
Water system		5,134,168	348,659	-	5,482,827
Equipment		59,992	-	-	59,992
Vehicles		20,657			20,657
Total Fixed Assets		5,363,174	348,659	-	5,711,833
Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(1,434,365)	(122,145)		(1,556,510)
Total	\$	3,928,809	226,514		4,155,323

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2013

Note A - Significant Accounting Policies - cont'd

Federal Income Tax

The District is exempt from Federal and State income taxes.

Accumulated Unpaid Vacation and Sick Pay

At June 30, 2013, no determination of the aggregate dollar value of vacation or sick pay had been made.

Prior Year Information

Prior year information is shown on the financial statements for comparative purposes only. No assurance is given on prior year amounts.

Note B – Long-Term Debt

Long-Term Debt consists of the following notes;

	June 30,		
	2013		2012
Rural Development note payable, issued for \$280,200, dated 1995, 4.5% interest, paid in monthly payments of \$1,273, until paid;	\$	212,517	218,077
Rural Development note payable, issued for \$210,000, dated 1995, 4.5% interest, paid in monthly payments of \$954, until paid;		159,295	163,461
Rural Development note payable, issued for \$840,000, dated 2011, 2.5% interest, paid in monthly payments of \$2,772, until paid;		817,496	830,088
BancFirst note payable, issued for \$17,657, dated 2009, interest rate of \$9.09%, payable in monthly payments of \$369, until paid;		4,516	8,333
Total Long-Term Debt	\$	1,193,824	1,219,959

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2013

Note B – Long-Term Debt

The scheduled maturities for the next five (5) years, and in total thereafter, are detailed as follows:

Year	Total		ear Total Rural Dev. (3)		Rural Dev. (3)	BancFirst (1)
2013-14	\$	27,382	23,176	4,206		
2014-15		24,283	23,973	310		
2015-16		24,799	24,799	-		
2016-17		25,656	25,656	-		
2017-18		26,547	26,547	-		
2018-23		147,306	147,306	-		
2023-28		175,211	175,211	-		
2028-33		208,921	208,921	-		
2033+		533,719	533,719			
Total	\$	1,193,824	1,189,308	4,516		

According to the loan agreements with Rural Development, the District is required to make monthly deposits of \$227 to a designated account knows as a reserve account. A total balance of \$59,988 is to be accumulated in the reserve account, which represents a balance equal to one annual payment on each loan. At June 30, 2013, the Reserve account had a balance of \$6,701.

Note C – Insurance and Surety Bond Coverage

The District appears to have had adequate insurance to cover all major perils at June 30, 2013. However, the ORWA denied giving the District insurance coverage for 2013-14 due to audit reports not being submitted.

Note D – Contributed Capital

In the 2011-12 year, the District received \$1,402,642 of grant proceeds from the Office of Rural Development, \$53,457 of grant proceeds from Oklahoma Water Resources Board, and \$219,750 of grant proceeds from Choctaw Nation, all to repair, improve and expand the water system. In 2012-13, The District received an additional \$40,219 from Rural Development and \$42,392 from Oklahoma Water Resources Board for additional improvements.

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2013

Note E – Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events through March 4, 2015, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued and have determined that no additional information needs to be added to the financial statements.

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Balance Sheet June 30, 2013

	JUNE 30,		
	2013	(memo only) 2012	
<u>ASSETS</u>			
Current Assets: Cash in bank Accounts receivable Prepaid insurance Total current assets	\$ 107,341 27,638 2,715 137,694	125,669 36,394 1,354 163,417	
Fixed Assets: Land Water system and improvements Equipment Vehicles Total fixed assets Less: accumulated depreciation Total fixed assets (net)	148,357 5,482,827 59,992 20,657 5,711,833 (1,556,510) 4,155,323	148,357 5,134,168 59,992 20,657 5,363,174 (1,434,365) 3,928,809	
Other Assets: Restricted cash Refundable customers deposits Utility deposits Total other assets Total Assets	6,701 14,850 170 21,721 \$ 4,314,738	6,701 14,521 170 21,392 4,113,618	
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY Current Liabilities: Accounts payable Payroll taxes payable Refundable deposits Current maturities of long-term debt Total current liabilities	\$ 5,025 369 30,104 27,382 62,880	3,541 588 29,797 26,207 60,133	
Long-Term Debt, less current maturities- Notes payable	1,166,442	1,193,752	
Total Liabilities Fund Equity: Contributed capital Retained earnings Total Fund Equity Total Liabilities and Fund Equity	1,229,322 1,758,460 1,326,956 3,085,416 \$ 4,314,738	1,253,885 1,675,849 1,183,884 2,859,733 4,113,618	

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings For Years Ended June 30, 2013

		2012-13	(memo only) 2011-12
Revenue from Operations:	ф	222 102	222 124
Water sales	\$	332,103	333,124
Late charges Other income and fees		0 0	9,689 531
		332,103	343,344
Total revenue from operations		332,103	343,344
Expenses from Operations:			
Operating supplies and materials		134,738	71,214
Salaries and taxes		65,497	78,520
Contract labor		18,425	18,000
Licences & dues		7,906	8,667
Insurance		12,240	1,986
Professional fees		1,350	39,973
Vehicle expenses		4,369	6,173
Office expense		3,927	1,040
Postage		2,871	3,432
Telephone		4,254	3,430
Utilities		25,258	35,737
Water testing		4,195	3,997
Miscellaneous		1,393	23
Depreciation		122,145	92,358
Total expenses from operations		408,568	364,550
Total expenses nom operations		400,300	304,330
Net Income (Loss) from Operations		(76,465)	(21,206)
Other Income:			
Interest earnings		33	40
Sale of property		257,906	0
Total other income		257,939	40
Total other moonie		201,707	
Other Expenses:			
Interest on debt		(38,402)	(26,249)
		<u> </u>	
Net Income (Loss)		143,072	(47,415)
Retained earnings, beginning of period		1,183,884	1,231,299
Retained earnings, end of period	\$	1,326,956	1,183,884