Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 Holdenville, Oklahoma

Financial Statements and Reports of Independent Auditor

December 31, 2024

Audited by

BLEDSOE, HEWETT & GULLEKSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, PLLLP

Broken Arrow, Oklahoma

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 Holdenville, Oklahoma

Board of Directors December 31, 2024

Chairman

Jim Cates

Vice Chairman

Bill Newman

Secretary / Treasurer

Lori Ramsey

Members

Sandy Stafford Tommy Taylor

Bookkeeper

Phallis Taylor

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 Holdenville, Oklahoma Table of Contents

December 31, 2024

	Page
Board of Directors	i
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance	
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed	
in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	4
Schedule of Audit Results, Findings & Questioned Costs	6
Combined Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	7
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	8
Statement of Cash Flows	9
Notes to the Financial Statements	10

Eric M. Bledsoe, CPA Jeffrey D. Hewett, CPA Christopher P. Gullekson, CPA

P.O. BOX 1310 • 121 E. COLLEGE ST.• BROKEN ARROW, OK 74013 • (918) 449-9991 • (800) 522-3831 • FAX (918) 449-9779

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 Holdenville, Oklahoma

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of the Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4, Holdenville, Oklahoma (the District), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2024, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2024, and the respective changes in financial position and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the "Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements" section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether
 due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks.
 Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and
 disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit
 procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing
 an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such
 opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Supplementary Information

The District has not presented the Management's Discussion and Analysis that governmental accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

As noted in Note 4 on page 13, the District was permanently acquired by Hughes County Rural Water District No. 6 as of December 31, 2024.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated February 21, 2025, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Bledsoe, Hewett & Gullekson

Bledsoe, Hewett & Gullekson Certified Public Accountants, PLLLP

February 21, 2025

Eric M. Bledsoe, CPA
Jeffrey D. Hewett, CPA
Christopher P. Gullekson, CPA

P.O. BOX 1310 • 121 E. COLLEGE ST.• BROKEN ARROW, OK 74013 • (918) 449-9991 • (800) 522-3831 • FAX (918) 449-9779

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 Holdenville, Oklahoma

We have audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4, Holdenville, Oklahoma (the District), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2024, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 21, 2025.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did identify one deficiency in internal controls that we consider to be a material weakness. This finding is identified as item 24-1 in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Results, Findings & Questioned Costs.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Bledsoe, Hewett & Gullekson

Bledsoe, Hewett & Gullekson Certified Public Accountants, PLLLP

February 21, 2025

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 Holdenville, Oklahoma

Schedule of Audit Results, Findings & Questioned Costs December 31, 2024

Section 1 – Summary of Auditor's Results:

- 1. An unmodified opinion report was issued on the financial statements.
- 2. The audit disclosed a significant deficiency in the internal controls, item 24-1, which was considered a material weakness.
- 3. The audit disclosed no instances of noncompliance which are material to the financial statements.

<u>Section 2 – Findings relating to the financial statements required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS:</u>

- 24-1 Internal Control Segregation of Duties
- Criteria The segregation of duties and responsibilities between different individuals for custody of assets, recordkeeping for those assets, and reconciliation of those asset accounts is an important control activity needed to adequately protect the District's assets and ensure accurate financial reporting.
- Condition Presently the same individual performs all accounting functions; receives utility service-related payments and is responsible for service billing and adjustment, also makes bank deposits, writes checks and reconciles the monthly bank statements and prepares monthly financial reports.
- Cause The District's limited size and staffing resources have made it difficult for management to provide sufficient staffing to fully segregate incompatible duties in a cost-effective manner.
- Effect or Potential Effect Without sufficient segregation of duties, the risk significantly increases that errors and fraud related to the accounting functions, including misappropriation of assets, could occur and not be detected within a timely basis.
- Recommendation While it may not be cost effective to hire additional administrative staff that would be necessary in order to adequately segregate the responsibilities, the District should consider a formal evaluation of their risks associated with this lack of segregation of duties. In response to the identified risks, consideration should be given to identifying and implementing controls that could help mitigate the risks associated with the lack of segregation of duties.
- Management Response As of the date of this audit report, Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 no longer operates and has since been acquired by Hughes County Rural Water District No. 6.

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 4 Statement of Net Position December 31, 2024

	December 31, 2024		-Memorandum- -Only- June 30, 2024	
ASSETS .				
Current assets:				
Cash in bank	\$	176,264	\$	105,493
Accounts receivable		25,107		19,614
Prepaid insurance		1,363		2,727
Total current assets		202,734		127,834
Non-current assets:				
Note issuance costs, net of amortization		0		222
Deposit - ORWA		1,000		1,000
Capital assets:				
Water system and improvements, net of depreciation		182,492		159,023
Total non-current assets		183,492		160,245
Total Assets	\$	386,226	\$	288,079
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	0	_\$	0
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets		182,492		159,023
Unrestricted assets		203,734	 	129,056
Total Net Position		386,226		288,079
Total Liabilities and Net Position	\$	386,226	\$	288,079

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 4 Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For The Year Ended December 31, 2024

Jul.	'24 - Dec '24	1116	-Memorandum- -Only-	
		July '23 - June '24		
Operating Revenues:				
Water sales and services \$	158,181	\$	249,517	
Other sources	1,337		5,500	
Total revenues from operations	159,518		255,017	
Operating Expenses:				
Water purchases	70,347		142,675	
Contract labor - management fees	22,682		40,501	
Depreciation	5,388		22,160	
Amortization	222		435	
Repairs & maintenance	5,061		36,739	
Dues & fees	4,448		8,532	
Billing services	1,974		2,303	
Insurance	2,149		2,862	
Operating supplies	758		11,576	
Utilities	936		1,882	
Water samples & testing	1,355		5,765	
Professional fees	6,054		3,500	
Office expenses	1,846		1,034	
Postage	511		684	
Other	797		1,107	
Total expenses from operations	124,528		281,755	
Operating Income (Loss)	34,990		(26,738)	
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses):				
ARPA Funding	0		31,245	
RIG Funding	63,029		0	
Interest income	128		306	
Total non-operating revenues (expenses)	63,157		31,551	
Change in Net Position	98,147		4,813	
Total Net Position, beginning of period	288,079		283,266	
Total Net Position, end of period \$	386,226	\$	288,079	

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

HUGHES COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 4 Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended December 31, 2024

	July	'24 - Dec '24		morandum- -Only- :3 - June '24
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Receipts from customers	\$	154,025	\$	253,755
Payments to vendors		(117,554)		(259,273)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		36,471		(5,518)
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:				
RIG Funding		63,029		31,245
Capital assets purchased		(28,857)		(87,791)
Total cash flows from capital and related finacing activities		34,172	-	(56,546)
Cash flows from investing activities:		<u> </u>		
Interest income		128		306
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		70,771		(61,758)
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period		105,493		167,251
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	_\$	176,264	\$	105,493
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: Operating Income Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided	\$	34,990	\$	(26,738)
(used) by operating activities: Depreciation Expense Amortization Expense (Increase) decrease in current assets- Accounts receivable, net Prepaid expenses		5,388 222 (5,493) 1,364		22,160 435 (1,262) (113)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$	36,471	\$	(5,518)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

Note 1 – Significant Accounting Policies

Business Activity

Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 (the District) was formed under the provisions of Title 82, O.S. 1981, Sections 1324.1-1324.26 inclusive. The District operated as a nontaxable government agency to service residents of the Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4. The District's primary income was from the sale of water to its members in an area around Holdenville, Oklahoma. As of December 31, 2024, Hughes County Rural Water District No. 4 was acquired by, and now operates as a part of, Hughes County Rural Water District No. 6.

Basis of Accounting

The accrual basis of accounting is followed for all accounts. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred. This policy is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Cash

The District's accounts at December 31, 2024, were comprised as follows:

First United Bank, Holdenville, OK Operating Revenue

\$ 176,264

The District's cash deposits at December 31, 2024, are categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the District at year-end. All funds are covered by the \$250,000 of FDIC coverage.

Investments

The District had no investments at December 31, 2024.

Note 1 – Significant Accounting Policies – cont'd

Accounts Receivable

Billings for accounts receivable at December 31, 2024, were \$25,107. No computation was made for allowance for doubtful accounts, which is not considered to be material to the financial statements.

Capital Assets

Capital (fixed) assets are defined as any items purchased or built in excess of \$1,000 and with a useful life of two years or more. They are valued at cost, and depreciation is computed by use of the straight-line method. The estimated useful life of these assets range from 10 to 33 1/3 years.

The capital asset information for the District is shown below:

		7/1/2024 Amount	Additions	Deletions	12/31/2024 Amount
Water system	\$	741,219	28,857	-	770,076
Other equipment		18,432			18,432
Total Capital Assets		759,651	28,857	-	788,508
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	_	(600,628)	(5,388)	_	(606,016)
Total	\$	159,023	23,469	-	182,492

Note 1 – Significant Accounting Policies – cont'd

Other Assets

- a. There were note issuance costs totaling \$11,750 incurred during the 1997-98 fiscal year as part of processing the OWRB loan. This amount consisted of the following: Legal fees \$8,500, Rating fees \$2,500, and Acceptance fees \$750. These loan fees will be recognized as amortization expenses over the life of the 27 year promissory note. As of December 31, 2024, the full amount of the note issuance costs had been amortized.
- b. The District maintained a \$1,000 deposit with the Oklahoma Water Resources Board Assurance Group in lieu of a membership fee. Interest is paid to the District on this deposit.

Inventories

The District does not carry a significant inventory of materials and supplies. The cost of such items is recorded as an expense when purchased.

Income Taxes

The District is recognized as a tax-exempt organization under the Internal Revenue Code. Because the District is exempt from federal income tax, no provision for taxes has been made in the financial statements.

Insurance

The District had adequate insurance to cover all major perils at December 31, 2024, and has had no significant claims against insurance coverage in the previous three years.

Note 2 - Long-Term Debt

As of December 31, 2024, the District held no outstanding long-term debt.

Note 3 – Funding Sources

The District was awarded \$120,000 in ARPA funding for 2022. The District received and expended \$88,755 of these funds in 2022-23 and \$31,245 in 2023-24 for various projects and system additions. These federal funds are facilitated through the Hughes County Commissioner's Office.

The District was approved for a \$70,400 Rural Infrastructure Grant (RIG) in 2023. In 2023-24, the District expended \$45,000 on a creek crossing to tie in their water system with Hughes County Rural Water District No. 6. From July 1, 2023, through December 31, 2024, the District received \$63,029 in RIG funding and expended \$15,878 on valves and installation fees for the RIG project.

Note 4 – District Acquisition

During the 2024 fiscal year the Board approved a vote of the membership to approve the District to be acquired by Hughes County Rural Water District No. 6. This motion was approved by the membership. As of December 31, 2024, the ORWB approved the acquisition application of the District, and all management, operations and bookkeeping was taken over by Hughes County Rural Water District No. 6. As of January 1, 2025, all assets, liabilities and capital of the District became the property of Hughes County Rural Water District No. 6.