# Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma

Financial Statements and Auditor's Reports

Year Ended June 30, 2015

Audited by

## SANDERS, BLEDSOE & HEWETT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, LLP

BROKEN ARROW, OK SHAWNEE, OK Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma Board of Directors June 30, 2015

## **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

### Chairman

Ronda Talley

### Vice-Chair

Beth Bain

## Secretary/Treasurer

Don Gwinn

### Members

Jerry Hickerson

Matt Holliday

## **BOOKKEEPER**

April Morse

## Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma June 30, 2015

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Board of Directors	1
Table of Contents	2
Independent Auditor's Report	3
Management's Discussion and Analysis	5
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	7
Disposition of Prior Year's Reportable Conditions	9
Schedule of Audit Results	10
COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Statement of Net Assets	11
Statement of Activities	12
Statement of Cash Flows	13
Notes to Financial Statements	14
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:	
Balance Sheet	19
Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings	20

Page 1



## **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Board of Directors Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of the Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 (the District), Stuart, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the District as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with the basis of accounting generally accepted in the United Sates of America.

The Management Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated September 14, 2015 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grants and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The combining and individual fund financial statements have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole on the basis of accounting generally accepted in the United States of America.

Sanders, Bladsoe & Hewett

Sanders, Bledsoe & Hewett Certified Public Accountants, LLP

## **RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2, HUGHES COUNTY**

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### JUNE 30, 2015

Our discussion and analysis of the Rural Water District No. 2, Hughes County's financial performance provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. Please read it in conjunction with the District's financial statements that begin on page 11.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS:

- The District's total operating expenses exceeded total operating revenues by \$51,153. Overall, the District's total net assets increased by \$143,891 in the current fiscal year.
- The District had four outstanding notes in 2015, and paid \$31,634 in interest.
- The District obtained a five year loan for a track hoe, truck and trailer in 2015.
- The District received grant proceeds of \$220,652, from Rural Development (\$14,231), OWRB-REAP (\$2,553) and a CDBG grant (\$203,868) operated through Hughes County. These funds were used for a booster station and waterline improvements and extensions in the amount of \$249,524 in 2014-15.

## **Using This Report**

This report is presented in a format consistent with the presentation requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, as applicable to the District's basis of accounting.

### **Basis of Accounting**

The District has elected to present its financial statements in the accrual basis of accounting. According to the accrual basis, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred. This policy is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

### **The Financial Statements**

One of the most important questions asked about the District's finances is, "Is the District as a whole better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Assets, the Statement of Activities, and the Statement of Cash Flows report information about the District and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question. These three statements report the District's net assets and the changes in them. You can think of the District's net assets – the difference between assets and liabilities – as one way to measure the District's financial health or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other nonfinancial factors, however, such as changes in water rates paid or charged and the condition of the District's water system, to assess the overall health of the District.

The District has only one type of fund or activity, which is defined as *Business-type activities*. This is considered a proprietary fund. This means the District charges a fee to customers to help it cover all or most of the cost of certain services it provides.

## **Fixed Assets**

At June 30, 2015 the District had \$4,298,377 invested in fixed assets, net of depreciation, including land, the water system, pump station and lines. The District made \$249,524 in continued improvements to the water system and a booster station in 2014-15, along with the \$35,865 in equipment additions of a track hoe, truck, trailer and a power washer.

## Long-Term Debt

The total outstanding loan balances for the District at June 30, 2015 was \$1,172,820, an increase of \$6,599 from the prior year, due to the addition of a \$35,155 loan for the equipment additions.

## Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget and Rates

For the upcoming fiscal year ending June 30, 2016, the District's projected budget is fairly consistent with the 2014-15 fiscal year.

## **Contacting the District**

This report is designed to provide our customers and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, please contact the District Office.



## REPORT ON COMPLAINCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 Stuart, Oklahoma

We have audited the financial statements of the Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2 (the District), Stuart, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and have issued our report thereon dated September 14, 2015. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States.

### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. We noted no certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the district's ability to record, process summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information of management and the Board of Directors, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sanders, Blodsse & Hewett

Sanders, Bledsoe & Hewett Certified Public Accountants, LLP

## HUGHES COUNTY RURAL DISTRICT NO. 2 Disposition of Prior Year's Reportable Conditions June 30, 2015

There were no prior year instances of noncompliance.

## HUGHES COUNTY RURAL DISTRICT NO. 2 Schedule of Audit Results, Findings and Questioned Costs June 30, 2015

<u>Section 1</u> – Summary of Auditor's Results:

- 1. An unqualified opinion was issued on the financial statements.
- 2. The audit disclosed no reportable conditions in the internal controls.
- 3. The audit disclosed no instances of noncompliance.
- <u>Section 2</u> Findings relating to the financial statements required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS:

NONE

## HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2015

#### <u>ASSETS</u>

Current assets: Cash Current portion of receivables Prepaid expenses Total current assets	\$ 31,539 20,524 3,322 55,385
Noncurrent assets: Restricted cash Refundable deposits Fixed assets- Water system and improvements, net of depreciation Total noncurrent assets	42,392 13,555 <u>4,298,377</u> 4,354,324
Other assets: Utility deposits Total Assets	4,409,879
LIABILITIES Current liabilities: Accounts payable Refundable deposits Current maturities of long-term debt Total current liabilities	5,898 34,500 31,335 71,733
Noncurrent liabilities: Notes payable Total Liabilities	1,141,485 1,213,218
NET ASSETS Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Temporarily restricted assets Unrestricted assets Total Net Assets	3,125,557 42,392 28,712 \$ 3,196,661

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

## HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Activities For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

Operating Revenues: Water sales	\$ 328,036
	φ <u>320,030</u>
Operating Expenses:	
Operating supplies and materials	61,196
Salaries and taxes	92,114
Contract labor	11,481
Licences & dues	6,960
Insurance	16,069
Professional fees	9,551
Vehicle expenses	10,311
Office expense	2,017
Postage	3,427
Telephone	2,993
Utilities	21,024
Water testing	11,161
Miscellaneous	1,372
Depreciation	129,513
Total expenses from operations	379,189
Operating Income (Loss)	(51,153)
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses):	
Interest income	26
Grant proceeds	220,652
Sale of fixed assets	6,000
Interest expense on debt	(31,634)
Total non-operating revenues (expenses)	195,044
Change in Net Assets	143,891
Total Net Assets, beginning of period	3,052,770
Total Net Assets, end of period	\$ 3,196,661

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

## HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Cash Flows For Year Ended June 30, 2015

Cash Flows from Operating Activities: Receipts from customers Payments to employees Payments to vendors Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 340,088 (92,896) (160,086) 87,106
Cash Flows from Financial Activities: Fixed assets added Loan proceeds Principal paid on debt Interest paid on debt Net cash provided by (used in) financial activities	 (285,389) 35,155 (28,565) (31,625) (310,424)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Meter deposits collected Sale of fixed assets Grant proceeds Interest revenue Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	 3,073 6,000 220,652 <u>26</u> 229,751
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Equivalents	6,433
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	 81,053
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 87,486
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: Operating Income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities: Depreciation Expense (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	\$ (51,153) 129,513 12,052 (2,401)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable Increase (decrease) in payroll taxes payable	 (123) (782)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 87,106

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

#### Note A – Significant Accounting Policies

#### **Organization**

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 2, Stuart, Oklahoma (the District) is recognized as a rural water district under Oklahoma Statutes, Title 82. The purpose of the organization is to provide potable water to residential and commercial customers who are members of the District.

#### Reporting Entity

The District is an independent, self-contained reporting entity with no associated component units. It is operated in a manner similar to a private business enterprise where the cost of providing water services is financed through user charges. The District produces its own water supply.

#### Basis of Accounting

The accrual basis of accounting is followed for all accounts. Revenues are recorded when earned and accrued liabilities are recognized when incurred. This policy is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

#### Cash

The District's accounts are with The Bank NA, McAlester, Oklahoma, and are detailed as follows:

	June 30,			
	2015		2014	
Operation and Maint. Account	\$	8,650	4,259	
REAP Account		16,105	1	
OWRB REAP Account		3,869	1,316	
Atty Fee & DEQ		2,915	624	
Deposit Account		13,555	11,185	
RD Project Account		-	1	
RD Supervised Account		-	1	
Total	\$	45,094	17,387	

#### Note A – Significant Accounting Policies – cont'd

#### Investments

The District had no outstanding investments.

#### Accounts Receivable

Billings for accounts receivable at June 30, 2015 were \$20,524. Allowance for doubtful accounts was not computed on this balance because uncollectibles do not have a material effect on the balance sheet.

#### Collateral Pledged

All District funds were adequately insured by the \$250,000 FDIC or other secured collateral as of June 30, 2015.

#### Fixed Assets

The fixed asset information for the District is shown below:

	6/30/2014 Amount		Additions	Deletions	6/30/2015 Amount
Land	\$	148,357	-	-	148,357
Water system		5,593,815	249,524	-	5,843,339
Equipment		59,992	710.00	-	60,702
Vehicles		20,657	35,155		55,812
Total Fixed Assets		5,822,821	285,389	-	6,108,210
Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(1,680,320)	(129,513)		(1,809,833)
Total	\$	4,142,501	155,876	_	4,298,377

#### Note A – Significant Accounting Policies – cont'd

#### Federal Income Tax

The District is exempt from Federal and State income taxes.

#### Accumulated Unpaid Vacation and Sick Pay

At June 30, 2015, no determination of the aggregate dollar value of vacation or sick pay had been made.

#### Prior Year Information

Prior year information is shown on the financial statements for comparative purposes only. No assurance is given on prior year amounts.

#### Note B – Long-Term Debt

Long-Term Debt consists of the following notes;

	June 30,			
	2015		2014	
Rural Development note payable, issued for \$280,200, dated 1995, 4.5% interest, paid in monthly payments of \$1,273, until paid;	\$	199,986	206,620	
Rural Development note payable, issued for \$210,000, dated 1995, 4.5% interest, paid in monthly payments of \$954, until paid;		149,907	154,877	
Rural Development note payable, issued for \$840,000, dated 2011, 2.5% interest, paid in monthly payments of \$2,772, until paid;		789,868	804,414	
The Bank note payable, issued for \$35,155, dated 2015, interest rate of 5.00%, payable in monthly payments of \$660, until paid;		33,059	310	
Total Long-Term Debt	\$	1,172,820	1,166,221	

#### Note B – Long-Term Debt

The scheduled maturities for the next five (5) years, and in total thereafter, are detailed as follows:

Year	 Total	Rural [	Dev. (3)	The	Bank
2015-16	\$ 31,335		24,881		6,454
2016-17	32,526		25,742		6,784
2017-18	33,767		26,636		7,131
2018-19	35,058		27,562		7,496
2019-20	33,718		28,524		5,194
2020-25	158,674	1	58,674		-
2025-30	188,577	1	88,577		-
2030-35	219,889	2	19,889		-
2035-40	118,503	1	18,503		
2040+	 320,773	3	20,773		-
Total	\$ 1,172,820	1,1	39,761		33,059

According to the loan agreements with Rural Development, the District is required to make monthly deposits of \$227 to a designated account knows as a reserve account. A total balance of \$59,988 is to be accumulated in the reserve account, which represents a balance equal to one annual payment on each loan. At June 30, 2015, the Reserve account had a balance of \$42,392.

#### Note C – Insurance and Surety Bond Coverage

The ORWA denied giving the District insurance coverage for 2014-15 due to audit reports not being submitted in a timely manner.

#### Note D – Contributed Capital

In the 2011-12 year, the District received \$1,402,642 of grant proceeds from the Office of Rural Development, \$53,457 of grant proceeds from Oklahoma Water Resources Board, and \$219,750 of grant proceeds from Choctaw Nation, all to repair, improve and expand the water system. In 2012-13, The District received an additional \$40,219 from Rural Development and \$42,392 from Oklahoma Water Resources Board for additional improvements. In 2013-15, the District received \$39,439 from Rural Development for additional water system improvements. In 2014-15, the District received \$2,553 from OWRB, and received a CDBG grant for \$203,868, which was operated through Hughes County, for a booster station and water line improvements.

## **Note E – Subsequent Events**

Management has evaluated subsequent events through September 14, 2015, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued and have determined that no additional information needs to be added to the financial statements.

## HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Balance Sheet June 30, 2015

	JUNE 30,		
	2015	(memo only) 2014	
<u>ASSETS</u>			
Current Assets:			
Cash in bank	\$ 31,539	6,202	
Accounts receivable	20,524	32,576	
Prepaid insurance	3,322	921	
Total current assets	55,385	39,699	
Fixed Assets:			
Land	148,357	148,357	
Water system and improvements	5,843,339	5,593,815	
Equipment	60,702	59,992	
Vehicles	55,812	20,657	
Total fixed assets	6,108,210	5,822,821	
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,809,833)	(1,680,320)	
Total fixed assets (net)	4,298,377	4,142,501	
Other Assets:			
Restricted cash	42,392	63,666	
Refundable customers deposits	42,392 13,555	11,185	
Utility deposits	13,555	170	
Total other assets	56,117	75,021	
Total Assets	\$ 4,409,879	4,257,221	
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 3,367	3,490	
Payroll taxes payable	2,531	3,313	
Refundable deposits	34,500	31,427	
Current maturities of long-term debt	31,335	24,290	
Total current liabilities	71,733	62,520	
Long-Term Debt, less current maturities-			
Notes payable	1,141,485	1,141,931	
Total Liabilities	1,213,218	1,204,451	
Fund Equity:			
Contributed capital	2,004,320	1,783,668	
Retained earnings	1,192,341	1,269,102	
Total Fund Equity	3,196,661	3,052,770	
Total Liabilities and Fund Equity	\$ 4,409,879	4,257,221	

## HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings For Years Ended June 30, 2015

	2014-15	(memo only) 2013-14
Revenue from Operations:		
Water sales	\$ 328,036	347,633
Other income and fees	0	10
Total revenue from operations	328,036	347,643
Expenses from Operations:		
Operating supplies and materials	61,196	65,230
Salaries and taxes	92,114	96,121
Contract labor	11,481	18,000
Licences & dues	6,960	5,797
Insurance	16,069	9,161
Professional fees	9,551	0
Vehicle expenses	10,311	8,017
Office expense	2,017	2,214
Postage	3,427	2,857
Telephone	2,993	4,621
Utilities	21,024	27,047
Water testing	11,161	5,826
Miscellaneous	1,372	0
Depreciation	129,513	123,810
Total expenses from operations	379,189	368,701
Net Income (Loss) from Operations	(51,153)	(21,058)
Other Income:		
Interest earnings	26	22
Sale of fixed assets	6,000	0
Total other income	6,026	22
Other Expenses:		
Interest on debt	(31,634)	(36,818)
Net Income (Loss)	(76,761)	(57,854)
Retained earnings, beginning of period	1,269,102	1,326,956
Retained earnings, end of period	\$ 1,192,341	1,269,102