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# Report on Internal Control Over <br> Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards 

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Tulsa, Oklahoma
Tulsa, Oklahoma

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 14, 2014. The beginning net position of the business-type activities, One Technology Center Fund (major fund) and Arena and Convention Center Fund (major fund) were restated to correct an error related to prepaid expenses. Our report includes a reference to the City's adoption of GASB Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans - an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 25. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Tulsa Industrial Authority, a discretely presented component unit of the City, and the Tulsa Stadium Trust, a blended component unit of the City, as described in our report on the City's financial statements. In addition, other auditors audited the Operations of the BOK Center, as managed by SMG, and the Operations of the Cox Business Center, as managed by SMG, which are included within the Arena and Convention Center major enterprise fund, as described in our report on the City's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined previously. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2014-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Management's Response to Finding

The City's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.


Kansas City, Missouri
November 14, 2014

# Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program, Report on Internal Control Over Compliance, and <br> Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards <br> Required by OMB Circular A-133 

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Tulsa, Oklahoma
Tulsa, Oklahoma

## Scope

The City of Tulsa, Oklahoma's basic financial statements include the operations of the Tulsa Development Authority (TDA) and Tulsa Industrial Authority (TIA), discretely presented component units, and Tulsa Stadium Trust (TST), a blended component unit. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of TST or TIA because these component units engaged other auditors to perform their June 30, 2014 audits. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of TDA because they were not required to have a separate audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 as their federal expenditures for the year ending June 30, 2014 were less than $\$ 500,000$.

## Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2014. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal programs.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

## Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2014.

## Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2014-002. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

## Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

## Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 14, 2014, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. The beginning net position of the business-type activities, One Technology Center Fund (major fund), and Arena and Convention Center Fund (major fund) were restated to correct an error in the prepaid expense balances as of June 30, 2013. Our audit report includes a reference to the City's adoption of GASB Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans - an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 25, and a reference to other auditors. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.


Kansas City, Missouri
November 14, 2014
CITY OF TULSA
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended June 30, 2014
Amounts
Provided to
Subrecipients
CITY OF TULSA
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Schedule of Expenditures of
Year Ended June 30, 2014


20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106
20.106

$3-40-0098-24$
$3-40-0098-25$
3-40-0098-26
$3-40-0098-27$
$3-40-0099-70$
3-40-0099-74
3-40-0099-75
$3-40-0099-76$
$3-40-0099-77$
3-40-0099-78
$3-40-0099-79$
$3-40-0099-81$
$3-40-0099-83$
$3-40-0099-84$
$3-40-0099-84$
$3-40-0099-85$




Capital Projects
Capital Projects
Capital and Planning Projects
Capital and Planning Projects
Capital and Planning Projects
Capital and Planning Projects
American with Disabilities Act (ADA)
Capital and Planning Projects
Capital and Planning Projects
ARRA-Capital and Planning Pr
Capital and Planning Projects
Capital and Planning Projects



 Capital and Planning Projects
CITY OF TULSA
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Total Award |  | Year Ended June 30, 2014 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unexpended | Award |  |  |  |




| Awarding Agency/Program Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Federal } \\ & \text { CFDA } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Grant Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passed through the Indian Nations Council of Government |  |  |
| CMAQ Public Fleet Conversion Grant ( 41.1765 / 58.82 | 20.205 | N/A |
| CMAQ Fire Dept Staff Fleet Conversion Grant (75/25) | 20.505 | N/A |
| Passed through the Oklahoma Department of Transportation |  |  |
| Transportation EnhancementProject-144 Pedestrian Trai | 20.205 | STPIY-0044-2(392)229EH |
| Traffic Signals Communication Systems | 20.205 | CMAG-172A(222)IG |
| US-169 at 21st Street | 20.205 | NHY-30N(006)J/P11031 |
| Gilcrease Expressway Right of Way Acquisition (80/20) | 20.205 | STP-172A(335)IG |
| Gilcrease Expressway Edison to LL Tisdale (80/20) | 20.205 | 157A(145)IG |
| Gilcrease West N 41st W Ave to LL Tisdale | 20.205 | 157A(143)IG |
| Bikeway Signal Actuation | 20.205 | CMAG-172D(329IG |
| Traffic Signal Pre-emption System | 20.205 | CMAG-172F(023)IG |
| Mingo Creek Trail 61st to 81st | 20.205 | TCSP-0H68(006)SG |
| Utillity Relocation 1-44 \& 193rd (80/20) | 20.205 | IM-NHIY-0044-2(419)239 |
| 144 Riverside Drive to Yorktown Ave - Sewer | 20.205 | J/P 06374(52), NHIY-00442(404)227 HP SEWER |
| 144 Riverside Drive to Yorktown Ave - Water | 20.205 | J/P 06374(52), NHIY-00442(404)227 HP WATER |
| Mingo Creek Trail - Admiral Place to 1-244 (80/20, | 20.205 | STP-172E(379)EH |
| Mingo Creek Trail - Mingo Road to Admiral Place (7 | 20.205 | STP-172E(380)EH |
| Mingo Creek Trail - 71st to 61st (80/20) | 20.205 | SEC1934-172E(404)TI |
| Railroad at 33 West Avenue | 20.205 | STP-172D(377)RR |
| Route 66 Cultural Crossroads Project (70/30) | 20.205 | SB-OL97(010)DC |
| Midland Valley Trail Improvements ( $50 / 50$ ) | 20.205 | STP-172E(474)EH |
| Secure Bike Racks for Central Business District | 20.205 | CMA-172E(469)IG |
| John Hope Franklin (80/20) | 20.205 | STP-172E(476)EH |
| Tulsa County Safe Routes | 20.205 | SRS-155F(710)ST |
| Joe Trail Riverside (75/25) | 20.205 | STP-172E(473)EH |
| Passed through the State of Oklahoma Highway Safety Office |  |  |
| FY10 Traffic Enforcement | 20.600 | PT-11-03-28-04 |
| FY11 Traffic Enforcement | 20.600 | PT-12-03-29-05 |
| FY12 Traffic Enforcement | 20.600 | PT-13-03-01-06 |
| 2013 Tulsa Police Impaired Driving Enforcement | 20.600 | OP-14-03-12-05 |
| Tulsa Police Department Motorcycle Safety | 20.600 | STMC-14-02-05-07 |
| Subtotal U.S. Department of Transportation |  |  |
| NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS (DIRECT) |  |  |
| Support of Lawn d'Arts Performance Series | 45.024 | 12-4292-7156 |
| Subtotal National Endowment for the Arts |  |  |

CITY OF TULSA
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended June 30, 2014

| Awarding Agency/Program Description | Federal CFDA Number | Grant Number | Total Award | Unexpended Balance as of June 30, 2013 | Awards Received/(Closed) | Transfers and Adjustments | Program Income and Matching | Current Year <br> Expenditures Federal | Current Year Expenditures Federal and Loca | Unexpended Balance as of June 30, 2014 | Amounts Provided to Subrecipients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed through the State of Oklahoma Dept of Civil Emergency Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hazard Mitigation HMGP-ACQUISITION/DEMOLITION | 97.039 | FEMA-1735DR-OK Project $\# 0086$ | 190,358 | 10,640 | - | - | - | - | - | 10,640 | - |
| Hazard Mitigation HMGP-DRAINAGE (75/25) | 97.039 | \#0091 | 1,500,000 | 609,859 | - | - | 203,286 | 609,859 | 813,145 | - | - |
| Hazard Mitigation HMGP-DRAINAGE (75/25) | 97.039 | Stream \& Rain Gauge Station | 36,000 | 5,848 | - | - | - | - | - | 5,848 | - |
| Hazard Mitigation HMGP-DRAINAGE (75/25) | 97.039 | Hazard Mitigation 2014 | 222,312 | 75,618 | - | - | 16,272 | 48,815 | 65,087 | 26,803 | - |
| Hazard Mitigation HMGP-DRAINAGE (75/25) | 97.039 | FEMA-1970-DR-OK-6 | 601,104 | 190,444 | - | . | 2,969 | 8,906 | 11,875 | 181,538 | - |
| Subtotal Federal Emergency Management Agency |  |  | 2,549,774 | 892,409 | - | - | 222,527 | 667,580 | 890,107 | 224,829 |  |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed through the Oklahoma Historical Society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historic Preservation | 15.904 | 11-608 | 28,825 | 1,435 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,435 | - |
| Historic Preservation | 15.904 | 12-608 | 21,750 | 8,072 | - | - | - | 7,930 | 7,930 | 142 | - |
| Historic Preservation | 15.904 | 13-608 | 24,500 | - | 24,500 | - | - | 18,603 | 18,603 | 5,897 | - |
| Subtotal U.S. Department of Interior |  |  | 75,075 | 9,507 | 24,500 | - | - | 26,533 | 26,533 | 7,474 | - |
| Total Federal Awards |  |  | 235,006,497 | 55,838,154 | 38,467,276 | 582,602 | 6,471,772 | 45,744,878 | 51,359,664 | 50,000,140 | 10,231,394 |

## City of Tulsa, Oklahoma

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended June 30, 2014

## Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma (the City) and most of the City's component units for the year ended June 30, 2014. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards does not include the federal grant activity of the Tulsa Development Authority and the Tulsa Industrial Authority, discretely presented component units of the City, and the Tulsa Stadium Trust, a blended component unit of the City. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other governmental agencies is included in the schedule. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Nonprofit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in the schedule may differ from the amounts presented in or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

## Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue from federal awards is recognized when the City has done everything necessary to establish its right to the revenue. In the governmental funds, revenue from federal grants is recognized when they become both measurable and available. For proprietary funds, revenue from federal grants is recognized when they are earned. Expenditures of federal awards are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred.

## City of Tulsa, Oklahoma

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
Year Ended June 30, 2014

| Number | Comment | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Corrective Action or |
| :---: |
| Other Explanation |

None reported

## City of Tulsa, Oklahoma

## Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year Ended June 30, 2014

## I. Summary of the Independent Auditor's Results

## Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:
Material weakness(es) identified?YesNo

Significant deficiency(ies) identified?YesNo
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?YesNo

## Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:
Material weakness(es) identified?Yes
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?YesNo

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? YesNo

## Identification of major program:

| CFDA Number | Name of Federal Program or Cluster |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Federal Transit Cluster: |
| 20.500 | Federal Transit - Capital Investment Grants |
| 20.507 | Federal Transit - Formula Grants |
| 20.507 | ARRA - Federal Transit Formula Grants |
| 66.458 | OWRB Loan |
| Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $\$ 1,372,346$ |  |
| Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? | $\square$ Yes $\square$ |
| (Continued) |  |

## City of Tulsa, Oklahoma

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
II. Findings Related to the Financial Statement Audit as Required to be Reported in Accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards

## A. Internal Control

2014-001
Finding: There were matters that affected the June 30, 2013 financial statements of the business-type activities, One Technology Center Fund (major fund), and Arena and Convention Center Fund (major fund) that resulted in a restatement of the June 30, 2013 net position.

Criteria: The beginning net positions were restated in order to properly record commissions that had previously been reported as a prepaid expense and amortized over the life of the applicable leases. With the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, these assets should have been removed and net position restated.

Condition/Prevalence: The restatement resulted in decreases to prepaid expenses and beginning net position in the amounts of $\$ 822,000, \$ 1,343,000$ and $\$ 2,165,000$ for the One Technology Center Fund, Arena and Convention Center Fund and business-type activities, respectively.

Cause: During fiscal year 2012, the City implemented GASB Statement No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities. This Statement modified the treatment of initial direct costs of operating leases and dictated that they should be recognized as an expense in the period incurred, versus previous accounting treatment as prepaid expenses to be amortized, similar to lease revenue, over the life of the lease. These costs were not properly included in the restatement of net position in the year that GASB Statement No. 65 was implemented.

Effect: There was a misstatement of prepaid expenses that resulted in a restatement of beginning business-type activities, One Technology Center Fund and Arena and Convention Center Fund net position.

Recommendation: We recommend the City implement the necessary procedures to record initial direct costs of operating leases in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Management Response and Corrective Action Plan: We agree with the recommendation and have restated the financial statements to address the issue.
B. Compliance Findings

None reported

## City of Tulsa, Oklahoma

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
III. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards
A. Internal Control

None reported
B. Instances of Noncompliance

2014-002

## U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Passed through the State of Oklahoma Water Resources Board (OWRB) OWRB Loan (CFDA 66.458) <br> Federal Award Year 2014

Finding: The City did not comply with suspension and debarment requirement of OMB Circular A-133 for the Oklahoma Water Resources Board (OWRB) Revolving Loan Grant Program.

Criteria: OMB Circular A-133 dictates when a nonfederal entity enters into a contract or purchase order with an entity (vendor or subrecipient), the nonfederal entity must verify the entity is not suspended or debarred from participation in federal programs/grants when expending $\$ 25,000$ or more in a year.
Condition: The City did not verify vendors were not suspended or debarred prior to providing them with federal funds.

Questioned Costs: None
Context: None of the vendors tested in the OWRB Revolving Loan Grant Program were verified for compliance, however our testing verified that none of the vendors selected for review were suspended or debarred.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with suspension and debarment requirements of OMB Circular A-133.

Cause: The program manager was not aware of this federal compliance requirement.
Recommendation: We recommend the City implement procedures to ensure vendors and subrecipients have not been suspended or debarred prior to entering into contracts or purchase orders for all transactions, and maintain documentation supporting this verification.

Management Response and Corrective Action Plan: Management agrees with the recommendation and will implement procedures to ensure vendors and subrecipients have not been suspended or debarred prior to entering into the contracts.

## City of Tulsa, Oklahoma

Corrective Action Plan
Year Ended June 30, 2014

|  |  | Anticipated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Comment | Corrective Action or |  |

## Significant Deficiencies in Internal Control Related to the Financial Statement Audit

Internal Control

| 2014-001 | There were matters that affected the June 30, 2013 <br> financial statements of the business-type activities, | See corrective action plan at <br> 2014-001 | David Bryant, <br> Controller |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One Technology Center Fund, and Arena and |  |  |  |
| Convention Center Fund that resulted in a restatement |  |  |  |
| to the June 30, 2013 net position. |  |  |  |

## Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards

Instances of Noncompliance

| 2014-002 | The City did not comply with suspension and <br> debarment requirements of OMB Circular A-133 for <br> the Oklahoma Water Resources Board Revolving | See corrective action plan at <br> Loan Grant Program. | Paul Zachary, <br> Director of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014-002 |  |  |  |

