Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 Pittsburg County, Oklahoma

Financial Statements and Reports of Independent Auditor

June 30, 2015

Audited by

SANDERS, BLEDSOE & HEWETT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, LLP

Broken Arrow, Oklahoma

Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 Pittsburg County, Oklahoma Board of Directors June 30, 2015

<u>Chairman</u>

Paul Hamilton

Vice Chairman

Tim Miller

Secretary/Treasurer

Don Parham

Members

Gabrial Patrick Jerry Prescott

Operator

Jeremiah Ward

Bookkeeper

Vivian Moody

Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 Pittsburg County, Oklahoma Table of Contents June 30, 2015

Page

Board of Directors	i
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	3
Schedule of Audit Results	5
Combined Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	7
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	8
Statement of Cash Flows	9
Notes to the Financial Statements	10
Other Supplementary Information:	
Balance Sheet	15
Statement of Income and Retained Earnings	16



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 Pittsburg County, Oklahoma

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of the Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 (the District), Pittsburg County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the

reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the District as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

The District has not presented the Management's Discussion and Analysis that governmental accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 10, 2016 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting or on compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Sanders, Blodsoe & Hewett

Sanders, Bledsoe & Hewett Certified Public Accountants, LLP

February 10, 2016



<u>Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other</u> <u>Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Standards Performed in Accordance with</u> <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>

Board of Directors Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 Pittsburg County, Oklahoma

We have audited the combined financial statements of Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 (the District), Pittsburg County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, which, except for the omission of the Management Discussion and Analysis, collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated February 10, 2016. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepting accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in a more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be presented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We identified two deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. These items are listed on the Schedule of Audit Results as items 2015-1 and 2015-2.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

anders, Bladsse & Newett

Sanders, Bledsoe & Hewett Certified Public Accountants, LLP

February 10, 2016

Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 Pittsburg County, Oklahoma Schedule of Audit Results June 30, 2015

Section 1 – Summary of Auditor's Results

- 1. An unqualified opinion report was issued on the financial statements.
- 2. The audit disclosed two reportable conditions, items 2015-1 and 2015-2, in the internal controls.
- 3. The audit disclosed no instances of noncompliance.

Section 2 – Findings relating to the financial statements required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS:

<u>2015-1 – Decreasing Cash Balances</u>

Criteria – It is important that a Rural Water District have adequate funds on hand to pay all obligations (expenses) as they become due.

Condition – Presently, the inflows of revenue are not enough to consistently pay the amounts owed by the District to operate on a daily basis.

Cause – The District's increasing expenses have drained all available cash funds and caused the District to end the 2014-15 fiscal year with a negative cash balance, and a decrease in their restricted cash account balance below the required allowable amount.

Effect or Potential Effect – The lack of cash funds available makes it difficult to pay expenses on time, which leads to late fees and financing charges, and may affect the District's ability to continue operations in future years.

Recommendation – It may be necessary to increase water rates to customers, and find some various ways to decrease expenses.

Management Response – Management agrees with this finding. Water rates were increased in August 2015, and we will monitor our expenses for potential savings.

<u>2015-2 – Lack of Segregation of Duties</u>

Criteria – The segregation of duties and responsibilities between different individuals for custody of assets, recordkeeping for those assets, and reconciliation of those asset accounts is an important control activity needed to adequately protect the District's assets and ensure accurate financial reporting.

Condition - Presently the same individual performs all accounting functions; receives utility service related payments and is responsible for service billing and adjustment, also makes bank deposits, writes checks and reconciles the monthly bank statements and prepares monthly financial reports.

Cause – The District's limited size and staffing resources have made it difficult for management to provide sufficient staffing to fully segregate incompatible duties in a cost-effective manner.

Effect or Potential Effect – Without sufficient segregation of duties, the risk significantly increases that errors and fraud related to the accounting functions, including misappropriation of assets, could occur and not be detected within a timely basis.

Recommendation - While it may not be cost effective to hire additional administrative staff that would be necessary in order to adequately segregate the responsibilities, the District should consider a formal evaluation of their risks associated with this lack of segregation of duties. In response to the identified risks, consideration should be given to identifying and implementing controls that could help mitigate the risks associated with the lack of segregation of duties.

Management Response – Management agrees with this finding, and will provide adequate staffing once annual collections increase enough to justify the extra expense.

INDIANOLA COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 18 PITTSBURG COUNTY, OKLAHOMA Statement of Net Position June 30, 2015

<u>ASSETS</u>	
Current assets:	
Cash in bank	\$ (36,156)
Accounts receivable	37,307
Total current assets	1,151
Noncurrent assets:	
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	43,411
Deposit - ORWA	1,000
Capital assets:	
Land	4,600
Office equipment	11,145
Water system and improvements	1,969,418
Less: accumulated depreciation	(927,492)
Total noncurrent assets	1,102,082
Total Assets	1,103,233
LIABILITIES	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	457
Meter deposits	6,000
Current portion of long-term debt	15,840
Total current liabilities	22,297
Noncurrent liabilities:	
Notes payable	857,620
Total Liabilities	879,917
NET POSITION	
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	184,211
Unrestricted assets	39,105
Total Net Position	\$ 223,316

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

INDIANOLA COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 18 PITTSBURG COUNTY, OKLAHOMA Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

Operating Revenues: Water sales\$ 410,929Operating Expenses: Water purchases232,210Operating supplies & maintenance39,133Salaries58,050Payroll taxes3,000Retirement5,129Health insurance37,700Insurance13,383Professional fees5,329Vehicles6,529Office expenses1,160Postage2,999Dues & fees (testing)1,492Telephone2,650Utilitie2,650	
Water purchases232,214Operating supplies & maintenance39,133Salaries58,054Payroll taxes3,000Retirement5,124Health insurance37,700Insurance13,383Professional fees5,324Vehicles6,524Office expenses1,166Postage2,999Dues & fees (testing)1,492Telephone2,656	5
Water purchases232,214Operating supplies & maintenance39,133Salaries58,054Payroll taxes3,000Retirement5,124Health insurance37,700Insurance13,383Professional fees5,324Vehicles6,524Office expenses1,166Postage2,999Dues & fees (testing)1,492Telephone2,656	_
Operating supplies & maintenance39,13Salaries58,05Payroll taxes3,00Retirement5,12Health insurance37,70Insurance13,38Professional fees5,32Vehicles6,52Office expenses1,16Postage2,99Dues & fees (testing)1,49Telephone2,65	0
Salaries 58,054 Payroll taxes 3,003 Retirement 5,124 Health insurance 37,703 Insurance 13,383 Professional fees 5,324 Vehicles 6,524 Office expenses 1,164 Postage 2,997 Dues & fees (testing) 1,492 Telephone 2,654	
Payroll taxes3,003Retirement5,124Health insurance37,700Insurance13,382Professional fees5,324Vehicles6,524Office expenses1,164Postage2,997Dues & fees (testing)1,492Telephone2,654	
Retirement5,12Health insurance37,70Insurance13,38Professional fees5,32Vehicles6,52Office expenses1,16Postage2,99Dues & fees (testing)1,49Telephone2,65	
Health insurance37,70Insurance13,38Professional fees5,32Vehicles6,52Office expenses1,16Postage2,99Dues & fees (testing)1,49Telephone2,65	
Insurance13,38Professional fees5,32Vehicles6,52Office expenses1,16Postage2,99Dues & fees (testing)1,49Telephone2,65	
Professional fees5,324Vehicles6,524Office expenses1,164Postage2,994Dues & fees (testing)1,492Telephone2,654	
Vehicles6,52Office expenses1,16Postage2,99Dues & fees (testing)1,49Telephone2,65	
Postage2,99Dues & fees (testing)1,49Telephone2,65	
Postage2,99Dues & fees (testing)1,49Telephone2,65	9
Telephone 2,658	7
•	2
0.45	8
Utilities 3,15	1
Miscellaneous 1,902	
Depreciation 37,260	
Total expenses from operations 451,10	5
Operating Income (Loss) (40,18	0)
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses):	
Interest income	7
Interest expense on debt (37,47)	5)
Total non-operating revenues (expenses) (37,45	8)
Change in Net Assets (77,63	8)
Total Net Assets, beginning of period 300,954	4
Total Net Assets, end of period \$ 223,310	6

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

INDIANOLA COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 18 PITTSBURG COUNTY, OKLAHOMA Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Cash flows from operating activities: Receipts from customers Payments to employees Payments to vendors Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ 408,089 (58,058) (358,397) (8,366)
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities: Interest paid on debt Principal payments on debt Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	 (37,476) (15,180) (52,656)
Cash flows from investing activities: Interest on investments Net cash used in investing activities	 17 17
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(61,005)
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	 69,260
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 8,255
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: Operating Income Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities: Depreciation Expense (Increase) decrease in current assets- Accounts receivable, net Increase (decrease) in current liabilities- Accounts payable Payroll taxes payable	\$ (40,180) 37,260 (2,836) (2,492) (118)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ (8,366)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

Note 1 – Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

Indianola Rural Water District No. 18 (the District) is an Oklahoma water district organized under Title 82 of Oklahoma Statutes, Sections 1324.1-1324.26 inclusive, to provide water service to rural residents of Pittsburg County, Oklahoma. The District is considered a subdivision of the State of Oklahoma. The District is exempt from federal and state income taxes. The board of directors consists of five members, who are not paid.

The District is an independent, self-contained reporting entity with no associated component units. It is operated in a manner similar to a private business enterprise where the cost (expenses, including depreciation) of providing water services is financed through user charges. The District purchases water from the Pittsburg County Public Works Authority.

Basis of Accounting

The accrual basis of accounting in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) promulgated in the United States of America is followed for all accounts. Revenues are recorded when earned and liabilities are recognized when incurred. This policy is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The District has also complied with GASB Statement No. 34 financial reporting requirements.

Deposits and Investments

Oklahoma Statutes authorize the District to invest in certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements, passbooks, bankers' acceptances, and other available bank investments provided that all deposits are fully covered by approved securities pledged to secure those funds. In addition, the District can invest in direct debt securities of the United States unless such an investment is expressly prohibited by law.

Bank deposits are held at several financial institutions and are carried at cost. For purposes of statements of cash flows, the District considers cash and all highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Custodial Credit Risk - At June 30, 2015, the District held deposits of approximately \$7,255 at financial institutions. The District's cash deposits, including interest-bearing certificates of deposit, are entirely covered by Federal Depository Insurance (FDIC) or direct obligation of the U.S. Government insured or collateralized with securities held by the District or by its agent in the District's name.

Note A – Significant Accounting Policies – cont'd

Investment Interest Rate Risk - The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

Investment Credit Risk – The District has no policy that limits its investment choices other than the limitations of state law, as follows:

- a. Direct obligations of the U.S. Government, its agencies and instrumentalities to which the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government is pledged, or obligations to the payment of which the full faith and credit of the State is pledged.
- b. Certificates of deposits or savings accounts that are either insured or secured with acceptable collateral with in-state financial institutions, and fully insured certificates of deposit or savings accounts in out-of-state financial institutions.
- c. With certain limitation, negotiable certificates of deposit, prime bankers acceptances, prime commercial paper and repurchase agreements with certain limitations.
- d. County, municipal or school district tax supported debt obligations, bond or revenue anticipation notes, money judgments, or bond or revenue anticipation notes of public trusts whose beneficiary is a county, municipality or school district.
- e. Notes or bonds secured by mortgage or trust deed insured by the Federal Housing Administrator and debentures issued by the Federal Housing Administrator, and in obligations of the National Mortgage Association.
- f. Money market funds regulated by the SEC and in which investments consist of the investments mentioned in the previous paragraphs (a-d).

The investments held at June 30, 2015 are as follows:

	Wtd. Avg				
	Maturity	Credit Rating	N	/larket	Cost
Money market/checking	N/A	AAAm	\$	7,255	7,255
Certificates of deposit	1.0 Yr	N/A	u	-	_
Total Deposits			\$	7,255	7,255

Concentration of Investment Credit Risk – The District places no limit on the amount it may invest in any one issuer. The District has the following of credit risk: 0% in Certificates of Deposit, and 100% in Money Market funds (\$7,255).

Note A – Significant Accounting Policies – cont'd

Restricted Assets

In accordance with prior year loan agreements, the District is required to maintain a reserve account with a balance of no less than \$52,656, which is one year of total debt obligations. The total amount of the restricted assets represents all cash and investments held at First National Bank, McAlester, OK, for the purpose of making future principal and interest payments. The District transfers one-tenth of a monthly payment (\$439) each month into this reserve account. The balance at the end of June 30, 2015 was \$43,411, which is held at the First National Bank, McAlester, OK, in a checking/savings account. Currently, the required reserve balance is not met, due to some of these funds being used to cover shortfalls in the revenue account for everyday operations.

Accounts Receivable

Billings for accounts receivable at June 30, 2015 were \$37,307. No computation was made for allowance for doubtful accounts, which is not considered to be material to the financial statements.

Other Assets

The District maintains a \$1,000 deposit with the Oklahoma Water Resources Board Assurance Group in lieu of a membership fee. Interest is paid to the district on this deposit.

Prior Year Information

Prior year information is shown on the financial statements for comparative purposes only. No assurance is given on prior year amounts.

Federal Income Tax

The District is exempt from federal and state income taxes.

Note 2 – Long-Term Debt

The District has one note payable to Rural Development outstanding as of June 30, 2014. Note 91-20, dated April 29, 2005, with a 40-year maturity, and an interest rate of 4.25%, with an original loan amount of \$1,011,000. The monthly payment on this note is \$4,388. The loan balance at June 30, 2015 was \$873,460.

The estimated maturities for the next five (5) years and thereafter are as follows:

2015-16	\$ 15,840
2016-17	16,527
2017-18	17,243
2018-19	17,990
2019-20	18,770
2020-25	106,778
2025-30	132,011
2030-35	163,205
2035-40	157,923
2040-44	227,173
Totals	<u>\$ 873,460</u>

Note 3 – Accumulated Unpaid Vacation and Sick Pay

At June 30, 2015, no determination of the aggregate dollar value of vacation and sick pay had been made.

Note 4 – Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost when purchased. Depreciation is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset. Water line systems, extensions and improvements are depreciated using a 50-year life. Water system improvements constructed by the District include capitalizing the direct costs of materials and labor. If an asset is permanently impaired in value, the asset cost is written down to market value. The District capitalizes assets with a useful life in excess of one year and a cost over \$250. Line extension costs reimbursed by the customer are not capitalized and, accordingly, not depreciated

Note 4 – Property and Equipment – cont'd

The following is a summary of changes in property, plant and equipment:

	Balance June 30, 2014	Acquired	(Disposed)	Balance June 30, 2015
Land	\$ 4,600	-	-	4,600
Office Equipment	11,145	-	-	11,145
Water Sysytem	1,969,418			1,969,418
Sub-Total	1,985,163	-	-	1,985,163
Less: Accum. Depr.	(890,232)	(37,260)		(927,492)
Total	\$ 1,094,931	(37,260)	-	1,057,671

Note 5 – Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events through February 10, 2016, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued and have determined that no additional information needs to be added to the financial statements.

INDIANOLA RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 18 PITTSBURG COUNTY, OKLAHOMA Balance Sheet June 30, 2015

	June 30,		
<u>ASSETS</u>	2015	(Memo only) 2014	
Current Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Total current assets	\$ (36,156) 37,307 1,151	14,810 34,471 49,281	
Fixed Assets: Land Office equipment Water system Less: accumulated depreciation Total fixed assets (net)	4,600 11,145 1,969,418 (927,492) 1,057,671	4,600 11,145 1,969,418 (890,232) 1,094,931	
Restricted Assets: Cash and investments	43,411	53,450	
Other Assets: ORWA deposit Total Assets	1,000	1,000	
Total Assets	\$ 1,103,233	1,198,662	
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY			
Current Liabilities: Accounts payable Payroll taxes payable Meter deposits Current maturities of long-term debt Total current liabilities	\$ 457 0 6,000 15,840 22,297	2,949 118 6,000 15,182 24,249	
Long-Term Debt, less current maturities: Notes payable - Rural Development	857,620	873,459	
Total Liabilities	879,917	897,708	
Fund Equity: Retained earnings - unrestricted	223,316	300,954	
Total Liabilities and Fund Equity	\$ 1,103,233	1,198,662	

INDIANOLA COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 18 PITTSBURG COUNTY, OKLAHOMA Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	2014-15	(Memo only) 2013-14
Revenue from Operations:		
Water sales	\$ 410,925	398,985
Expenses from Operations:		
Water purchases	232,218	178,282
Operating supplies & maintenance	39,133	52,710
Salaries	58,058	49,371
Payroll taxes	3,003	6,166
Retirement	5,125	3,658
Health insurance	37,707	29,215
Insurance	13,382	2,315
Professional fees	5,325	6,650
Vehicles	6,525	6,217
Office expenses	1,169	1,795
Postage	2,997	3,376
Dues & fees (testing)	1,492	12,281
Telephone	2,658	5,580
Utilities	3,151	3,485
Miscellaneous	1,902	503
Depreciation	37,260	39,310
Total expenses from operations	451,105	400,914
Net Income (Loss) from Operations	(40,180)	(1,929)
Other Income:		
Interest earnings	17	58
Other Expenses:		
Interest on debt	(37,475)	(38,104)
Net Income (Loss)	(77,638)	(39,975)
Retained earnings, beginning of period	300,954	340,959
Retained earnings, end of period	\$ 223,316	300,984